

Module 2 solutions

Activity 2.1

Researchers at a maternity hospital in the 1970s conducted a study of low birth weight babies. Low birth weight is classified as a weight of 2500g or less at birth. Data were collected on age and smoking status of mothers and the birth weight of their babies. The file `Activity_2.1.rds` contain data on the participants in the study. The file is located on Moodle in the Learning Activities section.

Create a 2 by 2 table to show the proportions of low birth weight babies born to mothers who smoked during pregnancy and those that did not smoke during pregnancy. Answer the following questions:

- a) What was the total number of mothers who smoked during pregnancy?
- b) What proportion of mothers who smoked gave birth to low birth weight babies? What proportion of non-smoking mothers gave birth to low birth weight babies?
- c) Construct a stacked bar chart of the data to examine if there a difference in the proportion of babies born with a low birth weight in relation to the age group of the mother? Provide appropriate labels for the axes and give the graph an appropriate title. [Hint: plot the data using the `AgeGrp` variable]
- d) Using your answers to the question b) and c), write a brief conclusion about the relationship of low birth weight and mother's age and smoking status.

Answers

Table 1: Cross tabulation of smoking status during pregnancy by low birth weight of the babies among 189 mothers

Smoking status during pregnancy	Low birth weight		
	Yes (%)	No (%)	Total (%)
Yes	30 (40.5)	44 (59.5)	74 (100)
No	39 (25.2)	86 (74.8)	115 (100)
Total	59 (31.2)	130 (68.8)	189 (100)

Note: this table has been constructed from R output.

- a) There were 74 mothers who smoked during pregnancy.
- b) 41% of mothers who smoked and 25% of non-smoking mothers gave birth to low-birth-weight babies.
- c) See Figure Figure 1.
- d) A larger proportion of mothers in the <20 years, 20-24 years and 25-29 years age groups gave birth to low birth weight babies compared to mothers aged 30-34 years. No low birth weight babies were born to mothers aged 35 or more (Figure 1). A larger proportion of mothers who smoked during pregnancy gave birth to low birth weight babies compared to mothers who did not smoke during pregnancy (Table 1).

NB: You will revisit two-way tables in Module 7 where you will conduct statistical tests to determine if there is evidence of a difference in proportions.

Process


Table 1 was created using the following code:

```
library(jmv)
babies <- readRDS("data/activities/Activity_2.1.rds")

# Create a two-way table showing row percents
contTables(data=babies, rows=SMOKE, cols=LOW, pcRow=TRUE)
```

Figure 1 was created using the `surveyPlot` function from the `surveymv` package. We want to plot the variable `LOW`, by `AgeGrp`. We want a stacked plot, and we want to plot percentages. Putting it all together (and adding some name changes along the way):

Figure 1: Relative frequency of low birth weight by mother's age group



```
babies$"Birth weight" <- babies$LOW
babies$"Age group" <- babies$AgeGrp

surveyPlot(
  data = babies,
  vars = "Birth weight",
  group = "Age group",
  type = "stacked",
  freq = "perc")
```

Note: alternative methods for producing a stacked relative frequency bar chart is provided in your notes.

Activity 2.2

In a Randomised Controlled Trial, the preference of a new drug was tested against an established drug by giving both drugs to each of 90 people. Assume that the two drugs are equally preferred, that is, the probability that a patient prefers either of the drugs is equal (50%). Use either the web applet, or one of the binomial functions in R to compute the probability that 60 or more patients would prefer the new drug. In completing this question, determine:

- The number of trials (`n` for the web applet, `size` for R)
- The number of successes we are interested in (`x` for web applet, `x` or `q` for R)
- The probability of success for each trial (`p` for the web applet, `prob` for R)
- The form of the binomial function
 - for the web applet: $P(X=x)$, $P(X \leq x)$ or $P(X \geq x)$;
 - for R: `dbinom`, `pbinom` or `pbinom(lower.tail=FALSE)`
- The final probability.

Answers

- Here, each participant represents a 'trial', so size is 90.
- We are interested in determining the probability that 60 or more participants prefer the new drug. This corresponds to more than 59, so we need to define `q` as 59.
- We are told to assume that the two drugs are equally preferred, so `prob` is 0.5.
- We need to calculate the probability that 60 or more participants prefer the new drug. The two R functions can be interpreted as follows:
 - the `dbinom` function gives the probability of observing 60 successes;
 - the `pbinom` function gives the probability of observing 60 or fewer successes;
 - the `pbinom` function with `lower.tail=FALSE` gives the probability of observing more than 59 successes. We therefore want to use `pbinom` function with `lower.tail=FALSE` here.

Activity 2.3

A case of Schistosomiasis is identified by the detection of schistosome ova in a faecal sample. In patients with a low level of infection, a field technique of faecal examination has a probability of 0.35 of detecting ova in any one faecal sample. If five samples are routinely examined for each patient, use the web applet or R to compute the probability that a patient with a low level of infection:

- Will not be identified?
- Will be identified in two of the samples?
- Will be identified in all the samples?
- Will be identified in at most 3 of the samples?

