CKKS Error Estimation and Parameters

1 Preliminaries

Let χ is a discrete Gaussian, usually of standard deviation $\sigma=3.2$. We denote by $\mathcal{ZO}(\rho)$ the distribution where 0 is sampled with probability ρ , and ± 1 are sampled with probability $\rho/2$. We denote the secret key distribution by S. This is usually the unifrom ternary distribution.

We use the notation R_q to denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\Phi_N, q)$, where $\phi_N = x^N + 1$. $[\cdot]_q$ denotes modular reduction $\mod q$ (usually centered around 0).

2 The CKKS scheme [1]

2.1 Decrypting a fresh ciphertext

Let ct be a fresh ciphertext encrypted under the public key pk, where we have $pk = ([-as + e]_q, a)$. Then, decrypting ct yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{Decrypt}(\mathtt{ct},\mathtt{sk}) &= c_0 + sc_1 \pmod{q} \\ &= m + p_0v + e_1 + svp_1 + se_2 \\ &= m + ve + e_1 + se_2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall $e, e_0, e_1 \leftarrow \chi$. The ephemeral key v here is drawn from the same distribution as the secret key S, but sometimes it can be sampled from a slightly different distribution. This can for example be the distribution $\mathcal{ZO}(\rho)$.

2.2 Decrypting a multiplication

Here, I will skip the Rescale step. This is because the formulas are simpler without it, and the last Rescale operation is (perhaps unsurprisingly) simply a rescaling of the noise. Note that I am also keeping the modular reductions implicit. This also simplifies the equations.

So, let $\mathsf{ct} = (c_0, c_1)$ and $\mathsf{ct}' = (c_0', c_1')$ be two ciphertexts such that they decrypt as follows.

$$c_0 + sc_1 = m + e$$

 $c'_0 + sc'_1 = m' + e'$.

Then, the output of Pre-Mult is

$$(d_0, d_1, d_2) = (c_0 c'_0, c_0 c'_1 + c'_0 c_1, c_1 c'_1).$$

SecretKeyGen(λ): Sample $s \leftarrow S$ and output $\mathtt{sk} = (1, s)$.

PublicKeyGen(sk): For sk = (1, s), sample $a \leftarrow R_q$ uniformly at random and $e \leftarrow \chi$. Output pk = $([-as + e]_q, a)$.

EvaluationKeyGen(sk, w): Set s = sk. Sample $a' \leftarrow R_Q$, (Q = Pq) uniformly at random and $e' \leftarrow \chi$. Output $\text{evk} = \left([-a's + e' + Ps^2]_Q, a' \right)$.

Encrypt(pk, m): For the message $m \in R$. Let pk = (p_0, p_1) , sample $v \leftarrow S$ and $e_1, e_2 \leftarrow \chi$. Output ct = $([m + p_0v + e_1]_q, [p_1v + e_2]_q)$.

Decrypt(sk, ct): Let ct = (c_0, c_1) . Output $m' = [c_0 + c_1 s]_q$.

Add(ct_0, ct_1): Output $ct = ([ct_0[0] + ct_1[0]]_q, [ct_0[1] + ct_1[1]]_q).$

Pre-Multiply(ct_0, ct_1): Set

$$\begin{split} d_0 &= \left[\mathtt{ct_0}[0] \mathtt{ct_1}[0] \right]_q \\ d_1 &= \left[\mathtt{ct_0}[0] \mathtt{ct_1}[1] + \mathtt{ct_0}[1] \mathtt{ct_1}[0] \right]_q \\ d_2 &= \left[\mathtt{ct_0}[1] \mathtt{ct_1}[1] \right]_q \end{split}$$

Output $ct = (d_0, d_1, d_2)$.

Relinearize(ct, evk): Let $\operatorname{ct}[0] = d_0$, $\operatorname{ct}[1] = d_1$ and $\operatorname{ct}[2] = d_2$. Let $\operatorname{evk}[0] = -a's + e' + Ps^2$ and $\operatorname{evk}[1] = a'$. Set

$$c'_0 = [d_0 + \lfloor P^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot (-a's + e' + Ps^2)]]_q$$

$$c'_1 = [d_1 + \lfloor P^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot a' \rceil]_q$$

Output $ct' = (c'_0, c'_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Rescale}(\operatorname{ct},q'): & \operatorname{Let} \, \operatorname{ct} = (c_0,c_1). \, \operatorname{Set} \, c_0' = \left[\left\lfloor \frac{q'}{q} c_0 \right\rceil \right]_{q'} \, \operatorname{and} \, c_1' = \left[\left\lfloor \frac{q'}{q} c_1 \right\rceil \right]_{q'}. \\ & \operatorname{Output} \, \operatorname{ct} = (c_0',c_1'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this decrypts as

$$d_0 + sd_1 + s^2d_2 = (c_0 + c_1s)(c'_0 + sc'_1)$$

= $mm' + E$,

for some error E. Recall that the evaluation key is $evk = ([-a's + e' + Ps^2]_{Pq}, a')$. Then, the output of Relinearize is

$$C_0 = d_0 + \lfloor P^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot (-a's + e' + Ps^2) \rceil$$

