

Solutions to Homework 2
BIOS 7731

1. BD 1.1.1

- (a) Let $X = \text{pebble diameter}$, then $Z = \log X$ has a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution.

Since $X = e^Z$, using a change of variables, X has density

$$p(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{x\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{\log x - \mu}{\sigma}\right), \quad x > 0, \quad \theta = (\mu, \sigma)$$

where ϕ is the $N(0, 1)$ density.

Assuming that the pebbles are iid, $p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i, \theta)$.

Since there is no knowledge about the magnitude of μ and σ^2 , the parameter space is

$$\Theta = \{(\mu, \sigma) : -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0\}.$$

The model is parametric since a log normal model is specified.

- (b) The density of the n independent determinations, $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ is

$$p(x, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x_i - \mu - 0.1}{\sigma}\right),$$

where ϕ is the $N(0, 1)$ density and σ is known.

The parameter space is

$$\Theta = \{\mu : -\infty < \mu < \infty\}.$$

The model is parametric since a Gaussian model is specified.

- (c) Let $\beta > 0$ stand for the unknown bias. Replace 0.1 with β above for the density.

Since σ is known, the parameter space is

$$\Theta = \{(\mu, \beta) : -\infty < \mu < \infty, \beta > 0\}.$$

We can only determine the sum $\mu + \beta$ (see next problem).

The model is parametric since a Gaussian model is specified.

- (d) Let $Y = \#$ of eggs laid, and $X = \#$ of eggs hatching.

From A.6.3, $X|Y = y$ is *Binomial*(y, p)

Since Y is *Poisson*(λ), the joint frequency function for n insects is

$$p(x, y, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{y_i}{x_i} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{y_i-x_i} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots, y.$$

The parameter space is

$$\Theta = \{(\lambda, p) : \lambda > 0, 0 \leq p \leq 1\}.$$

The model is parametric since a Poisson model is specified for Y and a Binomial model is specified for the conditional distribution $X|Y$.

2. BD 1.1.2

- (a) Unidentifiable. Two different values of $\theta = (\mu, \beta)$ can yield the same values for P_θ . For example, $\theta = (1, 1)$ and $\theta' = (0, 2)$ both generate a $N(2, \sigma^2)$ distribution (with σ known). (Can show generally if we add/subtract constant c for μ and β).
- (b) Identifiable. The joint distribution from 1.1.1d with $\theta = (\lambda, p)$ is

$$p(x, y, \theta) = \binom{y}{x} p^x (1-p)^{y-x} \frac{\lambda^y \exp -\lambda}{y!}.$$

Suppose $\theta' \neq \theta$, then the ratio of the joint densities should equal to 1 if the parameterization is not identifiable:

$$\frac{p(x, y, \theta')}{p(x, y, \theta)} = \left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)^x \left(\frac{1-p'}{1-p}\right)^{y-x} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}\right)^y \exp -(\lambda' - \lambda).$$

Each component of this ratio is $\neq 1$ if $p' \neq p$ and $\lambda' \neq \lambda$, so the ratio is $\neq 1$, and $p(x, y, \theta') \neq p(x, y, \theta)$. Therefore, the parameterization is identifiable.

- (c) Unidentifiable. First, find the marginal frequency function $p(x, \theta)$,

$$p(x, \theta) = \sum_{y=x}^{\infty} p(x, y, \theta) = \sum_{y=x}^{\infty} \frac{y!}{(y-x)!x!} p^x (1-p)^{y-x} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!}.$$

Factor out terms not depending on y , to get

$$p(x, \theta) = p^x \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{x!} \sum_{y=x}^{\infty} \lambda^y \frac{(1-p)^{y-x}}{y-x!}.$$

Let $k = y - x$ and perform a change of variables,

$$p(x, \theta) = p^x \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{x!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k+x} \frac{(1-p)^k}{k!}.$$

Recall that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda p)^k}{k!} = e^{\lambda - \lambda p}$, to obtain

$$p(x, \theta) = \frac{e^{-\lambda p} (\lambda p)^x}{x!}.$$

Thus, X has a Poisson(λp) distribution. Two different values of $\theta = (\lambda, p)$ can yield the same P_θ . For example, $\theta = (2, 1/2)$ and $\theta' = (3, 1/3)$ both generate a Poisson(1) distribution for X .

3. BD 1.2.7

First, note that the conditional frequency of $X = k|D = d$ can be expressed as

$$\frac{\binom{d}{k} \binom{N-d}{n-k}}{\binom{N}{n}} = \frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{N-n}{d-k}}{\binom{N}{d}}.$$

The posterior frequency function of $D|X = k$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P(D = d'|X = k) &= \frac{P(X = k|D = d')P(D = d')}{\sum_d P(X = k|D = d)P(D = d)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{N-n}{d'-k}}{\binom{N}{d'}} \binom{N}{d'} \pi_0^{d'} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d'}}{\sum_{d=k}^{N-n+k} \frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{N-n}{d-k}}{\binom{N}{d}} \binom{N}{d} \pi_0^d (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d}}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum in the denominator is over the range of d that is possible: d must be at least k and there are $N - n + k$ objects left that can be defective.

