ALIGNMENT (x, y, score) Input: x is a known string, y is an unknown string, score is a matrix used as a scaring function for alignment output: The maximum score of aligning x and x Definition: T Cisis) is the moximum some of aligning (xo ... xi) and (y Ys) Base Case: T(i,-1) + score [xi,-"] from 0 to i where is is len by T(-1,j) + score ["-", y;] from 0 to j

where j is len(y) $T(-1,-1) + 0 \quad b/c \notin aligns \notin$ For small q: T(i-1,j-1) + Score(x(i), y(i)) diagram/ T(i-1,j-1) + Score(x(i), y(i)) + up T(i-1,j-1) + Score(x(i), y(i)) + up T(i,j-1) + Score(x(i), y(i)) + upsoln: T(i-1,j-1) is the max score

Time complexity:

Where n is the length of first string and m is the length of second string.

Lemma: There exist strings x, y and a scoring function & such that GREED TALIGNMENT produces a lower sconing alignment than optimal Proof by contradiction: There do not exist strings x, y and a scoring function S such that GREFOYALIGNMENT produces a lower scoring alignment than optimal. counter example: known: sushi un lenown: h sushi 8 => Sushi - hsushi Greedy score:

DP score: 21 => - sushi
By contradiction, lemma is true.

Lemma: There exist strings x, y and a scoring function & such that Greedy Alignmont oligns eur.y Character with a mismoke character, even though matering characters exist (and would score higher). Proof by example hguifar guifor Greedy: h g wi far g m i tar -

By example, learner is true

h gui far

- 9 4: far

DP: