

Q: How to convince ourselves that a function  $f(x)$  has a limit  $L$  as  $x$  approaches  $a$ ?

We looked at many examples of finding limits of functions. Now it is time to make the definition of a limit more precisely so that even a mathematician will be satisfied.

The key is to make the verb ‘approach’ more rigorously. We say that the limit is the real number  $L$  that the function values approach as  $x$  approaches the real number  $a$ . There are two values that are approaching to two different values. How close do the functions values need to get to  $L$ ? How close do the  $x$  values need to get to  $a$ ? Since the limit is not about the actual function value  $f(a)$ , we will never let  $x$  to be actually  $a$ .

On the  $x$ -axis the distance between  $x$  (variable) and  $a$  (fixed value) is measured by an absolute value  $|x - a|$ . So saying that  $x$  is close to  $a$  means that  $|x - a|$  is small.

On the  $y$ -axis the distance between  $f(x)$  (varying function values depending on  $x$ ) and  $L$  (proposed limiting value) is measured by an absolute value  $|f(x) - L|$ . Saying that  $f(x)$  is close to  $L$  means that  $|f(x) - L|$  is small.

Here is the logic behind the definition of a limit. We want to convince that  $|f(x) - L|$  can be as small as we wish. We denote this small distance by a Greek letter  $\varepsilon$  (read ‘epsilon’ and probably chosen for  $\varepsilon$ rror). So we write  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ . If  $\varepsilon = 0.001$ , then  $|f(x) - L| < 0.001$ , which means that the distance between the function values and the proposed limiting value is no more than 0.001 (within thousandths). If we solve this absolute value inequality, we obtain  $-0.001 < f(x) - L < 0.001$ , i.e.  $L - 0.001 < f(x) < L + 0.001$ . If  $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ , then  $L - 0.000001 < f(x) < L + 0.000001$ . If we want them to be nano-scale close, then  $\varepsilon = 10^{-9}$ . If we want them to be pico-scale close, then  $\varepsilon = 10^{-12}$ . It depends on the unit, but  $10^{-12}$  is very small, so very close. But we are not satisfied with it. We will not specify how small, so we do not say what  $\varepsilon$  is. We call that “arbitrarily close” because the value of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary (unspecified). Just keep in mind that  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$  is equivalent to  $L - \varepsilon < f(x) < L + \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$  is wickedly small.

The same is true for  $|x - a|$ . Except that we use a Greek letter  $\delta$  (read ‘delta’ and probably chose for  $\delta$ ifference or  $\delta$ istance) to denote a small distance. So we write  $|x - a| < \delta$ . The distance  $\delta$ , however, is not arbitrary as we need to make sure that  $\delta$  is small ‘enough’ so that when  $x$  is close to  $a$  within  $\delta$  distance, the function values  $f(x)$  will be close to  $L$  within  $\varepsilon$  distance. This is correct way because  $f(x)$  is depending on the value of  $x$  (independent variable).

**Example 1** It is obvious that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} (2x + 1) = 5$$

Here,  $f(x) = 2x + 1$ ,  $a = 2$ , and  $L = 5$ . For this example, we will actually choose a specific value for  $\varepsilon$  and want to explore how  $\delta$  should be chosen carefully so that  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $|(2x + 1) - 5| < \varepsilon$ . Suppose we want the function values to be close to 5 within tenths, that is,

$\varepsilon = 0.1$  or  $\frac{1}{10}$ . Let us see what that meant in terms of mathematical symbols:

$$|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad |(2x + 1) - 5| < \frac{1}{10} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |2x - 4| < \frac{1}{10}$$

It would be a good time to remind you that  $|ab| = |a||b|$  for any real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ .

$$|2(x - 2)| < \frac{1}{10} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2|x - 2| < \frac{1}{10} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |x - 2| < \frac{1}{20}$$

So if we let  $\delta = \frac{1}{20}$ , then the condition  $|x - 2| < \frac{1}{20}$  will guarantee the condition  $|(2x + 1) - 5| < \frac{1}{10}$  as all of the implications above can be reversed. Let us check.

