

Chapter 8

Camera Calibration


Prof. Fei-Fei Li, Stanford University

Contents

- Review camera parameters
- Affine camera model
- Camera calibration
- Vanishing points and lines




Projective camera



f = focal length

Projective camera



$$P' = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & s & u_o \\ 0 & \beta & v_o \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

f = focal length


u_o, v_o = offset

$\alpha, \beta \rightarrow$ non-square pixels

θ = skew angle

K has 5 degrees of freedom

Projective camera




$$K = \begin{pmatrix} f_x & 0 & c_x \\ 0 & f_y & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Diagram illustrating the camera intrinsic matrix K components:

- focal length**: f_x, f_y
- x-offset**: c_x
- y-offset**: c_y

Projective camera



$$P' = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot \theta & u_o \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} & v_o \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

f = focal length


u_o, v_o = offset

$\alpha, \beta \rightarrow$ non-square pixels

θ = skew angle

K has 5 degrees of freedom!

Projective camera



$$P = \begin{bmatrix} R & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 4} P_w$$

$$T = -R \tilde{O}_c$$

f = focal length


u_o, v_o = offset

$\alpha, \beta \rightarrow$ non-square pixels

θ = skew angle

R, T = rotation, translation

Projective camera



$$P' = M P_w$$

$$= \boxed{K} \boxed{[R \quad T]} P_w$$

Internal (intrinsic) parameters

External (extrinsic) parameters

f = focal length

u_o, v_o = offset

$\alpha, \beta \rightarrow$ non-square pixels

θ = skew angle

R, T = rotation, translation

Projective camera

$$\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}_w = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_w$$

Internal (intrinsic) parameters

External (extrinsic) parameters

Projective camera

$$\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}_w = \mathbf{K} [\mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{T}] \mathbf{P}_w$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \mathbf{r}_2^T + u_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{r}_2^T + v_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T & t_z \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot \theta & u_o \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} & v_o \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1^T \\ \mathbf{r}_2^T \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ t_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} fk_u & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & fk_v & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Goal of calibration

$$\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}_w = \mathbf{K} [\mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{T}] \mathbf{P}_w$$



$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \mathbf{r}_2^T + u_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{r}_2^T + v_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T & t_z \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot \theta & u_o \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} & v_o \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1^T \\ \mathbf{r}_2^T \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ t_z \end{bmatrix}$$


Estimate intrinsic and extrinsic parameters
from 1 or multiple images

How Cameras Produce Images


- Basic process:
 - photons hit a detector
 - the detector becomes charged
 - the charge is read out as brightness
- Sensor types:
 - CCD (charge-coupled device)
 - high sensitivity
 - high power
 - cannot be individually addressed
 - blooming
 - CMOS
 - most common
 - simple to fabricate (cheap)
 - lower sensitivity, lower power
 - can be individually addressed



Images are two-dimensional patterns of brightness values.



Hierarchy of cameras



Examples of camera projections




perspective



Orthographic
(parallel)

Affine cameras



perspective

weak perspective


increasing focal length

increasing distance from camera




Homogenous coordinate

- Our usual coordinate system is called a Euclidean or affine coordinate system.
- Rotation, translation and projection in homogenous coordinate can be expressed linearly as matrix multiplies.



Projective Geometry

- Axioms of Projective Plane
 1. Every two distinct points define a line
 2. Every two distinct lines define a point (intersect at a point)
 3. There exists three points, A,B,C such that C does not lie on the line defined by A and B.
- Different than Euclidean (affine) geometry
- Projective plane is “bigger” than affine plane – includes “line at infinity”



Homogenous coordinates

A way to represent points in a projective space

1. Add an extra coordinate

e.g., $(x,y) \rightarrow (x,y,1) = (u,v,w)$

- Why do this?

2. Impose equivalence relation
such that (λ not 0)

$$(u,v,w) \approx \lambda^*(u,v,w)$$

$$\text{i.e., } (x,y,1) \approx (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda)$$

3. “Point at infinity” – zero for
last coordinate

e.g., $(x,y,0)$

- Possible to represent
points “at infinity”

- Where parallel lines
intersect
- Where parallel planes
intersect

- Possible to write the
action of a perspective
camera as a matrix

Euclidean \rightarrow Homogenous \rightarrow Euclidean

In 2-D


- Euclidean \rightarrow Homogenous: $(x, y) \rightarrow k(x, y, 1)$
- Homogenous \rightarrow Euclidean: $(u, v, w) \rightarrow (u/w, v/w)$

In 3-D


- Euclidean \rightarrow Homogenous: $(x, y, z) \rightarrow k(x, y, z, 1)$
- Homogenous \rightarrow Euclidean: $(x, y, z, w) \rightarrow (x/w, y/w, z/w)$

- Projective geometry provides an elegant means for handling these different situations in a unified way and **homogenous coordinates** are a way to represent entities (points and lines) in projective spaces.


