

1 Systems Theory

1.1 Linearization

1.2 Discretization

Exact

Forward-Euler

Backward-Euler

1.3 Lyapunov Function

$V(0) = 0, x \neq 0 \implies V(x) > 0, V(g(x(k+1))) - V(x(k+1)) \leq -\alpha(x(k))$

System asymptotically stable if $V(x)$ exists. Globally stable iff $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \implies V(x) \rightarrow \infty$.

Check Eig. values of $(APA - P)$ neg., $V(x) = x^T P x$?

2 Unconstrained Control

2.1 Block Approach (used also for \bar{w} substitution)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}^N \end{bmatrix} x(0) + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{AB} & \mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}^{N-1}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{AB} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \mathbf{S}^x \cdot x(0) + \mathbf{S}^u \cdot u & \text{size}(\mathbf{S}^x) &= [n_{\text{states}} \cdot (N+1), N] \\ & & \text{size}(\mathbf{S}^u) &= [n_{\text{states}} \cdot (N+1), n_{\text{states}}] \\ \bar{\mathbf{Q}} &= \text{diag}(\mathbf{Q}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}) & \text{size}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}) &= [n_{\text{states}} \cdot (N+1), n_{\text{states}} \cdot (N+1)] \\ \bar{\mathbf{R}} &= \text{diag}(\mathbf{R}, \dots, \mathbf{R}) & \text{size}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}) &= (n_{\text{input}} \cdot N, n_{\text{input}} \cdot N) \\ \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{S}^{uT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^u + \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{F} &= \mathbf{S}^{xT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^u \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{S}^{xT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^x \end{aligned}$$

Optimal cost and control

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(x_0) &= -x_0^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{F}^T x_0 + x_0^T \mathbf{Y} x_0 \\ u^*(x_0) &= -\mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{F}^T x_0 = -\left(\mathbf{S}^{uT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^u + \mathbf{R} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{S}^{uT} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{S}^x x_0 \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Recursive Approach

$$J_k^*(x_k) = \min_{u_k} I(x_k, u_k) + J_{k+1}(x_{k+1})$$

Is a feedback controller as opposed to the Batch Approach. For LQR solve via Riccati Difference Equation (RDE).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_k &= -(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{P}_k &= \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$$

$$u_k^* = \mathbf{F}_k x_k \quad J_k^*(x_k) = x_k^T \mathbf{P}_k x_k \quad \mathbf{P}_N = \mathbf{P}$$

For unconstrained Infinite Horizon Problem, substituting $\mathbf{P}_\infty = \mathbf{P}_k = \mathbf{P}_{k+1}$ into RDE gives DARE. Uniquely solvable, iff (A, B) stabilizable and (A, G) detectable, where $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T = \mathbf{Q}$. Follows from closed-loop system $x_{k+1} = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}_k) x_k$

3 (Convex) Optimization

3.1 Convexity

Convex set \mathcal{X} iff $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1] \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \mathcal{X}$. Intersection preserves convexity, union does not.

Affine set $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \mathbf{A}x = b\}$ for some \mathbf{A}, b

Subspace is affine set through origin, i.e. $b = \mathbf{0}$, aka Nullspace of \mathbf{A} .

Hyperplane $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | a^T x = b\}$ for some a, b .

Halfspace $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | a^T x \leq b\}$ for some a, b .

Polyhedron $\mathcal{P} = \{x | a_i^T x \leq b_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{x | \mathbf{A}x \leq b\}$

Cone

Ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} = \{x | (x - x_c)^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} (x - x_c) \leq 1\}$, x_c center point.

Convex function

Norm $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 0 \implies x = 0, & f(x) &\geq 0 \\ f(\alpha \cdot x) &= |\alpha| \cdot f(x) & \text{for scalar } \alpha \\ f(x+y) &\leq f(x) + f(y) & \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

tim: Maybe move the above somewhere else?

General Problem $\min_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} f(x)$ s. t. $g_i(x) \leq 0$ and $h_j(x) = 0$.

3.2 Linear Programming (LP)

Problem statement $\min c^T x$ such that $\mathbf{G}x \leq h$ and $\mathbf{A}x = b$.