= $d_0 + P^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot (-a's + e' + Ps^2) + \epsilon_0$
$$C_1 = d_1 + \lfloor P^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot a' \rceil$$

= $d_1 + P^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot a' + \epsilon_1$,

where ϵ_i are rounding errors. Decrypting this yields

$$C_0 + sC_1 = d_0 + P^{-1}d_2(-a's + e' + Ps^2) + \epsilon_0 + sd_1 + sP^{-1}d_2a' + s\epsilon_1$$

= $d_0 + sd_1 + s^2d_2 + (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_2s) + P^{-1}d_2e'$
= $mm' + E + (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1s) + P^{-1}d_2e'$.

From the previous subsection, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{Decrypt}(\mathtt{ct},\mathtt{sk}) &= c_0 + sc_1 \pmod{Q} \\ &= m + p_0c + e_1 + svp_1 + se_2 \\ &= m + ve + e_1 + se_2. \end{aligned}$$

By abuse of notation¹, we have that

$$E = (m + ve + e_1 + se_2)(m' + v'e' + e'_1 + se'_2),$$

so we are indeed left with an s^2 term.

3 CKKS Error Estimation

3.1 Fresh ciphertext

The number of error bits in fresh ciphertext c_1 encrypting message m_1 using error distribution $N(0, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and secret distribution $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ is given by (Using Central Limit Theory (CLT) and results from [2]).

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log N(\rho_{fresh}^2 + \frac{1}{12}) + \log H_c(\alpha, N), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \rho_{fresh}^2 &= (\frac{4}{3}N+1)\sigma^2 \\ H_c(\alpha,N) &= (-\log(1-(1-\alpha)^{\frac{2}{N}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

 α represents the failure probability or error tolerance.

Similarly, ciphertext c_2 encrypting message m_2 using error distribution $N(0, \sigma'^2 I_N)$ and same secret distribution can be written as

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log N(\rho_{fresh}^{\prime 2} + \frac{1}{12}) + \log H_c(\alpha, N), \tag{2}$$

where

$$\rho_{fresh}^{\prime 2} = (\frac{4}{3}N + 1)\sigma^{\prime 2}.$$

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{I}$ am reusing the notation e'

3.2 Addition

Adding these two ciphertext results into ciphertext with error bits

$$\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log N(\rho_{fresh}^{"2} + \frac{1}{6}) + \log 2H_c(\alpha, N)$$
(3)

with new error $N(0, \rho_{fresh}^{\prime\prime 2} I_N)$, where

$$\rho_{fresh}^{\prime\prime2}=(\frac{4}{3}N+1)(\sigma^2+\sigma^{\prime2})$$

3.3 Multiplication by constant

: Multiplying the ciphertext with a constant λ results in a ciphertext with new error $N(0,\rho_{mulconst}^2I_N)$ where

$$\rho_{mulconst}^2 = ||\lambda||_2^2 (\frac{4}{3}N + 1)\sigma^2 \tag{4}$$

3.4 Multiplication

: Multiplication of two ciphertext results into ciphertext with error of the following form

$$B_{final\ error} = \Delta^{-1}(B_{mult} + B_{ks}) + B_{round} \tag{5}$$

$$B_{mult} = N(0, N \rho_{fresh}^2 \rho_{fresh}'^2 I_N)$$

$$B_{ks} = N(0, \eta_{ks}^2 I_N)$$

$$B_{round} = N(0, \eta_{round}^2 I_N)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \rho_{fresh}^2 &= (\frac{4}{3}N+1)\sigma^2 \\ \rho_{fresh}'^2 &= (\frac{4}{3}N+1)\sigma'^2 \\ \eta_{ks}^2 &= \frac{1}{12}p^{-2}q_l^2N\sigma^2 + 1_{p\nmid q_l}(\frac{N}{18}+\frac{1}{12}) \\ &= \frac{1}{12}N\sigma^2 \qquad [usually \ p^{-2}q_l^2 \approx 1] \\ \eta_{round}^2 &= \frac{N}{18} + \frac{1}{12} \end{split}$$

