Tupriums-Anmertauragen in blan (oder room oder sa) HA = thung lexel + hway | SXSI + than (e-iwat lexal + eiwat laxel) + thank (e-iwat lexal + eiwat lexal) Hr = the lexel-th (sxs) + to 2 21 (lexal + laxel) + to 2 (lexs) + loxel) Step 1 Un = e .. iwas lexel -> WHOUT sup by sup. Utimen lexel Ut = lexel timen Utinz e-int lexel Ut = \frac{1}{2}c e^{-iuz} lexel $\left[UH(t)U^{\dagger} + i\hbar \dot{U}U^{\dagger} \right] | ^{\shortmid}$ U to e inst lexelate pur contint local U two lexel Ut = thusg lexel U h no e int laxel Ut = to no e int eine laxel $(|e\rangle = e^{-i \omega_1 t} |e\rangle = (|e\rangle = (|e\rangle = (|e\rangle = |e\rangle) (|e\rangle = (|e\rangle = |e\rangle)$ $(1) = e^{-iL_{1}t} (exel | q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iL_{2}t \\ 0 & e^{-iL_{2}t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (ellit = (Uler) = (ethit (e)) = (elethit Ulexalut = e lexal, Ulaxelut = laxel e una = >U H(1)U+ = hway lexel + toway | 0x6| + to I'd (e lexy) + 2 [unt laxel) + 2 (e lexs) + e | sxel) Uut = iwa eithet lexel =innt lexel =ima lexel - iticut = - the lexel - they lexel - the lexel => H'A(1) = - to lexel + toward | 0x6| + to 2 (e lexel + e lexel +

Now thep 2: Uz= e low - with loxed => -tha U lexelut = -tha lexel | that = 2 inst Ulexalut = that -2 inst lexal that they Uloxalut = that -2 inst lexal that they Uloxalut = that -2 inst lexal that -2 type i (water) (16Xel U1 = 12 e (water)t e (wa-wz)t |6Xel U1 = 12 e | lexel and iUU+ =: ((W1-W2) @ (W1-W2)+ 16X61 @ - ((L1-W2)+ 16X61) = - (W1-W2) (SXS) =) 11= - # 216xel - #816xel + # 20 (16xel + 10xel) + # 20 (16xel + 10xel) wrong 6 use Un= emiliere ti (mr-mr) + lexel works well but then we have to energy Shifte UzUz HU, Uz = toweg lexel + tousg 6XSI + Sz (lexg)+hc) + szlexon+hc), i Unut + iUzUz = wn loxgl + wzlsxsl H = weglexel + wzlgxgl + wso H - H - wx 1 = H - wx (lexelt 1g kgl + 16xsl) > ff-wat = lexel (weg-wa) + lgxgl(www) + 16x5)

- what we did here

U1= - iwn 19x9 1 t

- iwst | Sxsl Un= e ind lexel U= eiwal 11 (-1/104 = -mv7) MHO4 = H , H-! OOH U2 = @ ((wx-wz) & 15 X6) 17 - H - 12 7 UUZUA = e i wat 1 - Twitig Xgl - Twitig Xgl = UZ'UA' H= weg lexel +2 (lexg) + (gxel)

2 << weg > use pertubation theory H= was lexel + 2(=iwastlexg) + = iwastlaxel) can be still apply postubation throng? sec Weg. Un = elugtlexel H= so (lexg) +19 xel)+(weg -weg) lexel -> can't just do fle same pertubation thuong now since there's no way-ten to compare 1+ to H= rclexyl +lgxel) +slexel -> control \$ to adjust the negnitude of participation both way to see it a term is big I reglightly or not is to switch to a lef. frame where it is fine-inde-pendent and compare it to other terms assume

the question is not only "is it had a from the 616 tens frequency?" (I think												9 6	ut	Cothes	" (S	n.	foot	oscilluting in a frequency that is							2 way	
		ank		00)80r	· 10	2	Jue -	-ivp	· \$	Often	. y	Qr	tahi	Ų	= 6	-i HC/t	esp	cially	کئر ر	You's	s ine	m+	ປ ເ ຜິ ປ	l in	
				al.	spec	ړد	Je:	+6m/	ht																	