

1 Approximation of Matrix Functions via Spectral Warping

Assume a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is symmetric positive semi-definite. Then, \mathbf{A} has a set of N orthonormal eigenvectors $\{\mathbf{u}_i\}$ and N corresponding real, non-negative eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{u}_i$ for each i . Thus, \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable with the following spectrum decomposition:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^*,$$

where the $(i+1)_{th}$ column of \mathbf{U} is \mathbf{u}_i , and the $(i+1)_{th}$ diagonal element of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is λ_i . Denote the spectrum of \mathbf{A} as $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N-1}\}$, and assume $\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{N-1} = \lambda_{\max}$.

Given a function f well-defined on $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$, the matrix function $f(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as:

$$f(\mathbf{A}) := \mathbf{U}f(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^* := \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_0) & & & \\ & f(\lambda_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\lambda_{N-1}) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*. \quad (1)$$

In numerical computation, f is often approximated with a polynomial p , such that

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{U}f(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^* \approx \mathbf{U}p(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^*.$$

Therefore, the approximation of $f(\mathbf{A})$ essentially depends on $p(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})$.

In order to adapt general methods of approximating functions defined on a closed interval for a matrix function defined on a discrete set $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$, we introduce the idea of spectral warping. It warps the sample according to the spectrum distribution of \mathbf{A} such that the distribution of the sample points resembles that of $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$. Then, we apply the interpolation or fitting methods to the warped sample to obtain an estimation of the matrix function with focus on the discrete set $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$.

Given the matrix \mathbf{A} as described above, we estimate the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of its eigenvalues with the Kernel Polynomial Method (KPM). Denote the CDF as $F : [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, we can find its inverse function $F^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$.

1.1 The Warped Chebyshev Interpolation

The Chebyshev polynomials $\{T_k(y)\}$ are a set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $d\lambda = \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-y^2}}$ defined on the interval $[-1, 1]$. They follow the recurrence relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(y) &= 1, \quad T_1(y) = y, \\ T_k(y) &= 2yT_{k-1}(y) - T_{k-2}(y), \quad k \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Since f is defined on $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$, we shift the Chebyshev polynomials to the interval $[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$ by setting $x = \frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{2}y$. Thus the shifted Chebyshev polynomials satisfy $\bar{T}_k = T_k(\frac{2x - \lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}})$ and follow a similar recurrence relationship to (2).

To obtain an order K warped Chebyshev interpolation of f , we begin with $K+1$ Chebyshev nodes $\{y_i\}$ shifted to $[0, 1]$:

$$y_i = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos(2i-1)}{2(K+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K+1. \quad (3)$$

Next, we warp these points with the inverse CDF:

$$\{x_i\} = F^{-1}(\{y_i\}), \quad (4)$$

so that the warped points $\{x_i\}$ are in the interval $[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$ with higher density in subintervals with larger eigenvalue counts. Then, we interpolate the $K+1$ warped points $(\{x_i\}, f\{x_i\})$ with the shifted Chebyshev polynomials $\{\bar{T}_0, \bar{T}_1, \dots, \bar{T}_K\}$ for an order K interpolation of f :

$$f(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^K a_k \bar{T}_k(x), \quad (5)$$

where $\{a_k\}$ are referred to as the interpolation coefficients.

In order to attenuate the Gibbs oscillations of the interpolant, we use the technique of σ -smoothing proposed by Lanczos[ref], which multiplies each interpolation coefficient $\{a_k\}$ by a damping coefficient $\{\gamma_k^K\}$, defined as:

$$\gamma_0^K = 1, \quad \gamma_k^K = \frac{\sin(\frac{k\pi}{K+1})}{\frac{k\pi}{K+1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K. \quad (6)$$

Thus, the damped Chebyshev interpolation can be written as:

$$f(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^K \gamma_k^K a_k \bar{T}_k(x). \quad (7)$$

For any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the estimate for $f(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}$ from this interpolation is given by

$$f(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b} \approx \sum_{k=0}^K \gamma_k^K a_k \bar{T}_k(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}, \quad (8)$$

where $\{\bar{T}_k(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}\}$ can be computed recursively from (2) (do we need an equation of shifted version of recurrence?).

1.2 The Warped PCHIP with Chebyshev Approximation

We begin with the same set of $K+1$ warped points $\{x_i\}$ from (3) and (4), and interpolate a cubic Hermite polynomial between each pair of adjacent points $\{(x_i, f(x_i)), (x_{i+1}, f(x_{i+1}))\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$, to build the interpolant \hat{f} . On each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, \hat{f} is a cubic polynomial that preserves the shape and monotonicity of f [ref], resulting in a more stable

approximation without rapid oscillations, an advantage over interpolation methods on the entire interval (better ways to phrase this?) especially when f is monotonic.

However, the computation of $\hat{f}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}$ as an approximation to $f(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}$ is challenging as \hat{f} is defined piecewise. Consequently, we approximate \hat{f} again with a truncated Chebyshev expansion of order K .

Since $\{\bar{T}_k\}$ form an orthogonal basis for functions well-defined on $[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$ and square integrable with respect to $d\lambda$, \hat{f} can be represented as an infinite series of Chebyshev polynomial expansion, and approximated by a truncated expansion of order K :

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2}c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \bar{T}_k(x) \approx \frac{1}{2}c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k \bar{T}_k(x), \quad (9)$$

where the Chebyshev expansion coefficients $\{c_k\}$ can be determined by

$$c_k := \langle \hat{f}, \bar{T}_k \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(k\phi) \hat{f} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{2} \cos(\phi) \right) d\phi. \quad (10)$$

Thus, we have the following approximation to f :

$$f(x) \approx \hat{f}(x) \approx \frac{1}{2}c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k \bar{T}_k(x). \quad (11)$$

Similarly, we can apply σ -smoothing to the Chebyshev expansion with $\{\gamma_k^K\}$ from (6) to damp the Gibbs oscillations.

For any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the estimate for $f(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}$ from this method is given by

$$f(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b} \approx \frac{1}{2}c_0\mathbf{b} + \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_k^K c_k \bar{T}_k(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}, \quad (12)$$

where $\{\bar{T}_k(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{b}\}$ can be computed recursively from (2) (do we need an equation of shifted version of recurrence?).

1.3 The Warped Least Squares Approximation

We choose the sample size $n = N/10$, where N is the size of matrix \mathbf{A} . (better way to state sample size? it depends on G.N and can't be smaller than K+1) Take $K = n - 1$ in (3), we begin with a set of n Chebyshev nodes $\{y_i\}$ and warp them as in (4). Then, a Least Squares polynomial fitting of order K is performed on the n points $\{(x_i, f(x_i))\}$. Denote the fitted polynomial as p , we have the following approximation to f :

$$f(x) \approx p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^K \beta_k x^k. \quad (13)$$

For any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, the estimate for $f(\mathbf{A})$ is given by

$$f(\mathbf{A}) \approx p(\mathbf{A}) = \beta_0 \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \mathbf{A}^k, \quad (14)$$