## Maschinelles Lernen 2

Sommersemester 2013

Fachbereich Maschinelles Lernen Institut für Softwaretechnik und Theoretische Informatik Fakultät IV, TU Berlin Prof. Dr. Klaus-Robert Müller klaus-robert.mueller@tu-berlin.de

## Exercise sheet 1

Due April 22, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. local time in electronic form via the ISIS website. See the corresponding submission page for formatting details.

## 1. How to read a paper: LLE (40+10\*+60 P)

A good method to consolidate one's understanding of the LLE algorithm is reading the corresponding scientific publication:

- An Introduction to Locally Linear Embedding by Lawrence K. Saul and Sam T. Roweis, 2001
- Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction by Locally Linear Embedding by Sam T. Roweis and Lawrence K. Saul, 2000

While the second is a rather brief overview given in Science, the 2001 paper explains interesting details of LLE (we have linked both articles via the ISIS). However, as in all scientific papers, there are details which are not worked out explicitly, since they are deemed "easy", "widely known", "folklore", "trivial" or "too large to fit on the margin". While this may or may not be true (also depending on the reader's viewpoint), working out these details for oneself is often necessary for understanding the paper's contents in more than a superficial way. This exercise will guide you in doing so for the LLE papers. In the following, we will always refer to the 2001 paper and its notation.

- (a) In the third paragraph of page 3, it is claimed that the optimal weights  $W_{ij}$  which minimize the cost function  $\mathcal{E}$  are independent with respect to scaling, translation and rotation of the original data  $\overrightarrow{X}_i$ . Prove that. That is, prove that the minimum (or minima) of  $\mathcal{E}$  is (or are) invariant under the following symmetries:
  - (i) Replace all  $\overrightarrow{X}_i$  with  $\alpha \overrightarrow{X}_i$ , for an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (ii) Replace all  $\overrightarrow{X}_i$  with  $\overrightarrow{X}_i + \overrightarrow{v}$ , for a vector  $\overrightarrow{v} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ ,
- (iii) Replace all  $\overrightarrow{X}_i$  with  $U \cdot \overrightarrow{X}_i$ , where U is an orthogonal  $D \times D$  matrix (this additionally includes mirror symmetries).

Briefly explain why you obtain a statement for all possible rotations (e.g. rotating around arbitrary fixed points) by proving statements (i)-(iii), despite the fact that multiplying with U as in (iii) always leaves the origin (i.e., the zero vector) fixed (and only the origin in general).

- (b\*) Is the minimum of  $\mathcal{E}$  invariant under arbitrary linear change of coordinates? That is, if you replace all  $\overrightarrow{X}_i$  with  $A \cdot \overrightarrow{X}_i$ , where A is an invertible  $D \times D$  matrix. Either prove that it is, or provide a counterexample.
- (c) In the second paragraph on page 3, it is stated that finding the optimal  $W_{ij}$  is a least-squares problem, which is shown to have an explicit analytic solution in Appendix A. In the following, assume the notation of Appendix A. For abbreviation (and clarity of notation), additionally write

$$w = (w_1, \dots, w_K)^{\top}$$

for the weight vector which is optimized,

$$\eta = (\overrightarrow{\eta}_1, \dots, \overrightarrow{\eta}_K)^{\top}$$

for the  $(K \times D)$ -matrix of nearest neighbors of  $\overrightarrow{x}$ ,

$$\mathbb{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^{\top}$$

for the K-dimensional vector of ones, and

$$C = (\mathbb{1}\overrightarrow{x}^{\top} - \eta)(\mathbb{1}\overrightarrow{x}^{\top} - \eta)^{\top}$$

for the local covariance matrix at  $\overrightarrow{x}$ .

Work out the following claims from Appendix A:

(i) *Prove* that the optimal weights for  $\overrightarrow{x}$  are found by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{w} \quad w^{\top} C w$$

subject to 
$$w^{\top} \mathbb{1} = 1$$
.

In particular, prove equation (3) on page 9.

(ii) Show by using the Lagrangian method for constrained optimization that the minimum of the optimization problem is explicitly given by

$$w = \frac{C^{-1}\mathbb{1}}{\mathbb{1}^\top C^{-1}\mathbb{1}}.$$

(iii) Show that the minimum w can be equivalently found by solving the equation

$$Cw = 1$$
,

and then rescaling w such that  $w^{\top} \mathbb{1} = 1$ .

Please ask questions in the ISIS discussion forum for Machine Learning 2: https://www.isis.tu-berlin.de/mod/forum/view.php?id=317868