

Solutions to Homework 5
BIOS 7731

1. **BD 3.2.3.** In Problem 3.2.2 preceeding, give the MLE of the Bernoulli variance $q(\theta) = \theta(1 - \theta)$ and gives the Bayes estimate of $q(\theta)$. Check whether $q(\hat{\theta}_B) = E(q(\theta)|\mathbf{x})$, where $\hat{\theta}_B$ is the Bayes estimate of θ .

The MLE of the Bernoulli random variable is \bar{X} . Then, by the invariance property of MLEs, the MLE estimate of the variance is $q(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \bar{X}(1 - \bar{X})$.

From lecture, for squared loss the Bayes estimate is the posterior mean $\hat{\theta}_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + r}{r + s + n}$. Plug-in estimate for $q(\theta)$ is $(\hat{\theta}_B)(1 - \hat{\theta}_B)$:

$$\begin{aligned} q(\hat{\theta}) &= \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + r}{r + s + n} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + r}{r + s + n} \right) \\ &= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + r \right) \left(r + s + n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - r \right)}{(r + s + n)^2} \\ &= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + r \right) \left(n + s - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)}{(r + s + n)^2} \end{aligned}$$

We also showed $\theta | \vec{X} \sim \text{Beta}\left(r + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + s\right)$. To simplify our calculations, let $a = r + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $b = n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + s$. Recall $E[\theta | \vec{X}] = \frac{a}{a+b}$ and $\text{Var}(\theta | \vec{X}) = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[q(\theta_B) | \vec{X}] &= E[\theta(1 - \theta) | \vec{X}] \\ &= E[\theta - \theta^2 | \vec{X}] \\ &= E[\theta | \vec{X}] - E[\theta^2 | \vec{X}] \\ &= E[\theta | \vec{X}] - \left(\text{Var}(\theta | \vec{X}) + \left(E[\theta | \vec{X}] \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{a}{a+b} - \left(\frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)} + \frac{a^2}{(a+b)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{a}{a+b} \left(1 - \frac{b}{(a+b)(a+b+1)} - \frac{a}{a+b} \right) \\ &= \frac{a}{a+b} \left(\frac{a+b-a}{a+b} - \frac{b}{(a+b)(a+b+1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{a}{(a+b)^2} \left(b - \frac{b}{a+b+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a+b+1}\right) \\
&= \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2} \left(\frac{a+b+1-1}{a+b+1}\right) \\
&= \frac{ab}{(a+b)(a+b+1)} \\
&= \frac{\left(r + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \left(n + s - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)}{(r+s+n)(r+s+n+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

(Note, you can also use what we learned about $E[\theta(1-\theta)]$ for the Beta distribution - see hint from class problems)

Although the numerator is the same, the denominator is different, therefore $q(\hat{\theta}_B) < E[q(\theta)|\vec{X}]$. Unlike the MLE, you cannot just plug in the Bayes estimate into a function q to get the Bayes estimate of $q(\theta)$.

An alternative solution includes using Jensen's Inequality.

Another alternative solution is noticing that

$$E[q(\theta)|\vec{X}] = E[\theta(1-\theta)|\vec{X}] = E[\theta|\vec{X}] - E[\theta^2|\vec{X}] = q(\hat{\theta}_B) - Var(\theta|\vec{X}).$$

2. **BD 3.2.5.** Suppose $\theta \sim \pi(\theta)$, $(X|\theta = \theta) \sim p(x|\theta)$.

(a) Show that the density of X and θ is

$$f(x, \theta) = p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) = c(x)\pi(\theta|x)$$

where $c(x) = \int \pi(\theta)p(x|\theta)d\theta$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, \theta) &= p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \pi(\theta|x)p(x) = \pi(\theta|x) \int f(x, \theta)d\theta \\ &= \pi(\theta|x) \int p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta = \pi(\theta|x)c(x) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $l(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2/w(\theta)$ for some weight function $w(\theta) > 0, \theta \in \Theta$. Show that the Bayes rule is

$$\delta^* = E_{f_0(x)}(\theta|x)$$

where

$$f_0(x, \theta) = p(x|\theta)[\pi(\theta)/w(\theta)]/c$$

and

$$c = \int \int p(x|\theta)[\pi(\theta)/w(\theta)]d\theta dx$$

is assumed to be finite. That is if π and l are changed to $a(\theta)\pi(\theta)$ and $l(\theta, a)/a(\theta)$, $a(\theta) > 0$, respectively, the Bayes rule does not change.

