# Class 8: Rotational Motion of a Rigid Body, Part 2

Advanced Placement Physics C

Dr. Timothy Leung

Fall 2021

Olympiads School

# Introduction

#### **Curvilinear vs. Rectilinear Motion**

Kinematic quantities for rectilinear (translational) vs. curvilinear (circular) motion are related:

$$ec{r} 
ightarrow heta \ ec{v} 
ightarrow heta \ lpha \ ec{lpha} 
ightarrow lpha \ lpha$$

Dynamics:

$$egin{array}{cccc} m & 
ightarrow & I \ ec{F} & 
ightarrow & ec{ au} \ ec{p} = m ec{ ext{v}} & 
ightarrow & ec{ ext{L}} = I ec{\omega} \end{array}$$

#### **Laws of Motion**

The laws of motion are also related between translational and rotational motion:

$$\vec{F}_{net} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} \rightarrow \vec{\tau}_{net} = \frac{d\vec{L}}{dt}$$
 $\vec{F}_{net} = m\vec{a} \rightarrow \vec{\tau}_{net} = I\vec{\alpha}$ 

3

#### **Solving Rotational Problems**

When solving for rotational problems like the ones described in the previous sections:

- Draw a free-body diagram to account for all forces
- The direction of friction force is not always obvious
- The magnitude of any static friction force cannot be assumed to be at maximum.
- If the object is to change its rotational state, there must be a net torque causing it.

#### **Solving Rotational Problems**

Once the free-body diagram is complete, the forces should break down into their forces into  $\hat{\imath}$ ,  $\hat{\jmath}$  and  $\hat{k}$  components. If the axes are defined properly, only one direction should have acceleration (usually  $\hat{\imath}$ ), i.e.:

$$\sum F_x = ma$$
  $\sum F_y = 0$   $\sum F_z = 0$ 

There are also three equations for rotation, and torque is only applied in one direction (likely  $\hat{k}$ ):

$$\sum \tau_x = 0$$
  $\sum \tau_y = 0$   $\sum \tau_z = I_z \alpha$ 

5

#### **Solving Rotational Problems**

For rotational motion dynamics equation:

1. Relate the force(s) that causes rotational motion to the net torque

$$au_{\mathrm{net}} = \sum_{i} F_{i} r_{i}$$

- 2. Substitute the expression for momentum of inertia (which has both mass and radius terms in it) into the equation for rotational motion
- 3. Relate angular acceleration to linear acceleration, if applicable:


$$\alpha = \frac{c}{R}$$

Now there are two equations with force and acceleration terms.

**Pure Rolling Problems** 

#### **Pure Rolling Problems**

In a **pure rolling** problem, a smooth solid sphere<sup>1</sup> rolls along a smooth surface without slipping




<sup>1</sup>any object that can roll with do!

- Assumptions:
  - Both the sphere and the surface are both perfectly rigid (they do not deform)
  - The sphere and the surface are both perfectly smooth without defects even at the microscopic level
- There are only two forces acting on the sphere:
  - Gravitational force mg
  - Normal force  $\vec{N}$
  - There is no friction

#### **Pure Rolling Problems**

The free-body diagram is simple enough that we can see that:


- There is no net force, therefore the translational state  $(\vec{v})$  of the sphere is constant  $\vec{F} = \vec{O}$   $\vec{V} = \text{constant}$
- Neither gravity or normal force generate a torque about the center of mass, therefore there is no net torque, and the rotational state  $\vec{\omega}$  is constant:  $\vec{\tau} = 0$   $\vec{\omega} = \text{constant}$
- In theory, this sphere will roll along with angular speed  $\omega$  and speed  $v = \omega R$  forever




#### Reality: Rolling Resistance

In reality, the rolling sphere will slow down and eventually come to a stop, because *nothing is perfectly rigid*: both the sphere and the surface deform when they make contact


- Example: a car's tires flatten when they make contact with the ground
- The normal force is larger in magnitude on the front side than on the other
- N exerts both a horizontal force to slow down the sphere, as well as a torque to slow down its rotation
- The normal force does not point toward the CM because of the deformation.



What if the rolling sphere is slipping against the surface?



