why are we looking at Blockstack? it touches on three questions that interest me: how to build a naming system -- a PKI -- a critical missing piece a non-crypto-currency use of a blockchain a very different architecture for web sites, might someday be better Blockstack is a real system, developed by a company it does have some users and some apps written for it but view it as an exploration of how things could be different and better not as "this is definitely how things should be" what's a decentralized app? apps built in a way that moves ownership of data into users's hands and out of centrally-controlled web sites there are many recent (and older) explorations of this general vision. the success (and properties) of Bitcoin has prompted a lot of recent activity old: a typical (centralized) web site [user browsers, net, site's web servers w/ app code, site's DB] users' data hidden behind proprietary app code e.g. blog posts, gmail, piazza, reddit comments, photo sharing, calendar, medical records, &c this arrangement has been very successful it's easy to program why is this not ideal? users have to use this web site's UI if they want to see their data web site sets (and changes!) the rules for who gets access web site may snoop, sell information to advertisers web site's employees may snoop for personal reasons disappointing since it's often the user's own data! a design view of the problem: the big interface division is between users and app+data app+data integration is convenient for web site owner but HTML as an interface is UI-oriented. and is usually not good about giving users control and access to data new: decentralized apps [user apps, general-purpose cloud storage service, naming/PKI] this architecture separates app code from user data the big interface division is between user+app and data so there's a clearer notion of a user's data, owned/controlled by user much as you own the data on your laptop, or in your Athena account requirements for the storage system in the cloud, so can be accessed from any device general-purpose, like a file system paid for and controlled by user who owns the data sharing between users, modulo permissions, for multi-user apps sharing between a user's apps, modulo permissions similar to existing services like Amazon S3 what's the point? easier for users to switch apps, since data not tied to apps (or web sites) easier to have apps that look at multiple kinds of data calendar/email, or backup, or file browser privacy vs snooping (assuming end-to-end encryption)

how might decentralized applications work? here's one simple possibility. app: a to-do list shared by two users [UI x2, check-box list, "add" button] both contribute items to be done both can mark an item as finished a public storage system, key/value data owned by each of U1 and U2 users U1 and U2 run apps on their computers maybe as JavaScript in browsers the apps read other user's public data, write own user's data the app doesn't have any associated server, it just uses the storage system each user creates a file with to-do items and a file with "done" marks each user's UI code periodically scans the other user's to-do files the point: the service is storage, independent of any application. so users can switch apps, write their own, add encryption to prevent snooping, delete their to-do lists, back them up, integrate with e-mail app, &c what could go wrong? decentralization is painful: per-user FS-like storage much less flexible than dedicated SQL DB no trusted server to e.g. look at auction bids w/o revealing cryptographic privacy/authentication makes everything else harder awkward for users as well as programmers current web site architecture works very well easy to program central control over software+data makes changes (and debugging) easy good solutions for performance, reliability easy to impose application-specific security successful revenue model (ads) now for Blockstack why does Blockstack focus on naming? names correspond to human users, e.g. "robertmorris" name -> location (in Gaia) of user's data, so multiple users can interact name -> public key, for end-to-end data security so I can check I've really retrieved your authentic data so I can encrypt my data so only you can decrypt it since storage system is not trusted lack of a good global PKI has been damaging to many otherwise good security ideas so Blockstack started with names Blockstack claims naming is hard, summarized by "Zooko's triangle": 1. unique (global) i.e. each name has the same meaning to everyone 2. human-readable 3. decentralized claim: all three would be valuable (debatable...) claim: any two is easy; all three is hard example for each pair of properties? unique + human-readable : e-mail addresses unique + decentralized : randomly chosen public keys human-readable + decentralized : my contact list

```
why is all three hard?
 can we add the missing property to any of our three schemes?
 no, all seem to be immediate dead ends
summary of how Blockstack gets all three?
 Bitcoin produces an ordered chain of blocks
 Blockstack embeds name-claiming records in Bitcoin blocks
 if my record claiming "rtm" is first in Bitcoin chain, I own it
 unique (== globally the same)?
 human-readable?
 decentralized?
is this kind of name space good for decentralized apps?
 is unique (== global) valuable?
  yes: I may be able to remember names I already know.
  yes: I can give you a name, and you can use it.
  yes: I can look at an ACL and guess what it means.
  no: human-readable names aren't likely to be very meaningful if chosen from global pool
    e.g. robert_morris_1779 -- is that me? or someone else?
    how about "rtm@mit.edu"?
  no: how can I find your Blockname name?
    how can I verify that a Blockstack name is really you?
 other (possibly bad) ideas:
  only public keys, don't bother with human-readable names
   each person keeps separate "contact list" with names they understand
   naturally decentralized
   not "unique" thus no need for Bitcoin
  central entity that reliably verifies human identity
what are all the pieces in Blockstack?
 client, browser, application, blockstack.js
 Blockstack Browser (meant to run on client machine)
 Bitcoin's block-chain
 Blockstack servers
  read Bitcoin chain
  interpret Blockstack naming records to update DB
  serve naming RPCs from clients
  name -> pub key + zone hash
 Atlas servers -- store "zone records"
  a name record in bitcoin maps to a zone record in Atlas
  zone record indicates where my Gaia data is stored
  keyed by content-hash, so items are immutable
  you can view Atlas as just reducing the size of Blockstack's Bitcoin transactions
  Atlas keeps the full DB in every server
 Gaia servers
  separate storage area for each user (i.e. end-users)
  key -> value
  backed by Amazon S3, Dropbox, &c
   Gaia makes them all look the same
  most users use Gaia storage provided by Blockstack
  user's profile contains user's public key, per-app public keys
  user can have lots of other files, containing app data
  apps can sign and/or encrypt data in Gaia
 S3, Dropbox, &c
  back-ends for Gaia
```

