BIOS 7731 HW 5

3.2.3) Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be iid Bern(0)

with the prior $T^{\alpha}(0)$ is Beta (r,s) density.

Also, suppose that L(0,a) is the quadratic loss Function $(0-a)^2$.

Due to the invariance property of MLEs, the MLE For $q(\theta) = O(1-\theta)$ is $q(\hat{\theta})$ where $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of $\hat{\theta}$.

First find the MLE for & by setting
the derivative of the log likelihood (l(0)) equal
to 0 and solving for &:

$$L_{x}(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q^{x_{i}} (1-\theta)^{1-x_{i}} = Q^{\xi x_{i}} (1-\theta)^{n-\xi x_{i}} \qquad (Linelihood)$$

$$l_x(\theta) = \sum X_i \log(\theta) + (n - \sum X_i) \log(1 - \theta)$$
 (log linelihood)

$$d_{10} = l_{10} = \frac{2x_{10}}{0} - \frac{x_{10}}{1-0} + \frac{2x_{10}}{1-0}$$

Setting this equal to 0 and solving for O gives us $\hat{O} = \frac{ZX_i}{n} = \overline{X}$. Thus, the MLE for q(O) is $q(\hat{O}) = \overline{X}(1-\overline{X})$

Nert, find the Bayes estimate of q(0). Because we are using squared loss, we know that the Bayes estimate $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x) = E[q(0)|X]$ by BD 3.2.5. Therefore we have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x) = E \left[\phi(1-\phi) \mid X \right] = E \left[\phi \mid X \right] - E \left[\phi^2 \mid X \right]$$

From class, we know that the Bayes estimate of θ , $\hat{\theta}_B$ is $E[\theta|X]$. So,

$$q(\hat{\sigma}_{B}) = E[\sigma|X](1 - E[\sigma|X]) = E[\sigma|X]^{2}$$

Therefore, the Bayes estimate of $q(0) \neq q(\hat{O}_B)$ unless $E[o^2|X] = E[O|X]^2$.

3.2.5 a) Suppose $O \sim H(O)$ and $(X | O = O) \sim p(X | O)$.
Using Bayes' Theorem we know that

$$\frac{\int_{0}^{t} b(x)f(y) u(y) df}{\int_{0}^{t} b(x)f(y) u(y) df}$$

IF we let $c(x) = \int p(x|t) \pi(t) dt$,
this can be rearranged:

b) Let
$$l(0, a) = \frac{(0-a)^2}{\omega(0)}$$
 for $\omega(0) > 0$

which is analogous to the weighted squared prediction error. To find the Bayes estimate, we want to minimize E[L(0,a)|X]:

which can be reswritten.

It we introduce a normalizing constant C= SSp(x10) M(0) do, formula above becomes:

$$\frac{\int (o-a)^2 p(x|\theta)^{n(\theta)}/\omega(o)/c d\theta}{\int p(x|\theta)^{n(\theta)}/c d\theta}$$

This is now a case of squared loss for the density $f_0(x, \theta)$: $p(x|\theta) \frac{M(\theta)}{\omega(\theta)} / C$ (see 1.4.24 for the analogous WSPF approach).

Thus, by BD 3.2-5. we know that the Boyes estimate is $E_{f_0}[\Theta | X]$, \square

3.2.8. a) suppose that $X_1, ..., X_r$ given Q are multinomial M(n,Q) with $Q = (Q_1,...,Q_r)^T$ and that Q has the prior distribution $Q(\alpha)$, with $Q = (Q_1,...,Q_r)^T$. Let $Q(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^r C_iQ_i^r$ where $Q_1,...,Q_r = Q_r$. Let $Q(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^r C_iQ_i^r$ where $Q_1,...,Q_r = Q_r$ where $Q_$

Because this is again using quodratic loss, the Bayes rule S^* is $E[q(\Phi)|X]$. Also, because the Dirichilet distribution is a consugate prior (BD problem 1.2.15), we know that $p(\Phi|X) \sim D(\alpha + x)$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)^T$ and $x = (x_1, ..., x_r)^T$. Therefore,

 $E[q(\theta)|X] = E[\frac{1}{2}c;\theta;X] = \frac{1}{2}c;E[\theta;X]$

Based on the problem hint, this means that the Bayes rule is:

 $\int_{S}^{*}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z} c_{i} \frac{\alpha_{i} + x_{i}}{\alpha_{0}} \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \alpha'_{i} + x_{i},$

Based on BD erouph 3.2.1, we know that the Bayes risk $r(\pi, \delta^*)$ is

 $E\left[\left(q(0) - E\left[q(0)|x\right]\right)^2 = E\left[E\left[q(0) - E\left[q(0)|x\right]\right]^2|x\right]$