Minimizing this loss function (not shown) gives us the following Bayes rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^* &= \frac{E[\theta/w(\theta)|X]}{E[1/w(\theta)|X]} \\ &= \frac{\int \theta \pi(\theta|x)/w(\theta)d\theta}{\int \pi(\theta|x)/w(\theta)d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\int \theta p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)/(c(x)w(\theta))d\theta}{\int p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)/(c(x)w(\theta))d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\int \theta p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)/w(\theta)d\theta}{\int p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)/w(\theta)d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\int \theta p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)/w(\theta)d\theta}{c} \\ &= \int \theta f_0(x, \theta)d\theta \\ &= E_{f_0}(\theta|x) \end{aligned}$$

Alternative solution is using Problem 1.4.24.

3. BD 3.2.8

- (a) **Suppose that N_1, \dots, N_r , given θ are multinomial $M(n, \theta)$, $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r)^T$, and that θ has the Dirichlet distribution $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)^T$, defined in Problem 1.2.15. Let $q(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \theta_j$, where c_1, \dots, c_r are given constants. If $l(\theta, a) = [q(\theta) - a]^2$, find the Bayes decision rule δ^* and the minimum conditional Bayes risk $r(\delta^*|x)$.**

Given,

$$p(n|\theta) = \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_r!} \theta_1^{n_1} \dots \theta_r^{n_r}$$

and

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \theta_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots \theta_r^{\alpha_r-1},$$

the posterior distribution of θ is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|N = n) &\propto p(n|\theta)\pi(\theta) \\ &\propto \theta_1^{n_1+\alpha_1-1} \dots \theta_r^{n_r+\alpha_r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a Dirichlet distribution $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ where $\beta = (n_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, n_r + \alpha_r)^T$.

From class, for squared loss the Bayes decision rule that minimizes the posterior risk is

$$\delta^* = E[q(\theta)|X] = E[\sum_{j=1}^r c_j \theta_j | X] = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j E[\theta_j | X] = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \frac{\beta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j} = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \frac{\alpha_j + n_j}{\alpha_0 + n},$$

where $\alpha_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j$ and $n = \sum_{j=1}^r n_j$.

The minimum conditional (posterior) Bayes risk is

$$r(\delta^*|X) = E[(q(\theta) - \delta^*)^2 | X] = E[(q(\theta) - E[q(\theta)|X])^2 | X] = \text{Var}(q(\theta)|X).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(q(\theta)|X) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_j \theta_j | X\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r c_j^2 \text{Var}(\theta_j | X) + 2 \sum_{j < k} c_j c_k \text{Cov}(\theta_j, \theta_k | X) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r c_j^2 \frac{\beta_j(\beta_0 - \beta_j)}{\beta_0^2(\beta_0 + 1)} - 2 \sum_{j < k} c_j c_k \frac{\beta_j \beta_k}{\beta_0^2(\beta_0 + 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta_0^2(\beta_0 + 1)} \left[\sum_{j=1}^r c_j^2 \beta_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_j \beta_j \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j = \alpha_0 + n$.

- (b) **We want to estimate the vector $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r)$ with loss function $l(\theta, a) = \sum_{j=1}^r (\theta_j - a_j)^2$. Find the Bayes decision rule.**

We minimize risk for a sum of squared losses by minimizing the sum of risks for each squared loss. Therefore the Bayes rule for each $j = 1, \dots, r$ is the expected value of the posterior distribution for θ_j , that is,

$$\delta_j^* = \frac{n_j + \alpha_j}{n + \alpha_0}$$

and $\delta^* = (\delta_1^*, \dots, \delta_r^*)^T$. Can show that this minimizes the posterior risk by taking the Hessian, or by arguing that each posterior mean minimizes the posterior risk.

