Contract Testing with Pact

By Tibs / Tony Ibbs
Presented at CamPUG, virtually, 12th January 2021
Written in reStructuredText, converted to PDF using rst2pdf.
Source and examples at https://github.com/tibs/pact-talk

What we shall cover

- The problem space
- The testing approaches we might take
- A very simple example
- A second version that is a little more complex
- A third version that has non-static response data
- A few end items

The problem space

We have two processes that communicate over HTTP.

We want to test that they agree on that communication.

From the Pact FAQ

"""Pact is most valuable for designing and testing integrations where you (or your team/organisation/partner organisation) control the development of both the consumer and the provider, and the requirements of the consumer are going to be used to drive the features of the provider. It is fantastic tool for developing and testing intra-organisation microservices.""""

Note on terminology

Pact talks about "producer" and "consumer" processes.

For historical reasons, I'm going to talk about "server" and "client".

But really, the Pact terms are better.

Interlude

Unit tests

I'm going to assume we have unit tests within each service.

1. End-to-end tests

The tests talk to a real instance of the server.

- We need a server running
- Hopefully not the production server
- What version of the server?
- What if it doesn't match what's in production?

Likely to be expensive and slow

2. Mock / fake the server responses

- What if we get it wrong our tests may well still pass!
- What version of the server?

Likely to be difficult and unreliable

But does gives us useful documentation of our expectations

3. Record real server responses

Use a library like VCR or Betamax to record the actual responses

What version of the server?

Excellent approach, but we have to remember to update the recordings

4. Ideal approach - contract testing

If we're lucky enough to have at least some control over both client and server, we can do better.

Take the same approach as for recording, but *also* check that the server agrees it can honour those recordnings

In other words, we have a **contract** between client and server.

But what about that server version?

Basically

- name the contracts
- note which contracts server A and client B should both honour
- test accordingly

Maybe use a contract broker

In simple systems, it may be enough for the server and client just to agree where the contracts are stored, and retrieve them

But for the complete experience, when generating a contract, also store it in a contract broker

Client and server can then be explicit about exactly which contract versions they both support

We'll come back to this briefly at the end

Interlude

A very very simple example

Imagine we are producing a service to make virtual sandwiches

Since we like microservices (a lot) our main sandwhich assembly service will need a different service to "put butter on things"

Let's create server1 and client1

The "put butter on things" server

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3

from bottle import Bottle

app = Bottle()

@app.route('/butter/<substrate>')
def butter(substrate):
    return f'{substrate} and butter'

if __name__ == '__main__':
    app.run()
```

and a test for the server

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3
from server1 import butter

def test_butter():
    assert butter('bread') == 'bread and butter'
```

which passes

The sandwich making client

The client for the "put butter on things" server

- 1. makes a request to get butter on some bread
- 2. carries on with the rest of the sandwich assembly

We're not particularly interested in anything but that first request

And actually, we're really only interested in the *test* for that request

I haven't actually bothered to *write* the client at all...

and a test for the client

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3

import requests

BASE_URL = 'http://localhost:8080'

def test_buttering():
    result = requests.get(f'{SERVER_BASE_URL}/butter/bread')
    assert(result.status_code) == 200
    assert(result.text) == 'bread and butter'
```

which passes

(provided I remember to run the server process!)

Just the one test

Since this is the only request from our server to our client, we only need that one test

We assume the server's tests check for incorrect requests - that's not our responsibility

And if we test this request once, the rest of our tests can assume the result

But - we're making a real request

Which we already said was a Bad Thing at the start of this talk

So let's look at how we can use Pact to describe our request and the response

(if you want to do the same for VCR or Betamax, I'll give links to them at the end)

Let's write a test with pact - 1/2

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3
import atexit
import requests
from pact import Consumer, Provider
pact = Consumer('sandwich-maker').has_pact_with(Provider('Butterer'))
pact.start_service()
atexit.register(pact.stop_service)
PACT BASE URL = 'http://localhost:1234'
```

Let's write a test with pact - 2/2

```
BREAD AND BUTTER = 'bread and butter'
def test buttering():
    (pact
    .given('We want to butter bread')
    .upon_receiving('a request to butter bread')
    .with_request('get', '/butter/bread')
    .will_respond_with(200, body=BREAD_AND_BUTTER))
    with pact:
        result = requests.get(f'{PACT BASE URL}/butter/bread')
    assert result text == 'bread and butter'
```

and it passes

New files

Running the test creates two files:

- A log file: pact-mock-service.log
- A contract file: sandwich-maker-butterer.json

Log - 1/3

```
I. [2021-01-08T11:20:52.257590 #13978] INFO -- : Cleared interactions
I. [2021-01-08T11:20:52.262320 #13978] INFO --: Registered expected interaction GET /butter/bread
D. [2021-01-08T11:20:52.262556 #13978] DEBUG -- : {
  "description": "a request to butter bread",
  "providerState": "We want to butter bread".
  "request": {
    "method": "get",
    "path": "/butter/bread"
  "response": {
    "status": 200,
    "headers": {
    "body": "bread and butter"
  "metadata": null
```

