1 Systems Theory

1.1 Linearization

1.2 Discretization

Exact

Forward-Euler

Backward-Euler

1.3 Lyapunov Function

$$V(0)=0, x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow V(x)>0,$$
 $V(g(x(k+1)))-V(x(k+1)) \leq -\alpha(x(k))$ System asymptotically stable if $V(x)$ exists. Globally stable iff $\|x\| \to \infty \Longrightarrow V(x) \to \infty.$ Check Eig. values of $(APA-P)$ neg., $V(x)=x^TPx$?

2 Unconstrained Control

2.1 Block Approach (used also for \bar{w} substition)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \\ \vdots \\ A^N \end{bmatrix} x(0) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ AB & B & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ A^{N-1}B & \dots & AB & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} x &= \boldsymbol{S}^x \cdot x(0) + \boldsymbol{S}^u \cdot u & \operatorname{size}(\boldsymbol{S}^x) = [n_{\operatorname{states}} \cdot (N+1), N] \\ & \operatorname{size}(\boldsymbol{S}^u) = [n_{\operatorname{states}} \cdot (N+1), n_{\operatorname{states}}] \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{Q}} &= \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{Q}, \dots, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{P}) & \operatorname{size}(\bar{\boldsymbol{Q}}) = [n_{\operatorname{states}} \cdot (N+1), n_{\operatorname{states}} \cdot (N+1)] \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{R}} &= \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{R}, \dots, \boldsymbol{R}) & \operatorname{size}(\bar{\boldsymbol{R}}) = (n_{\operatorname{input}} \cdot N, n_{\operatorname{input}} \cdot N) \\ \boldsymbol{H} &= \boldsymbol{S}^{uT} \bar{\boldsymbol{Q}} \boldsymbol{S}^u + \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{F} &= \boldsymbol{S}^{xT} \bar{\boldsymbol{Q}} \boldsymbol{S}^u \\ \boldsymbol{Y} &= \boldsymbol{S}^{xT} \bar{\boldsymbol{Q}} \boldsymbol{S}^x \end{split}$$

Optimal cost and control

$$J^{*}(x_{0}) = -x_{0}^{T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{F}^{T} x_{0} + x_{0}^{T} \mathbf{Y} x_{0}$$
$$u^{*}(x_{0}) = -\mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{F}^{T} x_{0} = -\left(\mathbf{S}^{uT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^{u} + \mathbf{R}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{S}^{uT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^{x} x_{0}$$

2.2 Recursive Approach

$$J_k^*(x_k) = \min_{u_k} I(x_k, u_k) + J_{k+1}(x_{k+1})$$

Is a feedback controller as opposed to the Batch Approach. For LQR solve via Riccati Difference Equation (RDE).

$$F_k = -(B^T P_{k+1} B + R)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A$$

 $P_k = A^T P_{k+1} A + Q - A^T P_{k+1} B (B^T P_{k+1} B + R)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A$

$$u_k^* = \mathbf{F}_k \ x_k$$
 $J_k^*(x_k) = x_k^T \mathbf{P}_k \ x_k$ $\mathbf{P}_N = \mathbf{P}$

For unconstrained Infinite Horizon Problem, substituting $P_{\infty} = P_k = P_{k+1}$ into RDE gives DARE. Uniquely solvable, iff (A, B) stabilizable and (A, G) detectable, where $GG^T = Q$. Follows from closed-loop system $x_{k+1} = (A + BF_k)x_k$

3 (Convex) Optimization

3.1 Convexity

Convex set \mathcal{X} iff $\forall \lambda \in [0,1] \forall x,y \in \mathcal{X} \ \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in \mathcal{X}$. Intersection preserves convexity, union does not.

Affine set
$$\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \mathbf{A}x = b\}$$
 for some \mathbf{A}, b

Subspace is affine set through origin, i.e. b = 0, aka Nullspace of A.

Hyperplane
$$\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | a^T x = b\}$$
 for some a, b .

Halfspace
$$\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | a^T x \le b\}$$
 for some a, b .

Polyhedron
$$\mathcal{P} = \{x | a_i^T x \leq b_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{x | \mathbf{A}x \leq b\}$$

Cone

Ellipsoid
$$\mathcal{E} = \{x | (x - x_c)^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} (x - x_c) \le 1\}, x_c \text{ center point.}$$

Convex function

Norm $f(x): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$

$$f(x) = 0 \implies x = 0, \qquad f(x) \ge 0$$

$$f(\alpha \cdot x) = |\alpha| \cdot f(x) \qquad \text{for scalar } \alpha$$

$$f(x+y) \le f(x) + f(y) \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

tim: Maybe move the above somewhere else?

General Problem $\min_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} f(x)$ s. t. $g_i(x) \leq 0$ and $h_i(x) = 0$.

