Project Plan & Specification

Clarifying Questions

Before implementing this telemetry system, I would ask the researcher these critical questions:

- 1. Should we anonymise sensitive details (paths, credentials, PII in commands) automatically, or leave this responsibility to the researcher?
- 2. Should developer "dead ends" (failed builds/tests, incorrect commands, abandoned edits) be included, or do you only want successful solution paths?
- 3. Should we store full logs/diffs or only summarised/truncated versions (with option to fetch full artifacts)?
- 4. How much "chain-of-thought" should be made explicit? Should we prompt developers to write down reasoning steps periodically, or only passively log their actions?
- 5. Should the system be fully passive (record everything silently), or should we inject active prompts ("Why did you try this fix?") to enrich reasoning data?

Assumptions Made

- 1. For MVP, we won't focus on redaction, but if need be, we can run a small NLP model to detect PII in commands/paths.
- 2. We will capture all events, including failed attempts, as they provide valuable context on the problem-solving process.
- 3. We will store full logs and diffs, but provide options to summarise or truncate for quick viewing.
- 4. We will passively log actions, but provide an optional feature for developers to add explicit thoughts.
- 5. The system will be primarily passive, but we can consider active prompts in future iterations.

Proposed Data Schema

The telemetry trace follows this comprehensive JSON schema:

```
{
  "trace id": "uuid",
  "created at": "2024-01-15T10:30:00Z",
  "version": "trace-1.0.0",
  "developer": {
    "name": "string",
    "email": "string",
    "experience_level": "junior|mid|senior",
    "ide": "vscode|intellij|vim|emacs",
    "os": "windows|macos|linux"
 },
 "task": {
    "description": "string",
    "repository": "https://github.com/org/repo",
    "branch": "main",
    "commit": "abc123",
    "test image": "golang:1.24",
    "test_command": "go test ./...",
    "complexity": "low|medium|high"
  "environment": {
    "os": "linux",
    "editor": "vscode",
    "version": "1.0.0",
    "plugins": ["go", "gitlens"],
    "workspace_size": 42
 },
  "events": [
      "t": "2024-01-15T10:30:15Z",
      "type": "file_opened",
      "session_id": "session-1",
      "file_path": "src/main.go",
      "language": "go",
    } ,
      "t": "2024-01-15T10:30:20Z",
      "type": "go to definition",
      "session id": "session-1",
      "source": {
        "file_path": "src/main.go",
        "symbol": "calculateTotal",
        "range": {"start": {"line": 10, "col": 5}, "end": {"line": 10, "col": 20}}
```

```
},
    "target": {
      "file path": "src/calculator.go",
     "line": 25,
      "symbol kind": "function"
   },
    "latency ms": 150
  },
   "t": "2024-01-15T10:30:25Z",
   "type": "edit",
   "session_id": "session-1",
    "file path": "src/calculator.go",
    "op": "replace",
    "range": {"start": {"line": 25, "col": 1}, "end": {"line": 30, "col": 1}},
    "patch_unified": "diff --git a/calculator.go b/calculator.go\n...",
   "before hash": "abc123",
   "after_hash": "def456"
 },
    "t": "2024-01-15T10:30:30Z",
   "type": "terminal_command",
   "session_id": "session-1",
    "cmd": "go test",
   "args": ["-v", "./..."],
   "cwd": "/workspace",
   "exit code": 0,
    "duration ms": 2500,
   "stdout": "PASS: TestCalculator\n",
   "stderr": ""
  },
   "t": "2024-01-15T10:30:35Z",
   "type": "thought",
   "session_id": "session-1",
   "raw": "I need to fix the edge case in the calculation",
   "tags": ["debugging", "edge-case"]
"artifacts": {
  "final patch": "unified diff string",
 "test results": {
   "passed": 5,
   "failed": 0,
```

```
"duration ms": 2500
  },
  "qa": {
    "tests": {
      "runner": "docker",
      "image": "golang:1.24",
      "command": "go test ./...",
      "ok": true,
      "stdout": "PASS: TestCalculator\n",
      "stderr": "",
      "exit code": 0
    },
    "judge": {
      "model": "gpt-4",
      "rubric version": "v1",
      "scores": {
        "problem_understanding": 4.0,
        "investigation strategy": 4.0,
        "decision_rationale": 4.0,
        "use_of_evidence": 4.0,
        "reproducibility": 4.0,
        "safety privacy awareness": 4.0
      "overall": 4.0,
      "comments": "Excellent problem-solving approach"
}
```

Schema Design Justifications:

- Structured Events: Each event type has specific fields relevant to its context, enabling rich analysis
- Temporal Ordering: All events include precise timestamps for sequence analysis
- Session Tracking: session id groups related events within a coding session
- Rich Context: File paths, symbols, ranges provide detailed context for each action
- Performance Metrics: Latency, duration, and resource usage enable performance analysis
- Thought Capture: Developer reasoning and mental model capture via thought events
- Patch Integration: Unified diff format enables precise code change analysis
- Extensible Design: Version field and flexible JSON structure allow schema evolution

High-Level Technical Plan

Proposed Tech Stack:

- · API Layer: Go with Chi router
- Database: PostgreSQL with JSONB for flexible event storage
- Message Queue: Redis with Asyng for job processing
- Object Storage: MinIO (S3-compatible) for large event batches
- Container Runtime: Docker-in-Docker for isolated QA testing
- Monitoring: Built-in health checks and structured logging

Stack Justification:

- Go: Excellent performance, built-in concurrency, strong typing for data processing
- PostgreSQL: ACID compliance, JSONB for flexible schemas, excellent query performance
- . Redis: Fast in-memory operations, reliable job queuing with Asynq
- MinIO: S3-compatible API, easy local development, cost-effective
- . Docker: Industry standard for containerization, excellent isolation for testing

Scope & Trade-offs

MVP Features (Essential):

•

Basic event ingestion (file ops, edits, commands) •

Event storage and retrieval •

Code patch extraction and application •

Automated test execution in Docker □ Basic QA result storage •

REST API for trace management •

Authentication and security Phase 2 Features (Important): •

Advanced event types (go-to-definition, find-references) •

Thought event capture and processing •

Al-powered code quality judgment •

Event filtering and search capabilities •
□ Performance metrics and analytics De-scoped Features (Nice-to-have):

- Multi-language IDE support beyond Go
- Advanced privacy controls and data anonymization

Key Trade-offs Made:

- 1. Simplicity vs. Features: Focused on core functionality over advanced analytics
- 2. Performance vs. Flexibility: Used JSONB for flexibility over optimized relational design
- 3. Local Development vs. Production: Optimized for easy local setup with Docker Compose