**Theorem 0.1.** Let D be a negative type matrix. Let  $LRO_k(S)$  denote the optimal low-rank approximation of S in the Froebenius norm. Then in  $O(npoly\frac{k}{\varepsilon})$  time, we can compute matrix D' of rank k such that:

$$||D - D'||_F \le (1 + \varepsilon)||D - LRO_{k-2}(D)||_F$$
 (1)

To do this, we will use Musco and Woodruff and a careful use of Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem.

**Theorem 0.2.** (Musco and Woodruff) Let M be a positive semi-definite matrix. Then we can compute a matrix M' of rank k in  $O(npoly_{\varepsilon}^{\underline{k}}$  time such that:

$$||M - M'||_F < (1 + \varepsilon)||M - LRO_k(M)||_F \tag{2}$$

Cauchy's Interlacing theorem, as stated in lemma 3.4 of https://arxiv.org/pdf/1408.4421v1.pdf:

**Theorem 0.3.** (Optimal Low Rank Approximation of Matrices): Let  $\mu_1, \ldots \mu_n$  be eigenvectors of symmetric matrix S, where  $|\mu_1| \leq \ldots \leq |\mu_n|$ . Then:

$$S - LRO_k(S) = l_2(\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n)$$

We state the above theorem without proof. Here,  $l_2$  represents the  $l_2$  norm.

**Theorem 0.4.** (Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem) Let  $\lambda_i^X$  denote the  $i^{th}$  largest eigenvalues of X for any matrix X. If A is a symmetric matrix and v is a vector, then the eigenvalues of  $A + vv^T$  satisfy:

$$\lambda_i^A \le \lambda_i^{A+vv^T} \le \lambda_{i+1}^A \tag{3}$$

$$\lambda_i^{A+vv^T} \le \lambda_{i+1}^A \le \lambda_{i+1}^{A+vv^T} \tag{4}$$

Corollary 0.4.1.

$$\lambda_{i-1}^A \le \lambda_i^{A+vv^T - ww^T} \le \lambda_{i+1}^A \tag{5}$$

$$\lambda_{i-1}^{A+vv^T - ww^T} \le \lambda_i^A \le \lambda_{i+1}^{A+vv^T - ww^T} \tag{6}$$

This follows from Theorem 0.4.

**Definition 0.5.** Let  $M := [d_{ij} - d_{0i} - d_{0j}]$ , where  $d_{ij}$  is the ij entry of D. Let  $A_1 = [d_{0i}]$ , and  $A_2 = [d_{0j}]$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are n by n matrices. By construction,  $D = M + A_1 + A_2$ , and sampling from M can be done quickly by taking three samples from D.

Let v be the vector with entries  $(1+d_{0i})/2$ , and w be the vector with entires  $(1-d_{0i})/2$ .

**Lemma 0.6.**  $A1 + A2 = vv^T - ww^T$ 

(Proof omitted. This is straightforward computation I think.)

**Lemma 0.7.**  $D = M + vv^T - ww^T$ 

*Proof.* This follows from lemma 0.6.

Corollary 0.7.1. Using D and M as defined in 0.5:

$$\lambda_{i-1}^M \le \lambda_i^D \le \lambda_{i+1}^M \tag{7}$$

$$\lambda_{i-1}^D \le \lambda_i^M \le \lambda_{i+1}^D \tag{8}$$

*Proof.* This follows from corollary 0.4.1 and Lemma 0.6

Now, we list basic facts about negative type distances D.

Theorem 0.8.

$$\lambda_1^D \le \dots \lambda_{n-1}^D \le 0 < \lambda_n^D$$
$$\lambda_n^D \ge |\lambda_1^D| \ge \dots |\lambda_{n-1}^D| \ge 0$$

The second chain of inequalities holds since D has at most one positive eigenvalue (known, I can show you how to prove this offline). Also, the trace of D is 0, which means the positive eigenvalue is equal to the negative sum of the negative eigenvalues.

**Theorem 0.9.** M is negative-semidefinite, and so:

$$\lambda_1^M \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^M = 0$$

$$|\lambda_1^M| \ge \dots |\lambda_n^M| \ge 0$$

That M is negative-semidefinite, is well established in the literature.

Theorem 0.10. For all i < n:

$$|\lambda_{i-1}^M| \ge |\lambda_i^D| \ge |\lambda_{i+1}^M| \tag{9}$$

For all  $2 \le i < n - 1$ :

$$|\lambda_{i-1}^D| \ge |\lambda_i^M| \ge |\lambda_{i+1}^D| \tag{10}$$

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 0.7.1.

**Theorem 0.11.** Let M' be the output of Woodruff and Musco, applied to M, where M' is rank k-2. Then let  $D' = M' + vv^T - ww^T$ . Recall that  $D = M + vv^T - ww^T$ . Then

$$||D - D'||_F = ||M - M'|| \le (1 + \varepsilon)||M - LRO_{k-2}(M)||$$
  
=  $(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot l_2(\lambda_{k-1}^M, \dots \lambda_n^M)$ 

Theorem 0.12. For  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$||D - LRO_j(D)||_F = l_2(\lambda_j^D, \dots \lambda_{n-1}^D)$$

Theorem 0.13.

$$l_2(\lambda_{k-1}^M, \dots \lambda_n^M) \le l_2(\lambda_{k-2}^D, \dots \lambda_{n-1}^D) = ||D - LRO_{k-2}(D)||_F$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from Theorem 0.10.

Theorem 0.14.

$$||D - D'||_F \le (1 + \varepsilon)||D - LRO_{k-2}(D)||_F$$

As desired. Note that I think we can actually bound  $||D-D'||_F$  with  $||D-LRO_{k-1}(D)||_F$  with more careful eigenvalue bounding, but whatevs. Note that D' can be computed quickly (M' can be obtained quickly by sampling from D, and v and w can be computed in O(n) time.)