

*Machine learning 2*  
Exercise sheet 6

FLEISCHMANN Kay, Matrnr: 352247  
ROHRMANN Till, Matrnr: 343756

May 22, 2013

# 1 String Kernels

Given the kernel function

$$\begin{aligned} k(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{A}^* \times \mathcal{A}^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \sum_{s \in \mathcal{A}^*} \#(s \subseteq x) \cdot \#(s \subseteq y) \cdot w(s) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.1 Unweighted bag-of-words

$w(s) = 1$  iff  $s$  is a word of the target language  $L$ .

*Prove that the unweighted bag-of-words is a kernel function.* Let  $K = (k(x_i, x_j))_{i,j}$  be the kernel matrix produced by the strings  $x_i$  with  $i \in [1, N]$ . Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$  be an arbitrary vector.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^N v_i k(x_i, x_j) v_j &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{A}^*} w(s) \sum_{i,j=1}^N v_i \#(s \subseteq x_i) \cdot \#(s \subseteq x_j) v_j \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{A}^*} w(s) \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \#(s \subseteq x_i) \right)^2}_{\geq 0} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the function  $k(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive semi-definite. □

## 1.2 Inverse document frequency

$w(s) = IDF(s) = \log N - \log DF(s)$  with  $DF(s) = \#\{k : 1 \leq k \leq N, s \subseteq D_k\}$ .

*Prove that the function  $k(\cdot, \cdot)$  with the inverse document frequency is a kernel function.* Let  $K = (k(x_i, x_j))_{i,j}$  be the kernel matrix produced by the strings  $x_i$  with  $i \in [1, N]$ . Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$  be an arbitrary vector.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^N v_i k(x_i, x_j) v_j &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{A}^*} w(s) \sum_{i,j=1}^N v_i \#(s \subseteq x_i) \cdot \#(s \subseteq x_j) v_j \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{A}^*} \underbrace{\log \left( \frac{N}{DF(s)} \right)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \#(s \subseteq x_i) \right)^2}_{\geq 0} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the function  $k(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive semi-definite. □

### 1.3 $n$ -spectrum kernel

$w(s) = 1$  iff  $|s| = n$ .

*Prove that the  $n$ -spectrum kernel is indeed a kernel.* Defining our target language as  $L = A^n$  and using the results from subsection 1.1 leads directly to the assumption.  $\square$

### 1.4 Blended $n$ -spectrum kernel

$w(s) = 1$  iff  $|s| \leq n$ .

*Prove that the blended  $n$ -spectrum kernel is indeed a kernel.* Defining our target language  $L = \bigcup_{i=0}^n A^i$  and using the results from subsection 1.1 leads directly to the assumption.  $\square$

### 1.5 Kernel matrices

Let  $n = 3$  and the data set is

ananas, anna, natter, otter, otto

#### 1.5.1 $n$ -spectrum kernel

$$K_{spec} = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \text{ananas} & \text{anna} & \text{natter} & \text{otter} & \text{otto} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} \text{ananas} \\ \text{anna} \\ \text{natter} \\ \text{otter} \\ \text{otto} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

#### 1.5.2 Blended $n$ -spectrum kernel

$$K_{bspec} = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \text{ananas} & \text{anna} & \text{natter} & \text{otter} & \text{otto} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} \text{ananas} \\ \text{anna} \\ \text{natter} \\ \text{otter} \\ \text{otto} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 29 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 14 & 12 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 5 & 17 & 11 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 11 & 14 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 9 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

## 2 Tree kernels

*Prove: A string  $x$  contains  $\mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$  substrings.* The number of all substrings of a string  $x$  is the sum of all substrings with length 1, length 2,  $\dots$ , length  $|x|$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \#_{\text{substrings}}(x) &= \sum_{l=1}^{|x|} \#_{\text{substrings of length } l}(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{|x|} |x| - l + 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The inequality (1) holds because there at most  $|x| - l + 1$  substrings of length  $l$  in a string of length  $|x|$ . There might also be fewer, if substrings occur mutliples times.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= |x|^2 - \frac{(|x| + 1)|x|}{2} + |x| \\
&= \frac{|x|^2 + |x|}{2} \\
&\in \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Prove: A substring  $w$  of  $x$  can be reached in  $\mathcal{O}(|w|)$  in the suffix tree of  $x$ .* If the string  $x$  contains the substring  $w$  then there is also a suffix starting with  $w$ . Thus, by traversing the suffix tree, following the edges with the corresponding letter, we'll find the substring  $w$  at the depth  $|w| \in \mathcal{O}(|w|)$ . If the substring  $w$  is not contained in  $x$ , then at latest after  $|w| - 1$  steps there is no edge anymore to follow, indicating that there is no substring  $w$ .

□

*Prove: The suffix tree of  $x$  can be stored in  $\mathcal{O}(|x|)$  space.* In a suffix tree each leaf denotes exactly one suffix. Since there are exactly  $|x|$  suffixes in a string of length  $|x|$ , each suffix tree has  $|x|$  leaves. Furthermore, each interior node, except for the root which can also have only one child, has at least 2 children. Thus, there can only be a maximum of  $|x| - 1$  interior nodes, because every interior nodes adds at least two leaves to the tree while consuming one open connection of another interior node. Consequently, the maximum number of nodes is  $|x| - 1 + |x| + 1 = 2|x| \in \mathcal{O}(|x|)$  and therefore we can store the suffix tree in linear space. □