In other words, this is the expected value of the posterior vovionce:

$$E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} c_{j}^{2} \frac{\beta_{j} \left(\beta_{0} - \beta_{j}\right)}{\beta_{0}^{2} \left(\beta_{0} + 1\right)} - 2 \underbrace{Z c_{j} c_{k} \underbrace{\beta_{j} \beta_{k}}}_{j c_{k}} \right]$$

where $\beta_j = x_j + \alpha_j$ and $\beta_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i$. Because this isn't a function of \mathcal{O} , $\Gamma(S^*(x) = \sum_{j \in I} c_j^2 \beta_j (\beta_0 - \beta_j) - 2 \sum_{j \in K} c_j c_K \beta_j \beta_K$ $\beta_0^2 (\beta_0 + 1)$ $\beta_0^2 (\beta_0 + 1)$

c) To estimate vector $(o_1,...,o_r)$ with $L(o,a)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}(o_i-a_i)^2$, we simply need to And a general Formula to minimize $(o_i-a_i)^2$. Minimizing each part of the sum will also minimize the sum. Once again we have quadratic loss, so we have

We know that
$$E[0; |X] = \frac{\alpha_i + x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + x_i}$$

So the Bayes derision rule for $(o_1, ..., o_r)^T$ is $(E[o_1|x], ..., E[o_r|x])$.

4. Consider a Bayesian model in which the parameter O has a prior distribution of Bern (1/2). Given O = O the R.V. X has density $f_O(X)$ and given O = 1 X has density $f_O(X)$.

First we write the marginal distribution of X:

which simplifies to:

$$p(x) = \frac{f_0(x) + f_1(x)}{2}$$

Next we use this to find the posterior distribution of Q:

$$= \frac{f_0(x) 1(0=0) + f_1(x) 1(0=1)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)} \frac{1}{x}$$

Assuming squared loss, we can now find the Bayes estimate $S^*(x) = E[O \mid X]$:

$$S^{*}(x) = \underbrace{\frac{\sigma(f_{o}(x)1(o=o) + f_{o}(x)1(o=1))}{f_{o}(x) + f_{o}(x)}}_{f_{o}(x) + f_{o}(x)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{f_{o}(x) + f_{o}(x)}}_{f_{o}(x) + f_{o}(x)} = \underbrace{\frac{f_{o}(x)}{f_{o}(x) + f_{o}(x)}}_{f_{o}(x) + f_{o}(x)}$$

b) To Find the Bayes estimate using the loss function
$$l(o,d)=1(o\neq d)$$
, we need to minimize $E[l(o,d)|X]$, which is $\sum_{\alpha} l(o,d) p(o|X)$

So essentially we have a
$$2 \times 2$$
 table:
$$d = \begin{array}{c|cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}$$

The expected loss for
$$d=0$$
 is i
$$\frac{21(0\neq 0)(f_{o}(x)1(0=0)+f_{o}(x)1(0=1))}{f_{o}(x)+f_{o}(x)}$$

which equals
$$\frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{2}(x)+f_{1}(x)}$$

The expect loss for d=1 is:

$$\frac{2}{6} \frac{1(0 \neq 1)(f_{0}(x)1(0 = 0) + f_{1}(x)1(0 = 1))}{f_{0}(x) + f_{1}(x)}$$

which equals
$$\frac{f_{\circ}(x)}{f_{\circ}(x)+f_{\circ}(x)}$$

Therefore d=0 in curs less loss when $f_{\cdot}(x) < f_{\circ}(x)$ and d=1 is "better" when $f_{\circ}(x) < f_{\cdot}(x)$.

5. Let X, ..., Xn be iid Uniform (0,0) with 0>0. By Casella & Berger Theorem
5.4.4 we know the density of the 1th order statistic X, is:

$$F_{x_{i}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n-j)!} F_{x}(x) [F_{x}(x)]^{j-1} [1 - F_{x}(x)]^{n-j}$$

So, the distribution of the nih order statistic from a uniform (0,0) is:

$$f_{x_n}(x) = n \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} I_{(0,\theta)}^{x}$$

Because X_n is complete and sufficient, we know that to find the UMVU estimator we simply need to find a function of X_n , T(x), with expected value equal to O. First, find the expected value of X_n :

$$E[F_{x_n}(x)] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} dx$$

$$= \frac{n}{\sigma^n} \int_0^{\infty} x^n dx = \frac{n}{\sigma^n} \left(\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \Big|_0^{\sigma} \right)$$