Draw a horizon line.




Erase the orthogonals.



Make a vanishing point.




Draw another form!



Draw a square or rectangle.




Draw a vertical line to make the form's side.



Add windows and doors.



Add windows and doors.



Weak Perspective Projection

If the relative distance δz (scene depth) between two points of a 3D object along the optical axis is much smaller than the average distance \bar{z} ($\delta z < \frac{\bar{z}}{20}$),

then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= f \frac{x}{z} \approx \frac{fx}{\bar{z}} \\ v &= f \frac{y}{z} \approx \frac{fy}{\bar{z}} \end{aligned}$$

We have linear equations since all projections have the same scaling factor.

Orthographic Projection

As a special case of the weak perspective projection, when $\frac{f}{z}$ factor equals 1, we have $u = x$ and $v = y$, i.e., the lines (rays) of projection are parallel to the optical axis. This leads to the sizes of image and the object are the same. This is called orthographic projection.

Perspective projection geometry




Figure 1: Perspective projection geometry


Affine Camera Model

A further simplification from weak perspective camera model is the affine camera model, which is often assumed by computer vision researchers due to its simplicity. The affine camera model assumes that the object frame is located on the centroid of the object being observed. As a result, we have $\bar{z}_c \approx t_z$, the affine perspective projection matrix is

$$P_{\text{affine}} = \begin{pmatrix} s_x f r_1 & s_x f t_x + c_0 t_z \\ s_y f r_2 & s_y f t_y + r_0 t_z \\ 0 & t_z \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Affine camera model represents the first order approximation of the full perspective projection camera model. It still only gives an approximation and is no longer useful when the object is close to

Weak perspective projection




$$\begin{cases} x' = -mx \\ y' = -my \end{cases}$$

where $m = -\frac{f'}{z_0}$ = magnification

Relative scene depth is small compared to its distance from the camera

Orthographic (affine) projection



$$\begin{cases} x' = x \\ y' = y \end{cases}$$

Distance from center of projection to image plane is infinite

Affine cameras

$$P' = K[R \ T]P$$

Affine case

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & s & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = K \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Parallel projection matrix

Compared to

Projective case

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & s & x_o \\ 0 & \alpha_y & y_o \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = K \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remember....

Affinities:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H_a \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Projectivities:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ v & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H_p \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

System of linear equations, Homogeneous systems

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/System_of_linear_equations

Affine cameras

We can obtain a more compact formulation than: $P' = K[R \ T]P$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad M = K \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = [3 \times 3 \text{ affine}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} [4 \times 4 \text{ affine}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$P' = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{AP} + \mathbf{b} = M_{Euc} \begin{bmatrix} P \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{Euc} = \mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{b}]$$

Affine cameras


- Weak perspective much simpler math.
 - Accurate when object is small and distant.
 - Most useful for recognition.
- Pinhole perspective much more accurate for scenes.
 - Used in structure from motion.

Calibration Problem



- $P_1 \dots P_n$ with **known** positions in $[O_w, i_w, j_w, k_w]$
 - $p_1, \dots p_n$ **known** positions in the image
- Goal:** compute intrinsic and extrinsic parameters


Calibration Problem



How many correspondences do we need?


- M has 11 unknowns
- We need 11 equations
- 6 correspondences would do it

Calibration Problem



In practice: user may need to look at the image and select the $n \geq 6$ correspondences

Calibration Problem



$$p_i \rightarrow M \cdot P_i \rightarrow p_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{m}_1 \cdot P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 \cdot P_i} \\ \frac{\mathbf{m}_2 \cdot P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 \cdot P_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

in pixels

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \mathbf{m}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Calibration Problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{m}_1 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \\ \frac{\mathbf{m}_2 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_i = \frac{\mathbf{m}_1 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \rightarrow u_i(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i) = \mathbf{m}_1 P_i \rightarrow u_i(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i) - \mathbf{m}_1 P_i = 0$$

$$v_i = \frac{\mathbf{m}_2 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \rightarrow v_i(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i) = \mathbf{m}_2 P_i \rightarrow v_i(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i) - \mathbf{m}_2 P_i = 0$$

Calibration Problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1(\mathbf{m}_3 P_1) - \mathbf{m}_1 P_1 = 0 \\ v_1(\mathbf{m}_3 P_1) - \mathbf{m}_2 P_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ u_i(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i) - \mathbf{m}_1 P_i = 0 \\ v_i(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i) - \mathbf{m}_2 P_i = 0 \\ \vdots \\ u_n(\mathbf{m}_3 P_n) - \mathbf{m}_1 P_n = 0 \\ v_n(\mathbf{m}_3 P_n) - \mathbf{m}_2 P_n = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Block Matrix Multiplication

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

What is AB ?

