Class 8: Rotational Motion of a Rigid Body, Part 2

Advanced Placement Physics C

Dr. Timothy Leung

Fall 2022

Olympiads School

Introduction

Curvilinear vs. Rectilinear Motion

Kinematic quantities for rectilinear (translational) vs. curvilinear (circular) motion are related:

$$egin{array}{lll} ec{r} &
ightarrow & heta \ ec{v} &
ightarrow & lpha \ ec{a} &
ightarrow & lpha \end{array}$$

Dynamics:

$$egin{array}{cccc} m &
ightarrow & I \ ec{F} &
ightarrow & ec{ au} \ ec{p} = m ec{ ext{v}} &
ightarrow & ec{ ext{L}} = I ec{\omega} \end{array}$$

Laws of Motion

The laws of motion are also related between translational and rotational motion:

$$\vec{F}_{\text{net}} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} \rightarrow \vec{\tau}_{\text{net}} = \frac{d\vec{L}}{dt}$$
 $\vec{F}_{\text{net}} = m\vec{a} \rightarrow \vec{\tau}_{\text{net}} = I\vec{\alpha}$

3

Solving Rotational Problems

When solving for rotational problems like the ones described in the previous sections:

- Draw a free-body diagram to account for all forces
- The direction of friction force is not always obvious
- The magnitude of any static friction force cannot be assumed to be at maximum.
- If the object is to change its rotational state, there must be a net torque causing it.

Solving Rotational Problems

Once the free-body diagram is complete, the forces should break down into their forces into $\hat{\imath}$, $\hat{\jmath}$ and \hat{k} components. If the axes are defined properly, only one direction should have acceleration (usually $\hat{\imath}$), i.e.:

$$\sum F_x = ma$$
 $\sum F_y = 0$ $\sum F_z = 0$

There are also three equations for rotation, and torque is only applied in one direction (likely \hat{k}):

$$\sum \tau_x = 0$$
 $\sum \tau_y = 0$ $\sum \tau_z = I_z \alpha$

5

Solving Rotational Problems

For rotational motion dynamics equation:

1. Relate the force(s) that causes rotational motion to the net torque

$$au_{\mathrm{net}} = \sum_{i} F_{i} r_{i}$$

- 2. Substitute the expression for momentum of inertia (which has both mass and radius terms in it) into the equation for rotational motion
- 3. Relate angular acceleration to linear acceleration, if applicable:


$$\alpha = \frac{c}{R}$$

Now there are two equations with force and acceleration terms.

Pure Rolling Problems

Pure Rolling Problems

In a **pure rolling** problem, a smooth solid sphere¹ rolls along a smooth surface without slipping




¹any object that can roll with do!

- Assumptions:
 - Both the sphere and the surface are both perfectly rigid (they do not deform)
 - The sphere and the surface are both perfectly smooth without defects even at the microscopic level
- There are only two forces acting on the sphere:
 - Gravitational force mg
 - Normal force \vec{N}
 - There is no friction

Pure Rolling Problems

The free-body diagram is simple enough that we can see that:


- There is no net force, therefore the translational state (\vec{v}) of the sphere is constant $\vec{F} = \vec{O}$ $\vec{V} = \text{constant}$
- Neither gravity or normal force generate a torque about the center of mass, therefore there is no net torque, and the rotational state $\vec{\omega}$ is constant: $\vec{\tau} = 0$ $\vec{\omega} = \text{constant}$
- In theory, this sphere will roll along with angular speed ω and speed $v = \omega R$ forever




Reality: Rolling Resistance

In reality, the rolling sphere will slow down and eventually come to a stop, because *nothing is perfectly rigid*: both the sphere and the surface deform when they make contact


- Example: a car's tires flatten when they make contact with the ground
- The normal force is larger in magnitude on the front side than on the other
- N exerts both a horizontal force to slow down the sphere, as well as a torque to slow down its rotation
- The normal force does not point toward the CM because of the deformation.



What if the rolling sphere is slipping against the surface?