So,
$$E[X_n] = O_n$$
 and an unbiased $n+1$ estimator as a function of X_n is:

$$\frac{n+1}{n} X_n = \hat{O}$$

Next, we find the Fisher information number:

$$I(o) = E_o \left[\left(\frac{9}{40} \log f(x | o) \right)^2 \right]$$

I find it easiest to work "inside out" with these kinds of derivation:

$$\log f(x|o) = \log(n) - n \log(o) + (n-1) \log(x)$$

Taxee the derivative:

$$\frac{d}{do} \log f(x|o) = -n$$

Therefore,

$$I(o) = \left(\frac{-n}{o}\right)^2 = \frac{n^2}{o^2}$$

In order to examine the Fisher infomation inequality:

$$Vor(T(x)) \rightarrow \frac{\left(\sqrt{do} E[T(x)]\right)^2}{I(o)}$$

we next need to find the various of our unbiosed estimator:

$$Var\left(\hat{\Theta}\right) = Var\left(\frac{n+1}{n} \chi_n\right) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2 Var\left(\chi_n\right)$$

The variace of Xn is

$$Vor(X_n) = E[X_n^2] - E[X_n]^2$$

 $E[X_n^2]$ is derived with the same approach I used for $E[X_n]$, and the variance of X_n comes out to!

$$\Theta^2\left(\frac{n}{n+2}-\frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2}\right)$$

So, the variance of our unbiased estimator $\hat{O} = T(x) = \frac{n+1}{n} \times x_n$ is:

$$\frac{(n+1)^{2}}{n^{2}} \quad \Theta^{2} \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{n^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \right) = \quad \Theta^{2} \left(\frac{(n+1)^{2}}{n(n+2)} - 1 \right)$$

Plugging this into the information inequality we have:

$$\frac{O^2}{n^2 + 2n} \qquad \frac{1}{I(O)} = \frac{O^2}{n^2}$$

clearly, this inequality does not hold.

the support of a U(0,0) distribution depends on the parameter O, and therefore the assumptions required for using the information inequality are violated.

6. Let X_1 , ..., X_n be iid N(0, 1).
a) To show that $\overline{X}^2 - /n$ is an unbiased estimator of O^2 , first find the expected value of \overline{X}^2 :

$$E\left[\bar{X}^{2}\right] = V_{\alpha}\left[\bar{X}\right] + E\left[\bar{X}\right]^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} + \Theta^{2}$$

Because $\sigma^2 = 1$, $E[\bar{x}^2] = \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{n}$ which means $E[\bar{x}^2 - \frac{1}{n}] = \Phi^2$.

b) To calculate the variance of $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$, we simply need to Find var $[\bar{X}^2]$ as $\frac{1}{n}$ is a constant:

$$Var\left[\bar{X}^2\right] = E\left[\bar{X}^4\right] - E\left[\bar{X}^2\right]^2$$

We already upon the second term from above, and can find $f[\bar{X}^*]$ using Stein's lemma iteratively:

$$E[\bar{X}^*]: E[\bar{X}^3(\bar{Y}-\Theta+\Theta)] = E[\bar{X}^3(\bar{X}-\Theta)] + [[\Theta\bar{X}^3]]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left[\bar{x}^{3} (\bar{x} - \Theta) \right] = /n \left[\left[3\bar{x}^{2} \right] \right] \text{ by Stein's lemma.} \\ & = \frac{3}{n} \left(\Theta^{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Next we use Stein's lemma again on the

second term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi & \left[\left[\bar{x}^{2} \right] = \Phi & \left[\left[\bar{x}^{2} \left(\bar{y} - \Phi + \Phi \right) \right] = \\
\Phi & \left(\left[\left[\bar{x}^{2} \left(\bar{y} - \Phi \right) \right] + \left[\left[\Phi \bar{x}^{2} \right] \right) = \\
\Phi & \left(\left(\left[\left[x \right] + \Phi \left(\left(\Phi^{2} + \left(\left[x \right] \right) + \Phi \right) \right] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two parts gives us:

$$E[\bar{x}^{\dagger}] = \frac{6o^2}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2} + o^{\dagger}$$

So, we have:

$$Vor(\bar{X}^4) = \frac{6\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2} + \Theta^4 - \left(\Theta^2 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2$$

which simplifies to:

$$\frac{4o^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2}$$

Next we need to find the information number I(0):

Again, working "inside out":

$$\log f(x|0) = -\frac{(x-0)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi)$$

Taxe the derivative:

Square : t:

$$(^{d}/do \log f(r | o))^{2} = (x - O)^{2}$$

Toke the expected value to find I(0):

$$I(Q) = \frac{1}{4e^2}$$

Because we did this for a single X_i , we ran multiply by n using BD proposition 3.4.2:

$$I(0) = \frac{n}{40^2}$$

Finally, we compare the variance of our estimator to the information inequality bound:

$$Var(T(X)) \geq \frac{1}{I(0)}$$

$$\frac{40^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} \geq \frac{40^2}{n}$$

i. The variance of this estimator is greater than the information inequality bound.