



# SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF



# GMX

# Summary

**Audit Firm** Guardian

**Prepared By** Owen Thurm, Daniel Gelfand

**Client Firm** GMX

**Final Report Date** January 16, 2024

## Audit Summary

GMX engaged Guardian to review the security of its Config file updates. From the 12th of January to the 16th of January a team of 2 auditors reviewed the source code in scope. All findings have been recorded in the following report.

Notice that the examined smart contracts are not resistant to internal exploit. For a detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, and potential attack vectors, refer to the complete audit report below.

 Blockchain network: **Arbitrum, Avalanche**

 Verify the authenticity of this report on Guardian's GitHub: <https://github.com/guardianaudits>

# Table of Contents

## Project Information

Project Overview ..... 4

Audit Scope & Methodology ..... 5

## Smart Contract Risk Assessment

Findings & Resolutions ..... 6

## Addendum

Disclaimer ..... 9

About Guardian Audits ..... 10

# Project Overview

## Project Summary

Project Name	GMX
Language	Solidity
Codebase	<a href="https://github.com/gmx-io/gmx-synthetics">https://github.com/gmx-io/gmx-synthetics</a>
Commit(s)	0327d26b881218146c14d62fbe6ceef81776d2ed

## Audit Summary

Delivery Date	January 16, 2024
Audit Methodology	Static Analysis, Manual Review, Test Suite

## Vulnerability Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Pending	Declined	Acknowledged	Partially Resolved	Resolved
● Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
● High	0	0	0	0	0	0
● Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0
● Low	2	2	0	0	0	0

# Audit Scope & Methodology

## Vulnerability Classifications

Vulnerability Level	Classification
● Critical	Easily exploitable by anyone, causing loss/manipulation of assets or data.
● High	Arduously exploitable by a subset of addresses, causing loss/manipulation of assets or data.
● Medium	Inherent risk of future exploits that may or may not impact the smart contract execution.
● Low	Minor deviation from best practices.

## Methodology

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross-referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.
- Comprehensive written tests as a part of a code coverage testing suite.
- Contract fuzzing for increased attack resilience.

# Findings & Resolutions

ID	Title	Category	Severity	Status
CON-1	onlyKeeper Not Implemented In The RoleModule	Optimization	<div><div></div> Low</div>	Pending
CON-2	Limited Keepers Cannot Set Gas Multipliers	Optimization	<div><div></div> Low</div>	Pending

# CON-1 | onlyKeeper Not Implemented In The RoleModule

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Optimization	● Low	Config.sol: 48	Pending

## Description

The onlyKeeper modifier is implemented directly in the Config contract, however it would uphold separation of concerns and deduplicate code if it were implemented in the RoleModule contract.

## Recommendation

Consider moving the onlyKeeper implementation to the RoleModule contract and utilizing the \_validateRole function to deduplicate code.

## Resolution

# CON-2 | Limited Keepers Cannot Set Gas Multipliers

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Optimization	● Low	Config.sol: 410-411	Pending

## Description

Limited keepers are able to configure the ESTIMATED\_GAS\_FEE\_BASE\_AMOUNT and EXECUTION\_GAS\_FEE\_BASE\_AMOUNT.

However, they are unable to configure the ESTIMATED\_GAS\_FEE\_MULTIPLIER\_FACTOR and EXECUTION\_GAS\_FEE\_MULTIPLIER\_FACTOR.

## Recommendation

Consider whether the limited keepers should be allowed to configure the gas multipliers.

## Resolution



# Disclaimer

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts Guardian to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. Guardian’s position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Guardian’s goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies, and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

The assessment services provided by Guardian is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third-parties.

Notice that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain are not resistant from internal/external exploit. Notice that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to any smart contract’s safety and security. Therefore, Guardian does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract, regardless of the verdict.

# About Guardian Audits

Founded in 2022 by DeFi experts, Guardian Audits is a leading audit firm in the DeFi smart contract space. With every audit report, Guardian Audits upholds best-in-class security while achieving our mission to relentlessly secure DeFi.

To learn more, visit <https://guardianaudits.com>

To view our audit portfolio, visit <https://github.com/guardianaudits>

To book an audit, message <https://t.me/guardianaudits>