3.2 Linear Programming (LP)

Problem statement min $c^T x$ such that $Gx \le h$ and Ax = b.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Norm} \ l_{\infty} & \min_{x} \|x\|_{\infty} = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\max\{x, \dots, x_n, -x_1, \dots, -x_n\} \right] : \\ & \min_{x,t} t & \text{subject to} \quad x_i \leq t, -x_i \leq t, \qquad \operatorname{\textit{\textbf{F}}} x \leq g \\ & \Longleftrightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} t & \text{subject to} \quad -\operatorname{\textbf{1}} t \leq x \leq 1t, \qquad \operatorname{\textbf{\textbf{F}}}_x \leq g. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Norm } l_1 \quad \min_x \|x\|_1 = \min_x \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \max\{x_i, -x_i\} \right] : \\ & \quad \min_t t_1 + \dots + t_m \quad \text{subject to} \quad x_i \leq t_i, -x_i \leq t_i, \quad \quad \textbf{\textit{F}} x \leq g \\ & \iff \min_t \textbf{\textit{I}}^T t \qquad \quad \text{subject to} \quad -t \leq x \leq t, \qquad \quad \textbf{\textit{F}}_x \leq g. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for dim x = 1, l_1 and l_{∞} are the same.

MPC with linear cost

$$J(x_0, u) = \|Px_N\|_p + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|Qx_i\|_p + \|Ru_i\|_p.$$

tim: Insert here slide 45, lect 4

Receding Horizon Control - RHC

QP with substitution (see also Batch approach)

$$J^*(x_k) = \min_{u} \begin{bmatrix} u^T & x_k^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H} & \boldsymbol{F}^T \\ \boldsymbol{F} & \boldsymbol{Y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ x_k \end{bmatrix}$$
s. t. $\boldsymbol{G} \ u \le w + \boldsymbol{E} \ x_k$

Latter gives three sets (same for without substitution)

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x | A_x \ x \le b_x\}$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \{u | A_u \ u \le b_u\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{f} = \{x | A_f \ x \le b_f\}$$

State equations are in cost matrix, usually

$$m{A}_x = egin{bmatrix} 1 \ -1 \end{bmatrix}, b_x = egin{bmatrix} b_{ ext{max}} \ -b_{ ext{min}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} A_u & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_u & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_x B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_x A B & A_x B & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_x A^{N-2} B & A_x A^{N-3} B & \dots & 0 \\ A_f A^{N-1} B & A_f A^{N-2} B & \dots & A_f B \end{bmatrix} \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -A_x \\ -A_x A \\ -A_x A \\ -A_x A^2 \\ \vdots \\ -A_x A^{N-1} \\ -A_f A^N \end{bmatrix} \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} b_u \\ b_u \\ \vdots \\ b_x \\ b_x \\ b_x \\ \vdots \\ -A_x A^{N-1} \\ b_f \end{bmatrix}$$

QP with out substitution State equations represented in equality constrainst.

$$J^{*}(x_{k}) = \min_{z} \begin{bmatrix} z^{T} & x_{k}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{H} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ x_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
s. t. $G z \leq w + E x_{k}$
$$G_{eq} z = E_{eq} x_{k}, \text{ system dynamics}$$

$$\bar{H} = \operatorname{diag}(Q, \dots, Q, P, R, \dots, R)$$

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_N \\ u_0 \\ u_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad G_{\text{eq}} = \begin{bmatrix} I & & -B \\ -A & I & & -B \\ & -A & I \end{bmatrix} \qquad E_{\text{eq}} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} b_x \\ b_f \\ b_u \\ b_u \end{bmatrix} \qquad G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_x & & & \\ & A_x & & & \\ & & A_d \end{bmatrix} \qquad E = \begin{bmatrix} -A_x^T \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.3 Duality

Lagrangian Dual Function

$$L(x,\lambda,\nu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i h_i(x)$$
$$d(\lambda,\nu) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} L(x,\lambda,\nu) \quad \text{i.e. } \nabla_x L(x,\lambda,\nu) = 0$$

Dual Problem (always convex) $\max_{\lambda,\nu} d(\lambda,\nu)$ s. t. $\lambda \geq 0$. Optimal value is lower bound for primal: $d^* \leq p^*$. If primal convex, *Slater condition* (strict feasibility) implies *strong duality*:

$$\{x \mid Ax = b, f_i(x) < 0, \} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow d^* = p^*$$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) Conditions are necessary for optimality (and sufficient if primal convex).