$l_\infty \min \|x\|_\infty$ equivalent to $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} [\max\{x, \dots, x_n, -x_1, \dots, -x_n\}]$. Epigraph gives:

tim: Set this in better form

$\min_{x,t} t$ such that $x_i \leq t, -x_i \leq t, \mathbf{F}x \leq g \iff \min_{x,t} t$ such that $-1t \leq x \leq 1t, \mathbf{F}x \leq g$.

l_1

RHC

QP with substitution (see also Batch approach)

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(x_k) &= \min_u \begin{bmatrix} u^T & x_k^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{F}^T \\ \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ x_k \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{s. t. } & \mathbf{G} u \leq w + \mathbf{E} x_k \end{aligned}$$

Latter gives three sets (same for without substitution)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \{x | \mathbf{A}_x x \leq b_x\} \\ \mathcal{U} &= \{u | \mathbf{A}_u u \leq b_u\} \\ \mathcal{X}_f &= \{x | \mathbf{A}_f x \leq b_f\} \end{aligned}$$

State equations are in cost matrix, usually $\mathbf{A}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b_x = \begin{bmatrix} b_{\max} \\ -b_{\min} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_u & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_u & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathbf{A}_u \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{B} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{B} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{A}^{N-2} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{A}^{N-3} \mathbf{B} & \dots & 0 \\ \mathbf{A}_f \mathbf{A}^{N-1} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}_f \mathbf{A}^{N-2} \mathbf{B} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_f \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -\mathbf{A}_x \\ -\mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{A} \\ -\mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{A}^2 \\ \vdots \\ -\mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{A}^{N-1} \\ -\mathbf{A}_f \mathbf{A}^N \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} b_u \\ b_u \\ \vdots \\ b_u \\ b_x \\ b_x \\ b_x \\ \vdots \\ b_x \\ b_f \end{bmatrix}$$

QP with out substitution State equations represented in equality constraint.

$$J^*(x_k) = \min_z \begin{bmatrix} z^T & x_k^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{H}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ x_k \end{bmatrix}$$

s. t. $\mathbf{G} z \leq w + \mathbf{E} x_k$
 $\mathbf{G}_{\text{eq}} z = \mathbf{E}_{\text{eq}} x_k$, system dynamics

$$\bar{\mathbf{H}} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{Q}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}, \dots, \mathbf{R})$$

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ u_0 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{G}_{\text{eq}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} -\mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{B} \end{array} \quad \mathbf{E}_{\text{eq}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} b_x \\ \vdots \\ b_f \\ b_u \\ \vdots \\ b_u \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{G} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{A}_x & \\ \hline & \mathbf{A}_d \end{array} \right] \quad \mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{A}_x^T \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.3 Duality

Lagrangian Dual Function

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x)$$

$$d(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} L(x, \lambda, \nu) \quad \text{i.e. } \nabla_x L(x, \lambda, \nu) = 0$$

Dual Problem (always convex) $\max_{\lambda, \nu} d(\lambda, \nu)$ s. t. $\lambda \geq 0$.

Optimal value is lower bound for primal: $d^* \leq p^*$.

If primal convex, *Slater condition* (strict feasibility) implies *strong duality*:

$$\{x \mid Ax = b, f_i(x) < 0, \} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow d^* = p^*$$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) Conditions are necessary for optimality (and sufficient if primal convex).

- Primal Feasibility:

$$f_i(x^*) \leq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$h_i(x^*) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

- Dual Feasibility: $\lambda^* \geq 0$
- Complementary Slackness:

$$\lambda_i^* \cdot f_i(x^*) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

- Stationarity:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = 0$$

3.4 Constrained Finite Time Optimal Control (CFTOC)

3.5 Invariance

Def.: $x(k) \in O \implies x(k+1) \in O \forall k$.

$$\text{pre}(S) := \{x \mid g(x) \in S\} = \{x \mid Ax \in S\}$$

tim: We need more here, poos. inv. set, max. pos.inv O_∞

3.6 Stability and Feasability

Recursive Stability, optimal cost is Lyapunov function.

tim: What is meant with that

Main Idea: Choose \mathcal{X}_f and \mathbf{P} to mimic inf horizon, terminal cost ist Lyapunov function: $x_{k+1}^T \mathbf{P} x_{k+1} - x_k^T \mathbf{P} x_k = -x_k^T (\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{F}_\infty^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{F}_\infty) x_k$, such that:

$$x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A} x_k + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}_\infty x_k \in \mathcal{X}_f \quad \forall x_k \in \mathcal{X}_f \text{ terminal set invariant}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_f \subseteq \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathbf{F}_\infty x_k \in \mathcal{U} \quad \forall x_k \in \mathcal{X}_f \text{ constraint satisfied}$$

And stage cost is PD-function \implies Extension to non-linear (time-invariant) MPC possible since terminal set and cost do not rely on linearity.

3.7 Practical MPC

3.8 Robust MPC

Tube-MPC

3.9 Explicit MPC

3.10 Hybrid MPC

4 Numerical Optimization

Gradient, Newton, Interior Point