- Slippage at the point of contact between the sphere and the flat surface
- There is *kinetic* friction $f_k = \mu_k N$ in the $+\hat{\imath}$ direction (toward the right). The friction force generates:
 - A net force F_{net} in the $+\hat{\imath}$ direction, toward the right
 - A net torque au_{net} in the $+\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ direction, i.e. counter clockwise




• Kinetic friction f_k causes the center of mass of the sphere to accelerate toward the right

$$F_{\text{net}} = f_k = ma_{\text{cm}}$$

- Since f_k is constant, acceleration is also constant as well, as long as the sphere slips.
- e.g.: A car with its tires spinning on ice still has a small forward acceleration
- The acceleration of the center of mass is:

$$a_{\rm cm} = \mu_k g$$




• The constant net torque in the $+\hat{k}$ (counter clockwise) direction generates a constant angular acceleration (because f_k is constant)

$$\tau_{\rm net} = f_k R = I\alpha$$


- \bullet The angular acceleration causes the angular speed ω to decrease over time
- The angular acceleration in the $+\hat{k}$ direction:

$$\alpha = \frac{f_k R}{I} = \frac{\mu_k mgR}{\frac{2}{5}mR^2} = \frac{5\mu_k g}{2R}$$



- Unlike the no-slip case where where angular acceleration is related to linear acceleration by the radius, i.e. $a = \alpha R$, in this case, there is **no relationship between** α **and** a.
- There is also no relationship between the velocity and the center of mass and the angular velocity
- The speed of the sphere v increases, while the angular speed ω decreases, until...
- When $v = \omega r$, the sphere stops slipping, and the problem returns to the no-slip case

For a rigid and smooth sphere of radius R rolling down a ramp of angle ϕ without slippage down a ramp of angle θ .




Three forces act on the sphere as it rolls down the ramp

- The weight (mg) of the sphere acts at the CM
- The normal force (N) acts at the point of contact
- The static friction (f_s) act at the point of contact

Only static friction generates a torque about the CM in the clockwise direction

• If f_s is not present, there would have been nothing that causes the sphere to rotate.




To solve this problem, there are three dynamics equations:

$$\sum_{s} F_{s} = mg \sin \theta - f_{s} = ma$$

$$\sum_{s} F_{y} = N - mg \cos \theta = 0$$

$$\sum_{s} \tau = Rf_{s} = I\alpha$$

At this point, static friction f_s is not known. The coefficient of static friction (μ_s) only tells us the maximum static friction, not the actual friction. (We will instead use it to check if the answer makes sense.)



For non-slip case, angular and translational acceleration are related using relative motion:


$$a = \alpha R$$

Solving for the static friction:

$$f_s = \frac{I\alpha}{R} = \frac{2}{5}mR^2 \cdot \frac{a}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{R} = \frac{2}{5}ma$$

It is substituted into the force equation in the $\hat{\imath}$ direction to solve for the acceleration of the CM down the ramp:

$$mg\sin\theta - \frac{2}{5}ma = ma$$



The acceleration of the center of mass is therefore:

$$a = \frac{5}{7}g\sin\theta$$

Compare this to an object *sliding* without friction down the same ramp, which is higher than the pure rolling case.

$$a = g \sin \theta$$

If the sphere starts from rest, the speed of the sphere when it reaches the bottom of the ramp, a distance *d* away, would be:

$$v = \sqrt{2ad} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{7}gd\sin\theta}$$

Work & Energy in Rotational Motion

Mechanical Work

For translational motion, mechanical work is defined as

$$W_t = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{x}$$

For rotational motion, mechanical work is defined similarly as:

$$oxed{W_r = \int_{ heta_1}^{ heta_2} au \mathrm{d} heta}$$

The work-energy theorem still applies to rotational motion, i.e.;

$$W_r = \Delta K_r$$

Rotational Kinetic Energy

To find the kinetic energy of a rotating system of particles (discrete number of particles, or continuous mass distribution), we sum the kinetic energies of the individual particles:

$$K_r = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i (r_i \omega)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i m_i r_i^2 \right) \omega^2$$

It's no surprise that rotational kinetic energy is given by:

$$\boxed{K_r = \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2}$$

Kinetic Energy of a Rotating System

The total kinetic energy of a rotating system is the sum of its translational and rotational kinetic energies at its center of mass:


$$K = K_t + K_r = \frac{1}{2}mv_{cm}^2 + \frac{1}{2}I_{cm}\omega^2$$

In this case, I_{cm} is calculated at the center of mass. For simple problems, we only need to compute rotational kinetic energy at the pivot:

$$K = \frac{1}{2}I_{P}\omega^{2}$$

In this case, the I_P is calculated at the pivot. **IMPORTANT:** $I_{cm} \neq I_P$

Parallel Axis Theorem



The **parallel axis theorem** relates the moment of inertia of an object along two different but parallel axis by:

$$I = I_{cm} + md^2$$