$$\begin{aligned} |x - 2| < \frac{1}{20} &\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{20} < x - 2 < \frac{1}{20} \\ &\Rightarrow 2 - \frac{1}{20} < x < 2 + \frac{1}{20} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{39}{20} < x < \frac{41}{20} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{39}{10} < 2x < \frac{41}{10} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{39}{10} + 1 < 2x + 1 < \frac{41}{10} + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{49}{10} < 2x + 1 < \frac{51}{10} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{49}{10} - 5 < (2x + 1) - 5 < \frac{51}{10} - 5 \\ &\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{10} < (2x + 1) - 5 < \frac{1}{10} \\ &\Rightarrow |(2x + 1) - 5| < \frac{1}{10} \end{aligned}$$

**Precise Definition of a Limit** Let  $f$  be a function defined on some open interval that contains the number  $a$ , except possibly at  $a$  itself. Then we say that the **limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $a$  is  $L$** , and we write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$$

if for every number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\text{if } 0 < |x - a| < \delta \quad \text{then} \quad |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$$

The example above just verified that the inequalities hold for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$  by carefully choosing  $\delta = \frac{1}{20}$ . The definition requires “for every number  $\varepsilon$  (arbitrary choice of  $\varepsilon$ ).” Note that there is

nothing special about  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$ . We could find the expression for  $\delta$  for any  $\varepsilon$ .

$$|(2x+1)-5| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |2x-4| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |2(x-2)| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow 2|x-2| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

So  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  would work. Again we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} &\Rightarrow -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < x-2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\Rightarrow 2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < x < 2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\Rightarrow 4 - \varepsilon < 2x < 4 + \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow 5 - \varepsilon < 2x + 1 < 5 + \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow -\varepsilon < (2x + 1) - 5 < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |(2x + 1) - 5| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

The argument here to find  $\delta$  in terms of  $\varepsilon$  is rather easy because the function is linear. If the function is anything other than a linear function, then finding  $\delta$  is not easy.

However, in this class, we only deal with the precise definition of a limit for linear functions only. So learn the way by observing the examples in the notes.

If asked to prove that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$  using the precise definition of a limit, follow the steps:

Step 1: Write the inequality  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$  as  $-\varepsilon < f(x) - L < \varepsilon$ .

Step 2: Put the inequality as  $-\delta < x - a < \delta$ . Here,  $\delta$  would be an expression in terms of  $\varepsilon$ .

Step 3: Start the proof with “Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\delta$  be insert the expression from Step 2.”

Step 4: Start from  $|x - a| < \delta$  and keep deriving expressions until  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$  is obtained.

**Example 2** Prove that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2}{3}x - 3 \right) = -\frac{8}{3}$$

*Preparation:* Here,  $f(x) = \frac{2}{3}x - 3$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $L = -\frac{8}{3}$ .

$$\text{Step 1: } |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \left| \left( \frac{2}{3}x - 3 \right) - \left( -\frac{8}{3} \right) \right| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow -\varepsilon < \left( \frac{2}{3}x - 3 \right) - \left( -\frac{8}{3} \right) < \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Step 2: } -\varepsilon < \frac{2}{3}x - \frac{1}{3} < \varepsilon &\Rightarrow -3\varepsilon < 2x - 1 < 3\varepsilon \Rightarrow -3\varepsilon + 1 < 2x < 3\varepsilon + 1 \Rightarrow -\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} < x < \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}. \\ \text{Finally, } -\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon < x - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon. &\text{ So } \delta = \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now the actual proof begins here with Step 3 and Step 4.

*Proof:* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\delta = \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon &\Rightarrow -\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon < x - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon \\
 &\Rightarrow -\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} < x < \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{2}{3} \left( -\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \right) < \frac{2}{3}x < \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
 &\Rightarrow -\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{3}x < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{3} \\
 &\Rightarrow -\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3} - 3 < \frac{2}{3}x - 3 < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{3} - 3 \\
 &\Rightarrow -\varepsilon - \frac{8}{3} < \frac{2}{3}x - 3 < \varepsilon - \frac{8}{3} \\
 &\Rightarrow -\varepsilon < \left( \frac{2}{3}x - 3 \right) - \left( -\frac{8}{3} \right) < \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\left| \left( \frac{2}{3}x - 3 \right) - \left( -\frac{8}{3} \right) \right| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2}{3}x - 3 \right) = -\frac{8}{3}$ . QED

Assigned Exercises: (p 113) 13, 15, 17, 19