4. Consider a Bayesian model in which the random parameter Θ has a Bernoulli prior distribution with success probability $\frac{1}{2}$. That is,

$$\pi(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \theta = 0; \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \theta = 1. \end{cases}$$

Given $\theta = 0$, the random variable X has density f_0 and given $\theta = 1$, X has density f_1 .

- (a) Find the Bayes estimate (aka Bayes rule) of θ under squared loss.

Under squared loss, the Bayes estimate is $E[\theta|X]$. First, find the posterior distribution of θ .

$$p(\theta|x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\theta} p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}$$

$$p(\theta = 0|x) = \frac{f_0(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{f_0(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} + f_1(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{f_0(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)}$$

$$p(\theta = 1|x) = \frac{f_1(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{f_0(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} + f_1(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)}$$

Then,

$$E[\theta|X] = \sum_{\theta} \theta p(\theta|x) = 0 * \frac{f_0(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)} + 1 * \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)} = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)}.$$

- (b) Find the Bayes estimate (aka Bayes rule) of θ if $l(\theta, d) = I\{\theta \neq d\}$ (zero-one loss).

To find the Bayes estimate, we need to minimize the posterior risk

$$E[l(\theta, d)|X] = \sum_{\theta} I\{\theta \neq d\} p(\theta|x)$$

$$= I\{0 \neq d\} p(\theta = 0|x) + I\{1 \neq d\} p(\theta = 1|x)$$

When $d = 1$,

$$E[L(\theta, 1)|X] = 1 * p(\theta = 0|x) + 0 * p(\theta = 1|x) = \frac{f_0(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)}.$$

When $d = 0$,

$$E[L(\theta, 0)|X] = 0 * p(\theta = 0|x) + 1 * p(\theta = 1|x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x) + f_1(x)}.$$

This is minimized by selecting $d = 1$ when $f_0(x) < f_1(x)$ and $d = 0$ when $f_1(x) < f_0(x)$. Therefore, the Bayes rule d is

$$\delta(x) = 1 \text{ if } f_1(x) > f_0(x)$$

$$\delta(x) = 0 \text{ if } f_1(x) < f_0(x).$$

If $f_1(x) = f_0(x)$ can randomly select $\delta(x) = 1$ or 0 with equal probability.

5. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$, with $\theta > 0$.

- (a) **Show that the density of the largest order statistic $X_{(n)}$ is $p(x, \theta) = n\theta^{-n}x^{n-1}I_{(0, \theta)}(x)$, where $I_{(0, \theta)}(x)$ is an indicator for $0 < x < \theta$.**

Using Casella & Berger (CB Thm 5.4.4) the pdf of the n th order statistic is

$$p(x, \theta) = nf_X(x)F_X(x)^{n-1}.$$

Here $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}I_{(0, \theta)}(x)$ and $F_X(x) = \frac{x}{\theta}I_{(0, \theta)}(x)$, therefore

$$p(x, \theta) = n\theta^{-n}x^{n-1}I_{(0, \theta)}(x).$$

- (b) **Find an unbiased estimator for θ based on $X_{(n)}$ and determine its variance.**

$$E[X_{(n)}] = \int_0^\theta nx\theta^{-n}x^{n-1}dx = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}.$$

Therefore, an unbiased estimator for θ based on $X_{(n)}$ is $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$.

The variance of $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$ is

$$\frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \text{Var}(X_{(n)}) = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} (E[X_{(n)}^2] - E[X_{(n)}]^2).$$

Since,

$$E[X_{(n)}^2] = \int_0^\theta x^2 n\theta^{-n}x^{n-1}dx = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2},$$

then

$$\frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \text{Var}(X_{(n)}) = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \left(\frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n\theta}{n+1} \right)^2 \right),$$

which simplifies to $\frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}$.

- (c) **Find the Fisher information matrix $I(\theta)$. Show that the Fisher information inequality does NOT hold for the UMVU estimator in b).**

Since $X_{(n)}$ is complete and sufficient for θ (see CB Example 6.2.23) any function of $X_{(n)}$ that is an unbiased estimator for θ is UMVU (see CB Thm 7.3.23). Therefore, $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$, is UMVU.