• Primal Feasibility:

$$f_i(x^*) \le 0$$
 $i = 1, ..., m$
 $h_i(x^*) = 0$ $i = 1, ..., p$

- Dual Feasibility: $\lambda^* \geq 0$
- Complementary Slackness:

$$\lambda_i^* \cdot f_i(x^*) = 0 \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Stationarity:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = 0$$

3.4 Constrained Finite Time Optimal Control (CFTOC)

3.5 Invariance

Def.: $x(k) \in O \implies x(k+1) \in O \forall k$.

$$pre(S) := \{x | g(x) \in S\} \qquad \qquad = \{x | Ax \in S\}$$

tim: We need more here, poos. inv. set, max. pos.inv O_{∞}

3.6 Stability and Feasability

Recursive Stability, optimal cost is Lyapunov function.

tim: What is meant with that

Main Idea: Choose \mathcal{X}_f and \boldsymbol{P} to mimic inf horizon, terminal cost ist Lyapunov function: $x_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{P} x_{k+1} - x_k^T \boldsymbol{P} x_k = -x_k^T (\boldsymbol{Q} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\infty}^T \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{F}_{\infty}) x_k$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= \boldsymbol{A} x_k + \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{F}_{\infty} \ x_k \in \mathcal{X}_f & \forall x_k \in \mathcal{X}_f \ \text{terminal set invariant} \\ \mathcal{X}_f &\subseteq \mathcal{X}, & \boldsymbol{F}_{\infty} \ x_k \in \mathcal{U} & \forall x_k \in \mathcal{X}_f \ \text{constrainst satisfied} \end{aligned}$$

And stage cost is PD-function \implies Extension to non-linear (time-invariant) MPC possible since terminal set and cost do not rely on linearity.

3.7 Practical Issues

$$\begin{bmatrix} I - A & -B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_s \\ u_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_d \hat{d} \\ r - C_d \hat{d} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\min u_s^T \mathbf{R}_s u_s$ else $\min (\mathbf{C} x_s - r)^T \mathbf{Q}_s (\mathbf{C} x_s - r)$ subject to $x_s = \mathbf{A} x_s + \mathbf{B} u_s$.

MPC for tracking

Delta formulation

Offset free tracking

$$x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + \mathbf{B}_d d_k$$
$$d_{k+1} = d_k$$
$$y_k = \mathbf{C}x_k + \mathbf{C}_d d_k$$

Choice of B_d , C_d requires that (A, C) is observable and $\begin{bmatrix} A - I & B_d \\ C & C_d \end{bmatrix}$ has full column frank (i.e. $\det \neq 0$).

If plant has no integrator we can choose $B_d = 0$ since $\det(A - I) \neq 0$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{k+1} \\ \hat{d}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B}_d \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_k \\ \hat{d}_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{B} \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} u_k + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{L}_x \\ \boldsymbol{L}_d \end{bmatrix} \left(-y_m(k) + \boldsymbol{C}\hat{x}_k + \boldsymbol{C}_d\hat{d}_k \right)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} m{L}_x \\ m{L}_d \end{bmatrix}$ stable and causes error dynamics to converge.

??? Will always converge if RHC is recursively feasible unconstrained for k > j.

Soft-constraints via slack variables

3.8 Robust MPC

Enforcing terminal constraints by robust invariance:

$$x \in O^{\mathcal{W}} \implies g(x, w) \in \Omega^{W} \ \forall w \in \mathcal{W}$$

$$\operatorname{pre}^{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega) = \{x | g(x, w) \in \Omega \ \forall w \in \mathcal{W}\}$$

tim: Maybe an example from exercises to compute O_{∞}^{W}

Enforcing sequential constraints for uncertain system ϕ :

$$\phi_i(x_0, u, w) = \left\{ x_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbf{A}^J w_j \middle| w \in \mathcal{W}^i \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$$
$$\phi_N(x_0, u, w) \in \mathcal{X}_f \quad \text{as well}$$

tim: One or two words on what is what

$$x_i \in \mathcal{X} \ominus \left(\mathcal{W} \oplus A \mathcal{W} \oplus \cdots \oplus A^{i-1} \mathcal{W} \right)$$

$$= \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} A^j \mathcal{W} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & A^0 & \cdots & A^{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{W}^i$$

$$A_x x \leq b_x$$

$$A_x x_i + A_x \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} A^j w_k \leq b_x$$

Tube-MPC We want nominal system $z_k = Az_k + Bv_k$ with "tracking" controller $u_k = K(x_k - z_k) + v_k$, K found offline. Step 1: Compute $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{j} \mathcal{W}$. Step 2: Shrink Constraints:

$$\{z_i\} \oplus \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \qquad \Longrightarrow z_i \in \mathcal{X} \ominus \mathcal{E}$$
$$u_i \in \mathcal{K}\mathcal{E} \oplus \{v_i\} \subset U \qquad \Longrightarrow v_i \in \mathcal{U} \ominus \mathcal{K}\mathcal{E}$$

Also $z_n \in \mathcal{X}_f \ominus \mathcal{E}$ accordingly.

3.9 Explicit MPC

3.10 Hybrid MPC

4 Numerical Optimization

Gradient, Newton, Interior Point