

Beyond spellchecking - What else can we check automatically

By Tibs / Tony Ibbs (they / he)

Slides and accompanying material at
<https://github.com/tibs/beyond-spellchecking>

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Introduction

Writing documentation is hard, and spotting errors in that documentation is harder. What can we do to help?

- Automated checking: "Linting"
- A review of checks we might make
- Adopt existing checks or grow your own
- Some tools
- Plumbing checks into docs-as-code
- What we do at Aiven

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

"linting"

`lint` was a program written in 1978 to find common errors and stylistic problems in C code

`lint` = bits of fluff on fabric, hence *linting*, to remove them

Simple checks, that can be fast, and give good results

But remember, text is not as restricted as code

Types of check

Not an exhaustive list, but with example messages

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Spelling

```
'arglebargle' does not seem to be a word
```

Ignore numbers, some punctuation...

Can only report mistakes

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

🤔 But I really did mean arglebargle!

For this, we shall invent the term 'arglebargle'.

What to do?

- Put up with it
- Mark it up differently (e.g., as "literal" text)
- Configure in the text (`.. lint: off / .. lint: on`)
- Configure to ignore `arglebargle`
- Configure to ignore `arglebargle` *in this file / location*

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Against auto-correction

Written text is complicated, and linting will find false positives

Auto-correction can lead to unexpected results

The final decision should be with a human

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Don't say that

Consider not using 'it is obvious that'



Use *this* instead of *that* - errors

Use 'and' instead of 'adn'

Use 'supersede' instead of 'supercede'

Use 'Aiven for Redis' instead of 'Aiven Redis'

Use *this* instead of *that* - warnings

Consider using 'flink' instead of 'flick'

Consider using 'for instance' instead of 'e.g.'

Errors versus warnings

An error must be fixed, the document is wrong

A warning is just a warning - a "suggestion"

What do you do after you get a warning?

🤔 Create tests you need, retire them when not

If the person who mistypes `adn` leaves the team

You probably don't still need the check for `"adn"` -> `"and"`

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

❌ One or the other, not both

Inconsistent spelling of 'center' and 'centre'

✖ If *this* is present, then we need *that*

WHO has no definition

At least one use of 'PostgreSQL' must be marked as ®

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

🤔 word versus token versus ...

`word` - like in a dictionary

`token` - like in a parser, more general

`expression` - like a regular expression, a pattern to match

🤔 scope

"Scope" - some part of a document

- Thing must be used with ® in the first *title* to use the name
- Thing must be used with ® in the first *non-title* to use the name
- Thing must be used with ® the very first time it occurs

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Capitalisation

'Badly Capitalised Heading' should be in sentence case

But consider carefully:

iPhone prices

The importance of NASA

Remembering Terry Jones

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Checking beyond the text itself

Some tests aren't just looking at the text of the document

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

✖ Looking at the raw text

Checking reStructuredText:

```
One backtick without a role becomes italics
```

```
Use reStructuredText link format, not Markdown
```

Checking Markdown:

```
Two backticks is redundant - did you mean just one?
```



Checking for absence

For instance, that all images have `alt` text

```
Image is missing alt text
```

Not the same as "is zero length" - we want *structural element* occurs zero times

In HTML, `alt=` in ``

In reStructuredText, `:alt:` inside image directive

Arbitrary metrics

Try to keep the Flesch-Kincaid grade level (12) below 8

This is calculated as something like

$$(0.39 * (\text{words} / \text{sentences})) + \\ (11.8 * (\text{syllables} / \text{words})) - 15.59$$

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Sentence analysis

NLP (Natural Language Processing)

Did you mean "cars are" instead of "car's are"

Don't use "like" as an interjection

✖ Just let me code

Writing a plugin with access to knowledge of the document structure

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

What to use for the task

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Pre-built or hand-designed

Adopt an existing package - Microsoft or Google styles

Do that and add customisations

Start from scratch and specify everything yourself

...but if you do your own checks, consider contributing back to the community

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Available tools

Just a brief overview...

- Vale
- LTeX and LanguageTool
- alex
- proselint
- RedPen
- textlint

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Vale

Vale supports checking in Markdown, HTML, reStructuredText, AsciiDoc, DITA, XML, Org and code (comments / docstrings).

Rules ("styles") are specified via YAML files that build on existing concepts, or (less often) via code in a Go-like language

Various pre-packaged rulesets are available

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

LT_EX and LanguageTool

LT_EX provides offline grammar checking of various markup languages using LanguageTool

BibT_EX, ConT_EXt, LaT_EX, Markdown, Org, reStructuredText, R Sweave, and XHTML

English, French, German, Dutch, Chinese, Russian, etc.

New rules for LanguageTool are stored as XML files

alex

alex is designed to "Catch insensitive, inconsiderate writing" in Markdown documents, and offer alternatives

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

proselint

proselint runs checks on Markdown files

It comes with its own set of checks built in

New checks are written as plugins using Python

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

RedPen

RedPen validates texts in Markdown, Textile, AsciiDoc, reStructuredText and LaTeX

It supports multiple languages, including English, German, Japanese and Chinese

There is a catalogue of existing validators to choose from, and custom validators can be written as plugins in Java or JavaScript

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

textlint

textlint supports Markdown and plain text by default, with plugins for HTML, reStructuredText, AsciiDoc, Re:VIEW and Org-mode

Starts with no rules installed, use `npm` to install rules by name.

New rules are written as plugins using JavaScript

Plumbing checks into docs-as-code

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Local checks

In the editor - display messages as you're typing, or on saving

At the command line - run a command to make the checks

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

Checks before commit

Don't allow `commit` if there are errors

This may be a bit extreme?

Checks before review

Run checks when change are pushed for review

The reviewers can see the results

Forbid merging if there are errors?

Seems more reasonable

On GitHub, use workflows for this

Checks before deployment

Don't deploy if there are errors

Probably a good idea - **if** the previous stages mean this essentially never happens

Use in CI (continuous integration)

Run the checks automatically when a review is requested (GitHub: PR) or before deploying the documentation

No errors before deployment...

What we do at Aiven

We lint Aiven's developer documentation

<https://docs.aiven.io/> and <https://github.com/aiven/devportal>

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

We use Vale

- It's a small program, it's fast, it's portable, it's very configurable
- Development is ongoing, the code is readable, the author fixes bugs quickly
- It's well known in the WtD community

But...

- It's a relatively small project
- We did (do) need to configure it

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a

The checks we use

- `spelling` - Spell checking - the default US-en dictionary, plus our own
- `capitalization` - Capitalisation in headings
- `substitution` - Use *this* instead of *that*
- `conditional` - If *this* then *that*, for ® checking

At the command line

```
make spell
```

In CI (continuous integration)

We use [vale-action](#), the official GitHub action for Vale

We run checks:

- For a PR (pull request)
- When pushing to `main` (in theory...)

tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a



What have we learnt?

- We can check things beyond spelling
- Relatively simple techniques can be useful
- But don't check for the sake of it
- There is a good choice of tools available
- You don't have to build it yourself
- You can check as part of your docs-as-code toolchain

Fin

Come join us on [Write the Docs slack](#) channel
[#testthedocs](#)

Slides and accompanying material at
<https://github.com/tibs/beyond-spellchecking>

Written in [reStructuredText](#), converted to PDF using
[rst2pdf](#)



This slideshow is released under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#)



tony.ibbs@aiven.io / @much_of_a