Team Mobis CSE 435 October 14, 2016

## **Initial Objectives**

Name: Tyler Maklebust

Example System: Ford Pre-Collision Assist with Pedestrian Detection Technology

### Features in common with project:

1. Both use a camera system

- 2. Both systems identify pedestrians from a range of different roadside objects
- 3. Both systems can directly activate braking to avoid collision

## Features different with project:

- 1. Ford system uses radar in addition to camera sensors
- 2. Ford system provides warning for the driver to react before applying brakes
- 3. Ford system is designed to reduce severity of pedestrian collisions and possibly avoid them, while the project must avoid all pedestrian collisions that match given scenarios.

## Questions:

- 1. Is the autonomous vehicle driverless or is there a driver that can take over control in emergencies?
- 2. If it is not driverless, should the system give a warning for driver to react before braking must be applied to avoid collision?
- 3. Even if not driverless, should a warning be given to passengers before hard braking? What should this warning be?
- 4. Is the given cycle time of the pedestrian sensor a limit of the system? Or, could it be increased in order for possible collisions to be detected quicker?
- 5. Vehicle length might come into play in deciding whether a pedestrian will strike the side of the vehicle at their current velocity. Should we only worry about front end collisions? What should we assume the length to be?

# Safety Requirements:

- 1. Pedestrian identification needs to be accurate
- 2. Calculation of pedestrian path and vehicle path needs to be accurate in order to properly detect collisions before they happen.
- 3. Braking needs to occur reliably and quickly when a possible collision is detected.

#### **Security Requirements:**

- 1. Link to brake by wire system should not open a vulnerability.
- 2. Are there any other systems that the project will have to interact with, where security will be a concern?
- 3. What kind of channel would the project use to communicate with brake-by-wire? (This needs to be secure)

Name: Mark Velez

Example System: Pedestrian Collision Avoidance Systems

## Features in common with project:

- 1. Both systems monitor the path in front of the vehicle
- 2. Describes use of a camera system to avoid pedestrians
- 3. Both the car and pedestrians are moving
- 4. Both systems aim to avoid collisions entirely through the use of automatic pedestrian avoidance

### Features different with project:

- 1. The UCSD system considers using lasers and radar to track pedestrians.
- 2. The UCSD system can detect pedestrians using a variety of methods such as motion, shape, and head detection.
- 3. Our system describes the braking actions taken when a pedestrian is detected.

## Questions:

- 1. What kind of computing systems will be used for the implementation.
- 2. After braking, should the system resume by itself or wait for human interaction/acknowledgment. If automatic, this could lead to a situation where the driver has lost consciousness which made the car take emergency action but them starts accelerating again leading to more possible injuries.
- 3. What kind of schematics/diagrams would be most useful to go from concept to implementation.
- 4. Do outside variables such as tail-gating cars need to be taken into account.

## Safety Requirements:

- 1. Pedestrians cannot be hit.
- 2. The car should sustain little to no harm.
- 3. When possible, emergency braking should be avoided.
- 4. Swerving to avoid pedestrians should also be avoided to prevent swerving into an even more dangerous situation.

### **Security Requirements:**

- 1. Does the system have an open network that could be exploited?
- 2. Could the sensors be spoofed into a 'false alarm' situation?
- 3. The system should run a diagnostic when the car starts to check all systems are functioning properly.

Name: Wan Kim

Example System: Automatic Pedestrian Collision Avoidance (Toyota)

# Features in common with project:

- 1. Seek to avoid any collisions with pedestrians
- 2. If a collision is unavoidable, the function will brake in order to reduce the impact
- 3. Utilize a camera in order to gather data relative to the car and potential pedestrians

# Features different with project:

- 1. It uses lasers to detect pedestrians
- 2. This system works in an accelerating speeds
- 3. If the pedestrian might collide with a pedestrian, the system will assist in braking

### **Questions:**

- 1. Does this system only operate under cruise control settings?
- 2. Will this system automatically brake?
- 3. What should the system do if it cannot detect a pedestrian before it is too late.

## Safety Requirements:

- 1. Will the system brake when not in cruise control
- 2. Will the system brake be harmful to the pedestrian
- 3. The function should alert the driver of an potential collision

## **Security Requirements:**

- 1. The communication between the sensors and the actuators should be secured
- 2. The vehicle will never collide with a pedestrian
- 3. Should the system shut down if a crash is inevitable?

Name: Christopher Cummings

Example System: Autonomous Pedestrian Collision Avoidance Using a Fuzzy Steering Controller

# Features in common with project:

- 1. Our project needs are the same to recognize and immediately take some action to avoid possible collisions.
- 2. The use of a controller to carry out autonomous actions such as braking or steering is used.
- 3. Both utilize onboard stereo sensors for input to decision making processes (does the car need assistance or not).
- 4. Planned cases of action for when detection occurs is the same in pre-proposed scenarios.

## Features different with project:

- 1. The intended result is far more reaching in the research paper as in our project as they follow the system through development and deployment.
- 2. We are not able to test our system in a real world fashion.
- 3. The mentioning of lane keeping in the research paper is not within our project description.
- 4. We are focused on brake-by-wire pedestrian avoidance where this research primarily focuses on steering maneuvers with additional control characteristics.

#### Questions:

- 1. In the sub-systems provided, is break-by-wire the only method of pedestrian avoidance possible?
- 2. Are there any possible delays due to the safety controller or any important specifications for it?
- 3. Are there times when the stereo sensors do not work properly and we need to deactivate the autonomous control?
- 4. In the fail-safe requirement, can we make all of the same assumptions as the non-fail operational mode?
- 5. In what circumstances would the fail-safe mode take precedence over normal operation?

## Safety Requirements:

- 1. There must be zero collisions in any of the test cases.
- 2. Does this system have enough redundancy to protect against edge cases where pedestrians may behave strangely?
- Make sure the system works based off of factors that will not change with location or outside factors.

## **Security Requirements:**

- 1. What measures can we take to prevent attacks against this system that could compromise its effectiveness?
- 2. Is this system encapsulated and modular enough to protect against other system failures?
- 3. How might a potential failure (handled by our system or not) affect the functionality of other systems?
- 4. What is the risk associated with a compromise in our system?

Name: Sam Chung

Example System: Toyota Pre-Collision System with Pedestrian Detection

# Features in common with project:

- 1. Both seek to reduce pedestrian collisions in autonomous driving systems
- 2. Both track the location of pedestrians and determine if collision is possible
- 3. Both feature a brake-by-wire system that automatically brakes in certain conditions

### Features different with project:

- 1. Toyota uses a single front-grille mounted camera and a radar, while our system uses stereo cameras
- 2. Our system seeks to handle the whole problem of pedestrian avoidance without driver intervention but only while in autonomous driving mode, while Toyota's system seems to be a system that warns drivers first and takes action if needed.
- 3. Our system has a steady-state speed that it returns to, while Toyota's system does not have a steady-state speed

### **Questions:**

- 1. Will our system function to avoid pedestrians when the user is driving manually?
- 2. Will our system include steering assists to avoid collisions?
- 3. How will our system respond if the object is too close for braking?
- 4. Does our system provide visual, audio, or tactile cues when it performs its job?

### **Safety Requirements:**

- 1. There should be zero collisions in the scenarios defined in our project description.
- 2. It should not put the driver or the pedestrian in danger
- 3. The system should account for slippery roads and brake safely

## **Security Requirements:**

- 1. The system should not allow unsecured remote access
- 2. The system should not be able to be manually configured by anyone
- 3. All data transfer between the sensors/cameras and the control unit should be secure