The final error of multiplication of two ciphertext can be written down as

$$B_{final\ error} = \Delta^{-1}(N(0, N\rho_{fresh}^{2}\rho_{fresh}^{\prime 2}I_{N}) + N(0, \eta_{ks}^{2}I_{N})) + N(0, \eta_{round}^{2}I_{N})$$

$$= N(0, \Delta^{-2}N(\rho_{fresh}^{2}\rho_{fresh}^{\prime 2} + \frac{1}{12}\sigma^{2})I_{N}) + N(0, (\frac{N}{18} + \frac{1}{12})I_{N})$$

$$= N\left(0, \left(\Delta^{-2}N(\rho_{fresh}^{2}\rho_{fresh}^{\prime 2} + \frac{1}{12}\sigma^{2}) + \frac{N}{18} + \frac{1}{12}\right)I_{N}\right)$$

$$= N(0, \rho_{mult\ error}^{2}I_{N})$$
(6)

where

$$\rho_{mult\ error}^2 = \Delta^{-2} N (\rho_{fresh}^2 \rho_{fresh}'^2 + \frac{1}{12} \sigma^2) + \frac{N}{18} + \frac{1}{12}$$

4 CKKS parameter

Here is the list of parameter values suggested by the homomorphic encryption standards to retain different security levels while the secret key is sampled from ternary distribution (i.e., each coefficient is chosen uniformly from $\{-1,0,1\}$).

N	security level	$\log q_{max}$	uSVP	dec	dual
1024	128	27	131.6	160.2	138.7
	192	19	193.0	259.5	207.7
	256	14	265.6	406.4	293.8
2048	128	54	129.7	144.4	134.2
	192	37	197.5	233.0	207.8
	256	29	259.1	321.7	273.5
4096	128	109	128.1	134.9	129.9
	192	75	194.7	212.2	198.5
	256	58	260.4	292.6	270.1
8192	128	218	128.5	131.5	129.2
	192	152	192.2	200.4	194.6
	256	118	256.7	273.0	260.6
16384	128	438	128.1	129.9	129.0
	192	305	192.1	196.2	193.2
	256	237	256.9	264.2	259.8
32768	128	881	128.5	129.1	128.5
	192	611	192.7	194.2	193.7
	256	476	256.4	260.2	258.2

Table 1: The differnt parameters shown in the table represents the following: N is the ring dimension, security level is the bit security provided by the parameters equivalent to that of AES bit security. $\log q$ is the number of bits in the modulus q.~uSVP represents the bit security against unique shortest vector attack, dec represents the bit security against decoding attack and dual represents the bit security against dual attack.

In CKKS encryption scheme to get the bit security level as that of values mentioned in the Table 1 the modulus value have to follow the mentioned number of bits. Which means modulus value that is used for the evaluation key have to follow the mentioned number of bits shown in the table 1[3]. For example to obtain 128-bit security when N is set to 10 the value of PQ should be $\leq \log_2 q_{max} = 27$ -bits. Q is usually set as $Q_L = q_0 \cdot \Delta^L$ (usually q_0 is larger than Δ for correct decryption [3] and lies in range $\Delta < q_0 < \Delta^2$, [4]), L represents the multiplicative depth of the circuit. P is usually selected larger than the ciphertext modulus q_0 , so that the key switching-error is bounded by $P^{-1} \cdot q \cdot B_{ks} + B_{rs} \approx B_{rs}$ smaller than 15 P (However I am not sure, guessed from the paper [5, 3]). For example in lattigo to obtain 128-bit security following paramters values have been mentioned.

```
\begin{split} \log_2 P &= 244 \\ \log_2 Q &= 1276 \quad (236 + 40 * 26) \\ \log_2 N &= 16 \\ \log_2 Slots &= 15 \\ \sigma &= 3.2 \\ \log_2 QP &= 1520 \\ levels &= 26 \\ scale &= 2^{40} \\ H(192; 32) \end{split}
```

References

- Cheon, J.H., Kim, A., Kim, M., Song, Y.: Homomorphic encryption for arithmetic of approximate numbers. In: Advances in Cryptology— ASIACRYPT 2017: 23rd International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptology and Information Security, Hong Kong, China, December 3-7, 2017, Proceedings, Part I 23, Springer (2017) 409–437
- [2] Costache, A., Curtis, B.R., Hales, E., Murphy, S., Ogilvie, T., Player, R.: On the precision loss in approximate homomorphic encryption. Cryptology ePrint Archive (2022)
- [3] Kim, A., Papadimitriou, A., Polyakov, Y.: Approximate homomorphic encryption with reduced approximation error. In: Topics in Cryptology–CT–RSA 2022: Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference 2022, Virtual Event, March 1–2, 2022, Proceedings, Springer (2022) 120–144
- [4] Cheon, J.H., Son, Y., Yhee, D.: Practical fle parameters against lattice attacks. Cryptology ePrint Archive (2021)
- [5] Han, K., Ki, D.: Better bootstrapping for approximate homomorphic encryption. In: Topics in Cryptology-CT-RSA 2020: The Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference 2020, San Francisco, CA, USA, February 24–28, 2020, Proceedings, Springer (2020) 364–390