Log - 2/3

```
I, [2021-01-08T11:20:52.267929 #13978] INFO -- : Received request GET /butter/bread
D, [2021-01-08T11:20:52.268008 #13978] DEBUG -- : {
  "path": "/butter/bread",
  "query": "",
  "method": "get",
  "headers": {
    "Host": "localhost:1234",
    "User-Agent": "python-requests/2.25.1",
    "Accept-Encoding": "gzip, deflate",
    "Accept": "*/*",
    "Connection": "keep-alive",
    "Version": "HTTP/1.1"
```

Log - 3/3

```
I, [2021-01-08T11:20:52.268305 #13978] INFO -- : Found matching response for GET /butter/bread
D, [2021-01-08T11:20:52.268405 #13978] DEBUG -- : {
    "status": 200,
    "headers": {
    },
    "body": "bread and butter"
}
I, [2021-01-08T11:20:52.273996 #13978] INFO -- : Verifying - interactions matched
I, [2021-01-08T11:20:52.278698 #13978] INFO -- : Writing pact for Butterer to
    /Users/tibs/Dropbox/talks/pact-talk/examples/client1/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
```

Contract - 1/3

```
"consumer": {
    "name": "sandwich-maker"
},
"provider": {
    "name": "Butterer"
},
```

Contract - 2/3

```
"interactions": [
    "description": "a request to butter bread",
    "providerState": "We want to butter bread",
    "request": {
     "method": "get",
     "path": "/butter/bread"
    "response": {
      "status": 200,
      "headers": {
      "body": "bread and butter"
```

Contract - 3/3

```
"metadata": {
    "pactSpecification": {
        "version": "2.0.0"
     }
}
```

Testing the contract against the server

With the server running (at http://localhost:8080):

```
$ pact-verifier --provider-base-url=http://localhost:8080 \
  --pact-url=../client1/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
INFO: Reading pact at ../client1/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
Verifying a pact between sandwich-maker and Butterer
 Given We want to butter bread
    a request to butter bread
      with GET /butter/bread
       returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread' ...
          has status code 200
         has a matching body
1 interaction, 0 failures
```

Interlude

But buttering should be idempotent

If we ask to butter the same piece of bread more than once, we still want to get back "bread and butter".

Let's update our code to give server2 and client2

Idempotent buttering

```
@app.route('/butter/<substrate>')
def butter(substrate):
    if substrate.endswith('butter'):
        return substrate
    else:
        return f'{substrate} and butter'
```

A new server test

```
def test_already_buttered():
    assert butter('bread and butter') == 'bread and butter'
```

Our server tests still pass

We still honour the contract with client1

```
$ pact-verifier \
  --provider-base-url=http://localhost:8080 \
  --pact-url=../client1/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
INFO: Reading pact at ../client1/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
Verifying a pact between sandwich-maker and Butterer
 Given We want to butter bread
    a request to butter bread
      with GET /butter/bread
       returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread' ...
          has status code 200
         has a matching body
1 interaction, 0 failures
```

client2 wants to use the new ability

An appropriate test against the server would be:

```
def test_buttering_twice():
    result = requests.get(f'{BASE_URL}/butter/bread%20and%20butter')
    assert(result.status_code) == 200
    assert(result.text) == 'bread and butter'
```

A new contract test

which passes

And here is the new interaction

In client2/sandwich-maker-butterer.json

```
"description": "a request to butter buttered bread",
"providerState": "We want to butter bread again",
"request": {
 "method": "get",
 "path": "/butter/bread%20and%20butter"
"response": {
 "status": 200.
 "headers": {
 "body": "bread and butter"
```

server2 is happy - 1/2

While running server2 at http://localhost:8080

```
$ pact-verifier \
  --provider-base-url=http://localhost:8080 \
  --pact-url=../client2/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
INFO: Reading pact at ../client2/sandwich-maker-butterer.ison
Verifying a pact between sandwich-maker and Butterer
 Given We want to butter bread
    a request to butter bread
      with GET /butter/bread
       returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread' ...
          has status code 200
         has a matching body
```

server2 is happy - 2/2

```
Given We want to butter bread again
a request to butter buttered bread
with GET /butter/bread%20and%20butter
returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread again' ...
has status code 200
has a matching body

2 interactions, 0 failures
```

