

Stat500(Section002): Homework #3

Due on Oct. 6, 2021 at 11:59pm

Instructor: Naisyin Wang

Tiejin Chen

tiejin@umich.edu

Problem 1

Part a

We get $H_0 : \beta_{elevation} \leq 0, H_1 : \beta_{elevation} > 0$. We can calculate the t-value of $\beta_{elevation}$ is 7.725. We use the following code to get the p-value:

R.code.Rmd

```
library(faraway)
data(gala)
linear = lm(Endemics~Species, data=gala)
1-pt(7.725, df=24)
```

We can the result p-value is 2.91431e-08 which is less than 0.01. Hence we reject H_0 , and accept H_1 , which means an island with a large highest elevation level tends to have more endemic species.

Part b

R.code.Rmd

```
confint(linear, level=0.99)
```

Using the code, we can get the 99% confidence interval for $\beta_{elevation}$ is (0.05328709, 0.113773003), for $\beta_{Nearest}$ is (-0.56891008, 0.619257004).

We use the following code to calculate the CI of $\beta_{elevation}$ by ourselves.

R.code.Rmd

```
beta_E = linear$coefficients['Elevation']
print(beta_E - qt(0.995, df = 24) * 0.010813)
print(beta_E + qt(0.995, df = 24) * 0.010813)
```

And we get the same result as confint function.

Part c

To this test, we should use f-test. And, we use the following code:

R.code.Rmd

```
H0_model = lm(Endemics~Area+Scruz+Adjacent, data = gala)
anova(linear, H0_model)
```

And we get the f-value is 31.789, the p-value is 1.794e-07 which is less than 0.05. Hence, we reject H_0 , and accept H_1 : One of $\beta_{Nearest}$ and β_{Scruz} is not zero. That is to say at least one of the predictors have an effect on the response.

If (0,0) is inside the confidence region, then we should accept H_0 instead of H_1 . Hence (0,0) is not in the region.

Problem 2

Part a

We get $H_0 : \beta_{ratio} \geq 0, \beta_{ratio} < 0$. And we use t-test and following code:

R.code.Rmd

```
data(sat)
```

```
tmp = lm(total~takers+ratio+salary , sat)
t = -4.6394/2.1215
pt(t , df =46)
```

get the t-value is -2.187, p-value is 0.0169382, which is larger than 0.01. Hence we will accept H_0 . That is, a higher value of average pupil/teacher ratio (ratio) does not tend to lead to a lower sat score.

Part b

R.code.Rmd

```
summary(tmp)
```

```
call:
lm(formula = total ~ takers + ratio + salary, data = sat)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-89.244 -21.485  -0.798  17.685  68.262

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  1057.8982    44.3287   23.865  <2e-16 ***
takers        -2.9134     0.2282  -12.764  <2e-16 ***
ratio         -4.6394     2.1215   -2.187   0.0339 *
salary         2.5525     1.0045    2.541   0.0145 *
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 32.41 on 46 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.8239,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.8124
F-statistic: 71.72 on 3 and 46 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

We can get the R^2 is 0.8239. And σ^2 is $32.41 \times 32.41 = 1050.4$.

Problem 3

R.code.Rmd

```
set.seed(42)
nrep = 5000
tnull=rep(NA,nrep)
for (ii in 1:nrep){
lmod=lm(total~takers+sample(ratio)+salary , sat);
tnull[ii]=coef(summary(lmod))[3,3]
mean(abs(tnull)> abs(coef(summary(tmp))[3,3]))
```

With the code, we could get the p-value of permutation test is 0.0332 which is less than 0.05. Hence, we reject H_0 and accept H_1 that $\beta_{ratio} \neq 0$.

R_code.Rmd

```
mean( tnull < coef(summary(tmp))[3,3])
```

Using this code, we can get the p-value of $H_0 : \beta_{ratio} \geq 0, \beta_{ratio} < 0$ is 0.016 which is larger than 0.01. Hence we accept H_0 , which is the same as t-test result in Problem2(a).