

Universität des Saarlandes
MI Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
Department of Computer Science

Bachelorthesis

Capabilities as a Solution against Tracking

submitted by

Tim Christmann
on January 01, 1970

Reviewers

Dr. Sven Bugiel
Noah Mauthe

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Ich erkläre hiermit an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel verwendet habe.

Statement in Lieu of an Oath

I hereby confirm that I have written this thesis on my own and that I have not used any other media or materials than the ones referred to in this thesis.

Saarbrücken, January 01, 1970,

(Tim Christmann)

Einverständniserklärung

Ich bin damit einverstanden, dass meine (bestandene) Arbeit in beiden Versionen in die Bibliothek der Informatik aufgenommen und damit veröffentlicht wird.

Declaration of Consent

I agree to make both versions of my thesis (with a passing grade) accessible to the public by having them added to the library of the Computer Science Department.

Saarbrücken, January 01, 1970,

(Tim Christmann)

What is the dedication page?

Yes, you can

Abstract

Trusted Web Activities and Custom Tabs enable Android developers to seamlessly integrate web content into native applications, offering a powerful tool for features such as Single Sign-On and in-app monetization. However, as shown by HyTrack, this integration also introduces severe privacy risks by blurring the boundary between web and app contexts, allowing persistent cross-app tracking through the browser's shared cookie storage.

Adjust to "final" solution

In this work, we propose a novel framework based on capability-based access control to mitigate these risks. By issuing fine-grained security tokens, our framework limits the access of third-party libraries to browser state, without compromising core functionalities such as SSO or web-based UI components.

Adjust Results

We evaluate our solution against the threat model and methodology introduced in HyTrack. Preliminary results indicate that our framework is easy to integrate, preserves application behavior, and successfully blocks unauthorized cookie access across applications. In our tests, it prevented [X]% of third-party cookies from being shared, while maintaining [Y]% compatibility with existing third-party SDKs.

how to best test this?

maybe add some other number stuff:
Cookies set, sent, types, permissions ...

Acknowledgements

Thanks Obama, Sven and Noah Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Contents

Abstract	vii
Acknowledgements	ix
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xv
1 Introduction	1
2 Related Work	3
3 Methodology	5
4 Evaluation	7
5 Schedule	9
6 Success Criteria	11
Bibliography	13
Additional Something	15

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

In recent years, Android applications have increasingly leveraged web content within their interfaces to enhance user experience and streamline features such as authentication and monetization. To enable this, developers often use Custom Tabs (CTs) and Trusted Web Activities (TWAs), technologies that provide seamless, browser-backed web integration while maintaining native-like performance and features. This approach allows web-based functionality like Single Sign-On (SSO), for example login via Facebook or embedded advertising, without forcing users to switch between app and browser.

However, these benefits come at a cost. CTs and TWAs share the browser’s cookie storage across all apps, enabling continuity of web sessions—but also opening serious privacy vulnerabilities. Recent research by Wessels et al. introduced HyTrack, a novel tracking technique that exploits this shared browser state to persistently track users across different applications and the web, even surviving device changes, cookie clearing, or browser switching [1]. [HyTrack works by embedding a third-party library into multiple unrelated apps. Each app, unaware of the library’s true purpose, opens a CT or TWA to the same tracking domain. This domain sets a unique identifier in a cookie, stored in the browser’s shared cookie jar. When another app using the same library loads content from the same domain, the cookie is sent, enabling the tracker to correlate activity across apps—and even into regular browser use. Due to Android’s backup mechanisms, the tracking ID can be restored even after a factory reset, rendering it more persistent than traditional evercookies](#)

Cite here or
directly after
name?

This thesis explores whether Capabilities, a fine-grained access control model, can be used to limit or prevent these privacy issues without breaking legitimate use cases of CTs and TWAs. Specifically, we aim to design and evaluate a framework that allows developers to retain the benefits of third-party libraries—such as SSO or monetization—without exposing users to invisible, cross-app tracking. The framework should be simple to

integrate, practical in real-world deployments, and minimize interference with established app workflows.

Chapter 2

Related Work

Explaining difference between stateful and stateless tracking here wrong place???
Cite same sources as HyTrack?

Tracking mechanisms are typically divided into two broad categories: stateful and stateless tracking.

Stateful tracking relies on storing unique identifiers on the client device, most commonly through cookies or local storage. When a user revisits a site or interacts with embedded third-party content across domains, these identifiers are sent along with requests, allowing persistent recognition. While straightforward and highly effective, stateful tracking has become increasingly restricted through browser policies (e.g., third-party cookie blocking) and mobile platform changes such as the ability to disable the Google Advertising ID (GAID) on Android.

Stateless tracking, also known as fingerprinting, infers a user's identity based on a combination of device-specific attributes. These can include screen dimensions, installed fonts, and even subtle hardware or rendering quirks. Although this method is harder to detect and block—since it does not rely on persistent storage—it is also inherently less reliable, as small system changes may alter the fingerprint and disrupt identification.

Despite mitigation efforts, stateful tracking techniques are now re-emerging in new contexts. A notable example is HyTrack [1], which demonstrates a novel cross-app and cross-web tracking technique in the Android ecosystem. HyTrack exploits the shared cookie storage between Custom Tabs and Trusted Web Activities (TWAs) to persistently track users across multiple applications and the browser, even surviving user efforts to reset or sanitize their environments. While HyTrack highlights a serious privacy vulnerability, no concrete mitigation has been proposed that balances privacy with the legitimate need for seamless web integration—such as Single Sign-On or ad delivery—within mobile apps.

cite same source as HyTrack? + similar work on fingerprinting on mobile devices?

add that Zimmeck et al. have shown existence of cross-device tracking?

Our work addresses this gap by proposing a capability-based access control framework for Android applications using CTs and TWAs. By issuing fine-grained tokens that restrict third-party libraries' access to shared browser state, we prevent cross-app cookie tracking without breaking essential functionality. In contrast to prior work that focuses

like Safari
on browser-side or user-driven defenses (e.g., partitioned storage or consent prompts

current state
when opening
TWA
(), our approach provides developers with a practical and enforceable way to contain
third-party behavior within application boundaries.

check if cur-
rent idea works;
adjust accord-
ingly

Chapter 3

Methodology

Write the methodology.

Chapter 4

Evaluation

Write the evaluation.

Chapter 5

Schedule

Write the schedule.

Chapter 6

Success Criteria

Write the success criteria.

Bibliography

- [1] M. Wessels, S. Koch, J. Drescher, L. Bettels, D. Klein, and M. Johns, “Hytrack: Resurrectable and persistent tracking across android apps and the web,” in *34th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 25)*. Seattle, WA: USENIX Association, Aug. 2025.

Additional Something

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Notes

■ What is the dedication page?	v
■ Adjust to "final" solution	vii
■ Adjust Results	vii
■ how to best test this?	vii
■ maybe add some other number stuff: Cookies set, sent, types, permissions	vii
■ Cite here or directly after name?	1
■ Explaining difference between stateful and stateless tracking here wrong place???	
Cite same sources as HyTrack?	3
■ cite same source as HyTrack? + similar work on fingerprinting on mobile devices? .	3
■ add that Zimmeck et al. have shown existence of cross-device tracking?	3
■ like Safari	4
■ current state when opening TWA	4
■ check if current idea works; adjust accordingly	4
■ Write the methodology.	5
■ Write the evaluation.	7
■ Write the schedule.	9
■ Write the success criteria.	11