- Slippage at the point of contact between the sphere and the flat surface
- There is *kinetic* friction  $f_k = \mu_k N$  in the  $+\hat{\imath}$  direction (toward the right). The friction force generates:
  - A net force  $F_{\text{net}}$  in the  $+\hat{\imath}$  direction, toward the right
  - A net torque  $au_{\mathrm{net}}$  in the  $+\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  direction, i.e. counter clockwise




• Kinetic friction  $f_k$  causes the center of mass of the sphere to accelerate toward the right

$$F_{\text{net}} = f_k = ma_{\text{cm}}$$

- Since  $f_k$  is constant, acceleration is also constant as well, as long as the sphere slips.
- e.g.: A car with its tires spinning on ice still has a small forward acceleration
- The acceleration of the center of mass is:

$$a_{\rm cm} = \mu_k g$$




• The constant net torque in the  $+\hat{k}$  (counter clockwise) direction generates a constant angular acceleration (because  $f_k$  is constant)

$$\tau_{\rm net} = f_k R = I\alpha$$


- $\bullet$  The angular acceleration causes the angular speed  $\omega$  to decrease over time
- The angular acceleration in the  $+\hat{k}$  direction:

$$\alpha = \frac{f_k R}{I} = \frac{\mu_k mgR}{\frac{2}{5}mR^2} = \frac{5\mu_k g}{2R}$$



- Unlike the no-slip case where where angular acceleration is related to linear acceleration by the radius, i.e.  $a = \alpha R$ , in this case, there is **no relationship between**  $\alpha$  **and** a.
- There is also no relationship between the velocity and the center of mass and the angular velocity
- The speed of the sphere v increases, while the angular speed  $\omega$  decreases, until...
- When  $v = \omega r$ , the sphere stops slipping, and the problem returns to the no-slip case

For a rigid and smooth sphere of radius R rolling down a ramp of angle  $\phi$  without slippage down a ramp of angle  $\theta$ .




Three forces act on the sphere as it rolls down the ramp

- The weight (mg) of the sphere acts at the CM
- The normal force (N) acts at the point of contact
- The static friction  $(f_s)$  act at the point of contact

Only static friction generates a torque about the CM in the clockwise direction

• If  $f_s$  is not present, there would have been nothing that causes the sphere to rotate.




To solve this problem, there are three dynamics equations:

$$\sum_{s} F_{s} = mg \sin \theta - f_{s} = ma$$

$$\sum_{s} F_{y} = N - mg \cos \theta = 0$$

$$\sum_{s} \tau = Rf_{s} = I\alpha$$

At this point, static friction  $f_s$  is *not* known. The coefficient of static friction ( $\mu_s$ ) only tells us the *maximum* static friction, not the *actual* friction. (We will instead use it to check if the answer makes sense.)



For non-slip case, angular and translational acceleration are related using relative motion:


$$a = \alpha R$$

Solving for the static friction:

$$f_s = \frac{I\alpha}{R} = \frac{2}{5}mR^2 \cdot \frac{a}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{R} = \frac{2}{5}ma$$

It is substituted into the force equation in the  $\hat{\imath}$  direction to solve for the acceleration of the CM down the ramp:

$$mg\sin\theta - \frac{2}{5}ma = ma$$



The acceleration of the center of mass is therefore:

$$a = \frac{5}{7}g\sin\theta$$

Compare this to an object *sliding* without friction down the same ramp, which is higher than the pure rolling case.

$$a = g \sin \theta$$

If the sphere starts from rest, the speed of the sphere when it reaches the bottom of the ramp, a distance *d* away, would be:

$$v = \sqrt{2ad} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{7}gd\sin\theta}$$

# Work & Energy in Rotational Motion

#### **Mechanical Work**

For translational motion, mechanical work is defined as

$$W_t = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{x}$$

For rotational motion, mechanical work is defined similarly as:

$$oxed{W_r = \int_{ heta_1}^{ heta_2} au \mathrm{d} heta}$$

The work-energy theorem still applies to rotational motion, i.e.;

$$W_r = \Delta K_r$$

#### **Rotational Kinetic Energy**

To find the kinetic energy of a rotating system of particles (discrete number of particles, or continuous mass distribution), we sum the kinetic energies of the individual particles:

$$K_r = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i (r_i \omega)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_i m_i r_i^2 \right) \omega^2$$

It's no surprise that rotational kinetic energy is given by:

$$\boxed{K_r = \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2}$$

#### **Kinetic Energy of a Rotating System**

The total kinetic energy of a rotating system is the sum of its translational and rotational kinetic energies at its center of mass:


$$K = K_t + K_r = \frac{1}{2}mv_{cm}^2 + \frac{1}{2}I_{cm}\omega^2$$

In this case,  $I_{cm}$  is calculated at the center of mass. For simple problems, we only need to compute rotational kinetic energy at the pivot:

$$K = \frac{1}{2}I_{P}\omega^{2}$$

In this case, the  $I_P$  is calculated at the pivot. **IMPORTANT:**  $I_{cm} \neq I_P$ 

#### **Parallel Axis Theorem**



The **parallel axis theorem** relates the moment of inertia of an object along two different but parallel axis by:

$$I = I_{cm} + md^2$$