NAME CREATION

how does one register a Blockstack name?
(https://docs.blockstack.org/core/wire-format.html)
the user does it (by running Blockstack software)
user must own some bitcoin
two bitcoin transactions: preorder, registration
preorder transaction
registration fee to "burn" address
hash(name)
registration transaction
name (not hashed)
owner public key
hash(zonefile)
Blockstack info hidden inside the transactions, Bitcoin doesn't look at it
but Bitcoin signatures/hashes cover this Blockstack info

why *two* transactions? front-running

why the registration fee? after all there's no real cost.

what if a client tries to register a name that's already taken?

what if two clients try to register same name at same time?

is it possible for an attacker to change a name->key binding? after all, anyone can submit any bitcoin transaction they like

is it possible for Blockstack to change a name->key binding?

STORAGE

how does the client know where to fetch data from? starting with owning user's name, and a key apps probably use well known keys, e.g. "profile" or "todo-list" bitcoin/blockstack, hash(zone), gaia address

how does the client check that it got the right data back from Gaia?

how does the client know data from Gaia is fresh (the latest version)? owner signed the data when writing where can others get the owner's public key, to check signature?

how does Gaia know whether to let a client write/change/delete?

what about encryption for privacy? if only the owner should see the data? if one other user should see the data, in addition to the owner? if just 6.824 students should see the data?

PRIVATE KEYS

never leaves user's device(s)

so you don't have to trust anything other than your device and Blockstack's software each of your devices has a copy of your master private key

"master" private key only seen by Blockstack Browser too sensitive to let apps see or use it protected by pass-phrase, then in clear while user is active

Blockstack Browser hands out per-app private keys so each app has more or less separate encrypted storage makes it hard for one user's different apps to cooperate sometimes that's what you want sometimes you do want sharing among your own apps

DISCUSSION

here are some questions to chew on. about naming about decentralized applications you can view them as criticism. or as areas for further development.

Q: could blockstack be used as a PKI for e-mail, to map rtm@mit.edu to my public key? blockstack names vs e-mail addresses? what does a blockstack name mean?

Q: why is PKI hard in general?
lost pass-phrases and keys
recovery (mother's maiden name? SMS? e-mail?)
what does a name mean? connection to "real" identity?
how to go from intuitive notion of who I want to talk to, to name?
some progress, e.g. Keybase

Q: for naming and PKI, is there strong value in decentralization? can we have a centralized but secure naming system? who can we all trust for a global-scale system? indeed what value can a central authority realistically deliver? would adoption be easier with decentralization?

Q: could blockstack use a scheme like Certificate Transparency instead of Bitcoin?

CT can't resolve conflicts, only reveal them.

different CT logs may have different order

so CT can't say which came first

it's Bitcoin mining that resolves forks and forces agreement
the fee aspect of Blockstack seems critical vs spam &c, relies on cryptocurrency
in general, open block-chains only seem to make sense w/ cryptocurrency

Q: is Blockstack convenient for programmers?
all code in client, no special servers
hard to have data that's specific to the app, vs each user
indices, vote counts, front-page rankings for Reddit or Hacker News
SQL queries
cryptographic access control, groups, revocation, &c
hard to both look at other users' secrets, and keep the secrets
e.g. for eBay
maybe only worthwhile if users are enthusiastic...

Q: is decentralized user-owned storage good for user privacy? is it better than trusting Facebook/Google/&c web sites to keep data private? vs other users, hackers, their own employees? can Blockstack storage providers watch what you access? what if app, on your computer, snoops on you? after all, it's presumably still Facebook or whoever writing the app.

is cryptographic access control really feasible? you still have to trust the provider to preserve your data and to serve up the most recent version if you trust them that much, why not trust them to keep it secret too?

Q: is decentralized user-owned storage good for user control? do users want to switch applications a lot for the same data? do users want to use same data in multiple applications? does either even work in general, given different app formats?

Q: will users be willing to pay for their own Gaia storage?

CONCLUSION

what do I take away from Blockstack? I find the overall decentralization vision attractive. the whole thing rests on a PKI -- any progress here would be great a general-purpose mapping from all users to their public keys would be very useful surprising that we can have decentralized human-readable name allocation but unclear whether decentralized human-readable names are a good idea separating cloud data from applications sounds like a good idea but developers will hate it (e.g. no SQL). not clear users will know or care. not clear whether users will want to pay for storage. end-to-end encryption for privacy sound like a good idea private key management is a pain, and fragile encryption makes sharing and access control very awkward you still have to trust vendor software; not clear it's a huge win that it's running on your laptop rather than vendor's server.

all that said, it would be fantastic if Blockstack or something like it were to be successful.