But the old server and the new contract...

```
$ pact-verifier \
  --provider-base-url=http://localhost:8080 \
  --pact-url=../client2/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
INFO: Reading pact at ../client2/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
Verifying a pact between sandwich-maker and Butterer
 Given We want to butter bread
    a request to butter bread
      with GET /butter/bread
       returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread' ...
          has status code 200
         has a matching body
```

fails - 1/2

```
Given We want to butter bread again
a request to butter buttered bread
with GET /butter/bread%20and%20butter
returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread again' ...
has status code 200
has a matching body (FAILED - 1)
```

fails - 1/3

```
Failures:
 1) Verifying a pact between sandwich-maker and Butterer Given We want to butter bread
    again a request to butter buttered bread with GET /butter/bread%20and%20butter
    returns a response which has a matching body
   Failure/Error: expect(response_body).to match_term expected_response_body, diff_options, example
     Actual: bread and butter and butter
     Diff
      Key: - is expected
           + is actual
      Matching keys and values are not shown
      -bread and butter
      +bread and butter and butter
```

fails - 2/4

```
Description of differences

* Expected "bread and butter" but got "bread and butter and butter" at $

2 interactions, 1 failure

Failed interactions:

PACT_DESCRIPTION='a request to butter buttered bread' PACT_PROVIDER_STATE='We want to butter bread again' /Users/tibs/Library/Caches/pypoetry/virtualenvs/pact-talk-zwt4AdHO-py3.8/bin/pact-verifier-pact-url=../client2/sandwich-maker-butterer.json --provider-base-url=http://localhost:8080

# A request to butter buttered bread given We want to butter bread again
```

Which is good!

server1 does not support the contract required by client2

Interlude

What if it's not that simple

What if we have response data that may change?

Let's update our code to give server3 and client3

Butter information

Let's provide information about the butter being used.

A new server test

```
def test_info():
    result = info()
    assert result['salt'] in ('0%', '0.9%')
    assert result['lactose'] in (True, False)
```

Which passes

And in our client

```
def test_info():
    result = requests.get(f'{BASE_URL}/info')
    json_result = result.json()
    assert json_result['lactose'] in (True, False)
    salt = json_result['salt']
    assert salt[-1] == '%'
    assert float(salt[:-1]) >= 0.0
```

Which passes

With server3 running at http://localhost:8080

But we want a contract test

And the test

```
def test_info():
    (pact
    .given('We want to know about the butter being used')
    .upon_receiving('a request for information')
    .with request('get', '/info')
    .will respond with(200, body=BUTTER INFO))
    with pact:
        result = requests.get(f'{PACT_BASE_URL}/info')
    json_result = result.json()
    assert ison result['lactose'] in (True, False)
    salt = json_result['salt']
    assert salt[-1] == '%'
    assert float(salt[:-1]) >= 0.0
```

And here is the new interaction

In client3/sandwich-maker-butterer.json

```
"description": "a request for information",
"providerState": "We want to know about the butter being used",
"request": {
 "method": "get",
 "path": "/info"
"response": {
 "status": 200.
 "headers": {
 "body": {
   "salt": "0%",
   "lactose": false
```

```
"matchingRules": {
 "$.body": {
   "match": "type"
  "$.body.salt": {
   "match": "regex",
   "regex": "\\d+(\\.\\d+)?%"
```

server3 is happy

with server3 running on http://localhost:8080

```
$ pact-verifier \
  --provider-base-url=http://localhost:8080 \
  --pact-url=../client3/sandwich-maker-butterer.json
INFO: Reading pact at ../client3/sandwich-maker-butterer.ison
Verifying a pact between sandwich-maker and Butterer
 Given We want to butter bread
    a request to butter bread
      with GET /butter/bread
       returns a response which
WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread' ...
          has status code 200
         has a matching body
 Given We want to butter bread again
    a request to butter buttered bread
```

```
with GET /butter/bread%20and%20butter
returns a response which

WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to butter bread again' ...
has status code 200
has a matching body

Given We want to know about the butter being used
a request for information
with GET /info
returns a response which
```

WARN: Skipping set up for provider state 'We want to know about ...

has status code 200 has a matching body

3 interactions, 0 failures

Interlude

How to share the contract

- By copying the contract file don't do this!
- By "reference" e.g., via github
- Using a Pact broker at https://pactflow.io/
- Using a Pact broker run "locally" as described at https://github.com/pact-foundation/pact_broker

Other benefits

- The server can tell what requests it needs to support, making dead code detection easier (assumes complete coverage!)
- Programmers can look at the contracts to learn about how requests and responses are structured
- Programmers can look at the contracts when trying to debug communication issues

and doubtless other things

Multiple programming languages

Pact has a very active user community, and support for a variety of programming languages:

.NET (for C#), Go, JavaScript, Python, Ruby, Rust, the JVM (for Java, Scala, Clojure, etc.),

with more in development. And if it is not directly supported for a language, there are ways around that.

That means client and server need not be in the same language

Fin

- Pact: https://docs.pact.io/
- VCR: https://vcrpy.readthedocs.io/
- Betamax: https://betamax.readthedocs.io/

Remember, buttering should be idempotent.

Written in reStructuredText, converted to PDF using rst2pdf

Source and examples at https://github.com/tibs/pact-talk

This slideshow and its related files are released under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.