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} & A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} \\ A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} & A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Calibration Problem

$$\begin{cases} -u_1(\mathbf{m}_3 P_1) + \mathbf{m}_1 P_1 = 0 \\ -v_1(\mathbf{m}_3 P_1) + \mathbf{m}_2 P_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ -u_n(\mathbf{m}_3 P_n) + \mathbf{m}_1 P_n = 0 \\ -v_n(\mathbf{m}_3 P_n) + \mathbf{m}_2 P_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

→

$$\boxed{\mathcal{P}\mathbf{m}} = 0$$

Homogenous linear system

$$\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_1^T & \mathbf{0}^T & -u_1 \mathbf{P}_1^T \\ \mathbf{0}^T & \mathbf{P}_1^T & -v_1 \mathbf{P}_1^T \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{P}_n^T & \mathbf{0}^T & -u_n \mathbf{P}_n^T \\ \mathbf{0}^T & \mathbf{P}_n^T & -v_n \mathbf{P}_n^T \end{pmatrix}_{2n \times 12}^{1 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{m} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m}_1^T \\ \mathbf{m}_2^T \\ \mathbf{m}_3^T \end{pmatrix}_{12 \times 1}^{4 \times 1}$$

Homogeneous M x N Linear Systems

M=number of equations

N=number of unknown

$$\begin{matrix} A \\ \times \\ = \\ 0 \end{matrix}$$

Rectangular system ($M > N$)

- 0 is always a solution
- To find non-zero solution

Minimize $|Ax|^2$

under the constraint $|x|^2 = 1$

Calibration Problem

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{m} = 0$$

How do we solve this homogenous linear system?

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Calibration Problem

$$\boxed{\mathcal{P}m = 0} \quad \text{Compute SVD decomposition of } P$$

$$\boxed{U_{2n \times 12} \ D_{12 \times 12} V^T_{12 \times 12}}$$

Last column of V gives

$$m$$



$$M$$

$$M P_i \rightarrow p_i$$

Why? See page 593 of
Hartley & Zisserman

Extracting camera parameters

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \mathbf{r}_2^T + u_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{r}_2^T + v_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T & t_z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K} [\mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{T}]$$

A **b**

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot \theta & u_o \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} & v_o \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \\ \mathbf{a}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated values

Intrinsic

$$\rho = \frac{\pm 1}{|\mathbf{a}_3|} \quad u_o = \rho^2 (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2)$$

$$v_o = \rho^2 (\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3)$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3) \cdot (\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3)}{|\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3| \cdot |\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3|}$$

Theorem (Faugeras, 1993)

$$M = K[R \ T] = [K \ R \ KT] = [A \ b]$$

Let $\mathcal{M} = (A \ b)$ be a 3×4 matrix and let \mathbf{a}_i^T ($i = 1, 2, 3$) denote the rows of the matrix A formed by the three leftmost columns of \mathcal{M} .

- A necessary and sufficient condition for \mathcal{M} to be a perspective projection matrix is that $\text{Det}(A) \neq 0$

- A necessary and sufficient condition for \mathcal{M} to be a zero-skew perspective projection matrix is that $\text{Det}(A) \neq 0$ and

$$(\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3) \cdot (\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3) = 0.$$

- A necessary and sufficient condition for \mathcal{M} to be a perspective projection matrix with zero skew and unit aspect-ratio is that $\text{Det}(A) \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3) \cdot (\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3) = 0, \\ (\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3) \cdot (\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3) = (\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3) \cdot (\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3). \end{cases}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & s & c_x \\ 0 & \beta & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = f \ k;$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = f \ l$$

Extracting camera parameters

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \mathbf{r}_2^T + u_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{r}_2^T + v_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T & t_z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K} [\mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{T}]$$

\mathbf{A} \mathbf{b}

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \\ \mathbf{a}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated values

Intrinsic

$$\alpha = \rho^2 |\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_3| \sin \theta \rightarrow f$$

$$\beta = \rho^2 |\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3| \sin \theta$$

Extracting camera parameters

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \mathbf{r}_2^T + u_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{r}_2^T + v_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K} [\mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{T}]$$

$A \qquad \qquad \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \\ \mathbf{a}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{b}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated values


Extrinsic

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3)}{|\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3|} \quad \mathbf{r}_3 = \frac{\pm 1}{|\mathbf{a}_3|}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}_3 \times \mathbf{r}_1 \quad \mathbf{T} = \rho \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Calibration Demo