Answers

- a) The probability $P(X=0) = 0.116$ or 11.6%.
- b) The probability $P(X=2) = 0.336$ or 33.6%.
- c) The probability $P(X=5) = .005$ or 0.5%.
- d) The probability $P(X \leq 3) = .946$ or 94.6%.

Process

In all of these questions, size is 5 and prob is 0.35. For (a) to (c), we need to calculate the probability of finding a certain number of infected samples, and we can use the `dbinom` function:

```
# Part (a)
dbinom(x = 0, size = 5, prob = 0.35)
```

```
[1] 0.1160291
```

```
# Part (b)
dbinom(x = 2, size = 5, prob = 0.35)
```

```
[1] 0.3364156
```

```
# Part (c)
dbinom(x = 5, size = 5, prob = 0.35)
```

```
[1] 0.005252187
```

For part (d), "at most 3 samples" is the same as 3 or fewer samples, so we can use the `pbinom` function.

```
pbinom(q = 3, size = 5, prob = 0.35)
```

```
[1] 0.9459775
```

Activity 2.4

A health survey was conducted, and an extract of data has been provided in `Activity_2.4-health-survey.csv`. Categorise height into 20cm intervals, and present the height-groups appropriately.

Answer

Table 2: Heights of 1140 health survey participants

Height	Frequency	Relative frequency (%)
120 to less than 140cm	1	0.1
140 to less than 160cm	160	14.0
160 to less than 180cm	756	66.3
180 to less than 200cm	222	19.5
200 to less than 220cm	1	0.1

Process

After reading the data in using `read.csv`, it is useful to plot a density plot to check the distribution of height. After confirming there are no biologically impossible values of height, we use the `breaks` function to create height groups. Finally, we use `descriptives` with `freq = TRUE` to produce a one-way frequency table.

```
survey <- read.csv("data/activities/Activity_2.4-health-survey.csv")

descriptives(survey, height, dens=TRUE)

survey$"Height group" <- cut(survey$height,
                             breaks = c(0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0, 2.2),
                             right=FALSE,
                             labels=c(
                               "Less than 120cm",
                               "120 to less than 140cm",
                               "140 to less than 160cm",
                               "160 to less than 180cm",
                               "180 to less than 200cm",
                               "200 to less than 220cm"
                             ))

descriptives(data=survey, vars="Height group", freq = TRUE)
```

Activity 2.5

The data in the file `Activity_2.5-LengthOfStay.rds` (available on Moodle) has information about **birth weight** and **length of stay** collected from 117 babies admitted consecutively to a hospital for surgery. For each variable:

- Create a histogram, density plot and boxplot to inspect the distribution of birth weight and length of stay;
- Complete the following summary statistics for each variable:
 - mean and median;
 - standard deviation and interquartile range.

Make a decision about whether each variable is symmetric or not, and which measure of central tendency and variability should be reported.

Answers

- See Figure 2 to Figure 7.

Figure 2: Histogram of birth weight




Figure 3: Density plot of birth weight




Figure 4: Boxplot of birth weight




Figure 5: Histogram of length of stay




Figure 6: Density plot of length of stay





Figure 7: Boxplot of length of stay



b) See Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of data from 117 babies admitted to a hospital

	Birthweight (grams)	Length of stay (days)
Mean (Standard deviation)	2451.2 (504.82)	41.1 (36.93)
Median [Interquartile range]	2438 [2012 to 2830]	30 [21 to 43]

As the histogram for birthweight shows a roughly symmetric distribution, we should present the mean and standard deviation as the appropriate measures of central tendency and spread. Notice that the mean and median are similar, which is to be expected for a symmetric distribution.

The histogram for length of stay shows a highly skewed distribution (skewed to the right). In this case, the median and interquartile range are the appropriate measures to present. Notice that the mean is higher than the median, which is typical for distributions that are skewed to the right.

Process

The figures were produced using the following code:

```
los <- readRDS("data/activities/Activity_2.5-LengthOfStay.rds")
hist(los$BirthWt,
```

```

      xlab = "Birth weight (g)", main = "")

plot(density(los$BirthWt, na.rm = TRUE),
      xlab = "Birth weight (g)", main = "")

boxplot(los$BirthWt,
        ylab = "Birth weight (g)", main = "")

hist(los$LengthStay,
      xlab = "Length of stay (days)", main = "")

plot(density(los$LengthStay, na.rm = TRUE),
      xlab = "Length of stay (days)", main = "")

boxplot(los$LengthStay,
        ylab = "Length of stay (days)", main = "")

```

The summary statistics were produced with the following code:

```

library(jmv)

descriptives(data = los, vars = c(BirthWt, LengthStay), pc = TRUE)

```

DESCRIPTIVES

Descriptives

	BirthWt	LengthStay
N	116	117
Missing	1	0
Mean	2451.207	41.07692
Median	2437.500	30.00000
Standard deviation	504.8221	36.92984
Minimum	1500.000	0.000000
Maximum	3545.000	244.0000
25th percentile	2012.000	21.00000
50th percentile	2437.500	30.00000
75th percentile	2830.000	43.00000