For an exponential family or if assumptions I and II hold, the Fisher information inequality holds and the variance for an unbiased estimator would be bounded by $I^{-1}(\theta)$, where

$$I(\theta) = E\left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(X, \theta)\right)^2\right].$$

For the uniform case, assumptions I and II do not hold and this is not an exponential family. Although it won't have the same properties as the Fisher Information Number, we still calculate the expectation $E[(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(X, \theta))^2]$.

First,

$$\log p(X, \theta) = -\log \theta$$

and

$$I(\theta) = E[(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(X, \theta))^2] = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

Using $I_n(\theta) = nI(\theta)$, the Fisher Information Inequality does not hold

$$\frac{\theta^2}{n} > \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}.$$

Although we cannot use the inequality to show UMVU for $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$, it is still UMVU. Since $X_{(n)}$ is complete and sufficient for θ any function of $X_{(n)}$ that is an unbiased estimator for θ is UMVU.

6. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $N(\theta, 1)$

(a) Show that the unbiased estimator of θ^2 is $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left[\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right] &= E[\bar{X}^2] - \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (E[\bar{X}])^2 - \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{n}\right) + \left(E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{n}\right]\right)^2 - \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \frac{n\text{Var}(X_i)}{n^2} + \left(\frac{nE[X_i]}{n}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \frac{n}{n^2} + \left(\frac{n\theta}{n}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} + \theta^2 - \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \theta^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$ is the unbiased estimator of θ^2 .

(b) Calculate its variance and show that is greater than the Information Inequality Bound.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}^2) \\
 &= E[\bar{X}^4] - (E[\bar{X}^2])^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Aside:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\bar{X}^2] &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (E[\bar{X}])^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} + \theta^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\bar{X}^4] &= E[\bar{X}^3(\bar{X} - \theta + \theta)] \\
 &= E[\bar{X}^3(\bar{X} - \theta)] + \theta E[\bar{X}^3] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} E[3\bar{X}^2] + \theta (E[\bar{X}^2(\bar{X} - \theta + \theta)]) \\
 &= \frac{3}{n} E[\bar{X}^2] + \theta (E[\bar{X}^2(\bar{X} - \theta)] + \theta E[\bar{X}^2]) \\
 &= \frac{3}{n} E[\bar{X}^2] + \theta \left(\frac{1}{n} E[2\bar{X}] + \theta E[\bar{X}^2]\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{3}{n} + \theta^2\right) E[\bar{X}^2] + \frac{2\theta}{n} E[\bar{X}] \\
 &= \left(\frac{3}{n} + \theta^2\right) E[\bar{X}^2] + \frac{2\theta^2}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: $E[\bar{X}^4]$ is computed by applying Stein's Lemma two times.
 So the $Var\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 Var\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) &= \frac{2\theta^2}{n} + \left(\frac{3}{n} + \theta^2\right)E[\bar{X}^2] - \left(E[\bar{X}^2]\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{2\theta^2}{n} + \left(\frac{3}{n} + \theta^2 - E[\bar{X}^2]\right)E[\bar{X}^2] \\
 &= \frac{2\theta^2}{n} + \left(\frac{3}{n} + \theta^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} + \theta^2\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} + \theta^2\right) \\
 &= \frac{2\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \theta^2\right) \\
 &= \frac{2\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{2\theta^2}{n} \\
 &= \frac{4\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Information Inequality lower bound is $\frac{(\Psi'(\theta))^2}{nI_1(\theta)}$ where $\Psi(\theta) = E[\bar{X}^2] = Var(\bar{X}) + \left(E[\bar{X}]\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} + \theta^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(\theta) &= -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log(p(X_1, \theta))}{\partial \theta^2}\right] \\
 &= -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \left(-\log \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}(X_1 - \theta)^2\right)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] \\
 &= -E\left[\frac{\partial(X_1 - \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right] \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

The Information Inequality lower bound is $\frac{(\Psi'(\theta))^2}{nI_1(\theta)} = \frac{(2\theta)^2}{n} = \frac{4\theta^2}{n}$.
 The variance of the estimator does not meet the inequality bound,
 $Var\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{4\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} > \frac{4\theta^2}{n}$ since $\frac{2}{n^2} > 0$ and it is a strict inequality.