Camera Calibration Toolbox for Matlab J. Bouguet – [1998-2000]



http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouguetj/calib_doc/index.html#examples



Calibration Demo

Calibration images





Calibration Demo


Click on the four extreme corners of the rectangular pattern (first corner = origin)... Image 1 Click on the four extreme corners of the rectangular pattern (first corner = origin)... Image 1




Click on the four extreme corners of the rectangular pattern (first corner = origin)... Image 1 Click on the four extreme corners of the rectangular pattern (first corner = origin)... Image 1




Calibration Demo




Calibration Demo



Calibration Demo




Calibration Demo



[Switch to world-centered view](#)

Calibration Demo




Properties of Projection

- Points project to points
- Lines project to lines



Properties of Projection

- Angles are not preserved
- Parallel lines meet




Lines in a 2D plane

$$ax + by + c = 0$$

$$l = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

If $x = [x_1, x_2]^T \in l$




$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Lines in a 2D plane

Intersecting lines

$$x = l \times l'$$

Proof



$$l \times l' \perp l \rightarrow (l \times l') \cdot l = 0 \rightarrow x \in l$$


$$l \times l' \perp l' \underbrace{\rightarrow (l \times l') \cdot l'}_x = 0 \rightarrow x \in l'$$

→ x is the intersecting point

Points at infinity (ideal points)

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}, x_3 \neq 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\mathbf{l} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{l}' = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ -a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's intersect two parallel lines: $\rightarrow \mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{l}' = (c - c') \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Agree with the general idea of two lines intersecting at infinity


Lines at infinity l_∞

Set of ideal points lies on a line called the line at infinity
 How does it look like?

$$l_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Indeed:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



Projective projections of lines at infinity (2D)

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ v & b \end{bmatrix}$$




$$l' = H^{-T} l$$

is it a line at infinity?

$$H_A^{-T} l_\infty = ? = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-T} & 0 \\ -t^T A^{-T} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H^{-T} l_\infty = ? = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ v & b \end{bmatrix}^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ b \end{bmatrix} \quad \dots \text{no!}$$

Projective projections of lines at infinity (2D)




Are these two lines parallel or not?

- Recognize the horizon line
- Measure if the 2 lines meet at the horizon
- if yes, these 2 lines are //

Radial Distortion

- Caused by imperfect lenses
- Deviations are most noticeable for rays that pass through the edge of the lens




No distortion

Pin cushion

Barrel



Radial Distortion



$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} M P_i \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = p_i$$

$d^2 = a u^2 + b v^2 + c u v$

To model radial behavior

$\lambda = 1 \pm \sum_{p=1}^3 \kappa_p d^{2p}$

Distortion coefficient

Polynomial function

Radial Distortion

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] M P_i \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = p_i \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q

Non-linear system of equations

$$p_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q_1 P_i}{q_3 P_i} \\ \frac{q_2 P_i}{q_3 P_i} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} u_i q_3 P_i = q_1 P_i \\ v_i q_3 P_i = q_2 P_i \end{cases}$$


Tsai's calibration technique

1. Estimate \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 first:

$$\mathbf{p}_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_1 P_i \\ \mathbf{m}_3 P_i \\ \mathbf{m}_2 P_i \\ \mathbf{m}_3 P_i \end{bmatrix}$$

How to do that?

Hint:



$$\frac{u_i}{v_i} = \text{slope}$$

Tsai's calibration technique

1. Estimate \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 first:

$$\mathbf{p}_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{m}_1 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \\ \frac{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_2 P_i} \\ \frac{\mathbf{m}_2 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{u_i}{v_i} = \frac{\frac{(\mathbf{m}_1 P_i)}{(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i)}}{\frac{(\mathbf{m}_2 P_i)}{(\mathbf{m}_3 P_i)}} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_1 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_2 P_i}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_1(\mathbf{m}_1 P_1) - u_1(\mathbf{m}_2 P_1) = 0 \\ v_i(\mathbf{m}_1 P_i) - u_i(\mathbf{m}_2 P_i) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ v_n(\mathbf{m}_1 P_n) - u_n(\mathbf{m}_2 P_n) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad Q \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Tsai's calibration technique

2. Once that \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 are estimated, estimate \mathbf{m}_3 :

$$\mathbf{p}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_i \\ \mathbf{v}_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{m}_1 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \\ \frac{\mathbf{m}_2 P_i}{\mathbf{m}_3 P_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathbf{m}_3 is non linear function of \mathbf{m}_1 \mathbf{m}_2 λ

There are some degenerate configurations for which \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 cannot be computed