

Natural Typesetting of \mathbb{N} aproche Formalizations (Un)hiding Information in a proof of Euclid's Theorem

Tim Lichtnau

31.07.2021

Situation

Goals:

1. Verifiability: Use the same `*.ftl.tex`-code for \LaTeX and Naproche
2. Legibility: clear, readable PDF-File

Problem:

Naproche can't reconstruct parameters from the context.

Example: $x \cdot y$ indicates the multiplication of the group (monoid, magma etc.), that contains x and y . Obvious parameters distract the reader:

$$\begin{aligned} 0_R &= (0_R \cdot_R x)_{-R} (0_R \cdot_R x) \\ &= ((0_R +_R 0_R) \cdot_R x)_{-R} (0_R \cdot_R x) \\ &= ((0_R \cdot_R x) +_R (0_R \cdot_R x))_{-R} (0_R \cdot_R x) \\ &= (0_R \cdot_R x) +_R ((0_R \cdot_R x)_{-R} (0_R \cdot_R x)) \\ &= (0_R \cdot_R x) +_R 0_R. \end{aligned}$$

Binary operation on a magma M

- ▶ in \LaTeX :

```
\newcommand{\gdot}[1]{\cdot_{\{#1\}}}
```

- ▶ In Naproche:

Signature

Let $x, y \in |M|$. $x \cdot_M y$ is an element of $|M|$.

In the source code:

```
x \gdot{M} y
```

Hiding the obvious variable M

- ▶ in \LaTeX :

```
\newcommand{\gdot}[1]{\cdot}
```

(Still a unary function!)

- ▶ In \mathbb{N} aproche:

Signature

Let $x, y \in |M|$. $x \cdot y$ is an element of $|M|$.

Other Information to omit

► Forgetting Structure

Naproche internally distinguishes between a structure M and its underlying set $|M|$.

Definition

M is associative iff $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Other Information to omit

► Forgetting Structure

Naproche internally distinguishes between a structure M and its underlying set $|M|$.

Definition

M is associative iff $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Domain and target have to be clear from the context!

Other Information to omit

► Forgetting Structure

Naproche internally distinguishes between a structure M and its underlying set $|M|$.

Definition

M is associative iff $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Domain and target have to be clear from the context!

► Relations

Let O denote a order.

Definition

Let $N \subseteq O$. An upper bound of N by O is an element x of O such that $n \leq x$ for all $n \in N$.

Unhide the Information?

ambiguity while reading?

→ No need to look up the `*.ftl.tex`-file! Tooltips can help.

Unhide the Information?

ambiguity while reading?

→ No need to look up the *.ftl.tex-file! Tooltips can help.

Example:

Definition

$|$ is an order on M such that for any $x, y \in M$ we have $x|y$ iff x divides y in M .

...

Signature

$\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is a submonoid of $\text{Mu}(\mathbb{Z})$.

...

Lemma

$|$ is a partial order.

An Order-theoretic approach to Euclid's Theorem

Theorem

Let O be a wellfounded partial order. Assume for every element x of O there exists a $y \in O$ such that x and y have no common predecessors by O . Then

$$\{m \in O \mid m \text{ is a minimum of } O\}$$

has no upper bound by O .

Thanks for your attention!

Complete Formalization:

<https://github.com/naproche/FLib/tree/master/NumberTheory>

(Also in the paper-references)

Contact:

- ▶ Peter Koepke : koepke@math.uni-bonn.de
- ▶ Jonas Lippert : jlippert@uni-bonn.de
- ▶ Tim Lichtnau: s6tilich@uni-bonn.de

Questions?