The posterior frequency simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\binom{N-n}{d'-k} \pi_0^{d'} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d'}}{\sum_{d=k}^{N-n+k} \binom{N-n}{d-k} \pi_0^d (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{N-n}{d'-k} \pi_0^{d'} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d'}}{\sum_{d=0}^{N-n} \binom{N-n}{d} \pi_0^{d+k} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d+k}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{N-n}{d'-k} \pi_0^{d'} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d'}}{\pi_0^k (1 - \pi_0)^{n-k} \sum_{d=0}^{N-n} \binom{N-n}{d} \pi_0^d (1 - \pi_0)^{N-n-d}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{N-n}{d'-k} \pi_0^{d'} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-d'}}{\pi_0^k (1 - \pi_0)^{n-k}} \\ &= \binom{N-n}{d'-k} \pi_0^{d'-k} (1 - \pi_0)^{N-n-(d'-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z = d' - k$, then the last term is a binomial for Z , $Bin(N - n, \pi)$ and therefore D given $X = k$ is $k + Z$ where Z is $Bin(N - n, \pi)$.

4. BD 1.2.12

(a) The conditional density of X given θ is

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|\theta) &= \Pi_i \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta(x_i - \mu_0)^2\right\} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \theta^{\frac{1}{2}n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2\right\} \propto \theta^{\frac{1}{2}n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta t\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$.

(b) The posterior density of θ given $X = x$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|x) &\propto \pi(x|\theta)p(\theta) \propto \theta^{\frac{1}{2}n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta t\right\} \theta^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-2)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\nu\theta\right\} \\ &\propto \theta^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+n-2)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\nu+t)\theta\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $X \sim \Gamma(\beta, \alpha)$, the density is $\frac{\alpha^\beta x^{\beta-1} \exp^{-x\alpha}}{\Gamma(\beta)}$.

Thus, the posterior density is a gamma density with parameters $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\nu+t)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+n)$. Recall that the $\chi^2(r)$ density is a special case of the gamma when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{r}{2}$. The change of variable $\theta' = (\nu+t)\theta$, gives us

$$\pi(\theta|x) \propto (\theta')^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+n-2)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta'\right\},$$

where the derivative from the change of variables $(\nu+t)$ is absorbed into the constants (not shown). This is the density of a χ^2 distribution with $r = \lambda+n$ degrees of freedom.

(c) Using transformations of random variables, $\sigma = g(\theta) = \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the density of σ given $X = x$ is

$$p(\sigma|x) = p_\theta(g^{-1}(\sigma)) \left| \frac{dg^{-1}(\sigma)}{d\sigma} \right|,$$

where $\left| \frac{dg^{-1}(\sigma)}{d\sigma} \right| = 2\sigma^{-3}$.

From part b), $p(\theta|x)$ is the gamma density with parameters $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\nu+t)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+n)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma|x) &= \frac{\alpha^\beta (\sigma^{-2})^{\beta-1} \exp^{-\sigma^{-2}\alpha}}{\Gamma(\beta)} 2\sigma^{-3} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha^\beta \sigma^{-2\beta-1} \exp^{-\sigma^{-2}\alpha}}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \quad \sigma > 0. \end{aligned}$$

5. **BD 1.3.8**

(a) The expectation of s^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} E[s^2] &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - 2(X_i - \mu)(\mu - \bar{X}) + (\mu - \bar{X})^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 - n2\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + n\frac{\sigma^2}{n}) = \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E[s^2] = \sigma^2$, s^2 is unbiased.

(b) i. Since $Bias(s^2) = 0$ from part a), the MSE simplifies to

$$MSE(s^2) = Var(s^2) = \sigma^4(n-1)^2 Var[\sigma^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2].$$

Note that $\sigma^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ and the variance of a χ_r^2 distributed random variable is $2r$. Therefore,

$$Var(s^2) = \sigma^4(n-1)^{-2} 2(n-1) = 2(n-1)^{-1} \sigma^4.$$

ii. The MSE of $\hat{\sigma}_c^2$ is

$$MSE(c(n-1)s^2) = Var(c(n-1)s^2) + Bias(c(n-1)s^2)^2,$$

where

$$Bias(c(n-1)s^2)^2 = (E[(c(n-1)s^2)] - \sigma^2)^2 = (c(n-1)\sigma^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = \sigma^4[c^2(n-1)^2 - 2c(n-1) + 1]$$

and

$$Var((c(n-1)s^2)) = c^2(n-1)^2 2(n-1)^{-1} \sigma^4 = \sigma^4 c^2 2(n-1).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(c(n-1)s^2) &= \sigma^4[c^2 2(n-1) + c^2(n-1)^2 - 2c(n-1) + 1] \\ &= \sigma^4[c^2(n^2 - 1) - 2c(n-1) + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

(Sketch of solution) Take the derivative of the MSE with respect to c and solve for 0. This function is minimized at $c = (n+1)^{-1}$, and the second derivative is > 0 for $n > 1$.

6. **BD 1.3.19 a,c**

- (a) The risk for loss l is defined as

$$R(\theta_i, \delta_j) = \sum_{k=1}^2 l(\theta_i, \delta_j(X_k)) P(X = X_k).$$

For this example, we can calculate the risk for the four decision procedures δ for the two values of θ .

	δ_1	δ_2	δ_3	δ_4
θ_1	0	1.6	0.4	2
θ_2	3	1.8	2.2	1

The minimax value $\min_j \max_i R(\theta_i, \delta_j)$ is achieved for δ_2 with $R(\theta_2, \delta_2) = 1.8$. Therefore, the non-randomized minimax rule is δ_2 .

- (b) The Bayes risk is $r(\delta_j) = \sum_i \pi(\theta_i) R(\theta_i, \delta_j)$.

δ_1	δ_2	δ_3	δ_4
2.7	1.78	2.02	1.1

which is minimized for δ_4 .