## Question 1

- (a) The article I find is called "Does Diversity Matter for Health? Experimental Evidence from Oakland". This paper mainly discusses the effect of racial diversity of physicians on the demand of preventive health care among the African American men.
- (b) Alsan, M., Garrick, O., Graziani, G. (2019). Does Diversity Matter for Health? Experimental Evidence from Oakland. American Economic Review, 109(12), 4071–4111. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20181446.
- (c) The paper (Alsan, 2019) has mainly four equations in its model.

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_i^{BlackMD} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_i^{\$5} + \beta_3 \cdot \mathbf{1}_i^{\$10} + \Gamma' X_i + \epsilon_i \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{i}^{RaceMD=k} = \alpha + \beta_{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i}^{RaceResp=k} + \Gamma' X_{i} + \epsilon_{i}$$
 (2)

$$\mathbf{1}_{i}^{RaceMD=RaceResp} = \alpha + \beta_{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i}^{RaceResp} + \Gamma' X_{i} + \epsilon_{i}$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{1}_{il}^{RaceMD=RaceResp} = \alpha + \beta_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i}^{RaceResp} + \lambda_l \cdot \mathbf{1}_{l}^{Domain} + \Gamma' X_i + \epsilon_{il}$$
 (4)

For the meaning of variables in the equations, in the equation (1),  $Y_i$  refers to the demand of preventive health care for participants;  $\mathbf{1}_i^{BlackMD}$ , refers to the indicator on whether the participants are assigned with black doctors;  $\mathbf{1}_i^{\$5}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_i^{\$10}$  are dummy variables that indicate whether participants receive money incentives for the preventives;  $X_i$  is a combination of control variables referring to some characteristics of participants including the self-reported health, any health problem, ER visits, nights hospital, medical mistrust, whether has primary care physician, whether uninsured, age, whether married, whether unemployed, education, income and attrition.

The equations from (2) to (4) are a series that explores whether the preference of black men for a black physician with the is unique for their ethnic group and whether such preference varies across the health care domains. Here the RaceMD and RaceResp refers to the race of doctors and respondents, and l refers to one of the domains in health care system. Also, the  $X_i$  in these three equations includes the age, education and income of respondents.

(d) The endogenous and exogenous variables in each equation in the model are as followed.

Equations	Endogenous	Exogenous
(1)	$\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \Gamma', \epsilon_i$	$1_i^{BlackMD}, 1_i^{\$5}, 1_i^{\$10}, X_i, Y_i$
(2)	$\alpha, \beta_1, \Gamma', \epsilon_i$	$1_{i}^{RaceResp=k}, X_{i}, 1_{i}^{BlackMD=k}$
(3)	$\alpha, \beta_1, \Gamma', \epsilon_i$	$1_{i}^{RaceResp}, X_{i}, 1_{i}^{RaceMD=RaceResp}$
(4)	$\alpha, \beta_1, \lambda_l, \Gamma', \epsilon_{il}$	$1_{i}^{RaceResp}, 1_{l}^{Domain}, X_{i}, 1_{il}^{RaceMD=RaceResp}$

- (e) Considering all the four equations in the model, the model should be classified as a static, linear and deterministic one.
- (f) One variable I think the model is missing is inside the  $X_i$ , which refers to the characteristics of participants involved. According to the paper, characteristics are introduced as control variables as mentioned before. However, as a paper relevant to ethnic diversity, the variable on the attitudes on ethnic diversity should be included. For example, the question could be whether they support racial discrimination. Therefore, such variable could have been included in the model.

Another variable I think may have been included is the indicators with incentives in the baseline model. There is no clear proof on why the incentives are \$5 and \$10. Therefore, it is likely that the threshold of participants to change their behavior is above 10 dollars, and the indicators of \$15 or more should be included.

## Question 2

(a) For the marriage model, I would like to form a Probit model which is suitable for the research question. The model is described as followed.

$$y_j^* = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i x_{ij} + \varepsilon_j = \beta X_j + \varepsilon_j \tag{5}$$

$$y_j = \begin{cases} 1, y_j^* > y^* \\ 0, y_j^* <= y^* \end{cases}$$
 (6)

$$G(z) = \int_{\infty}^{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-v^2}{2}} dv \tag{7}$$

$$Pr(y_j = 1|x) = Pr(y_i^* > 0|x) = Pr(\beta X_j + \varepsilon_j > 0|x) = 1 - G(-\beta X_j) = G(\beta X_j)$$
 (8)

For the model above, j denotes the observations, and  $y_j$  denotes whether participants decide to get married  $(y_j=1)$  or not  $(y_j=0)$ . The decision of each individual is made by a latent variable  $y_j^*$ , which cannot be observed but has a linear relation with the factors influencing the decision. It can be considered as a utility for individual to decide whether getting married. There is a bar of this utility  $y^*$ , above which people will choose to get married.

In equation (5),  $x_{0j}$  equals to 1 to represent the constant value, and  $X_j$  includes the key factors I think will influence the decision of marriage. They will be described in the question (d).

For equation (7) and (8), they indicate that the probability to get married is following the normal distribution. Therefore, we could predict the possibility of getting married for each individual.

In order to better simulate the data, I would like to divide the data into training data and test data. It is like a machine learning method, and I will use the estimates from training data to predict the test one. I will set a threshold on probability of getting married, and those above the threshold will be considered to have the decision to get married.

- (b) Since I will make predictions in the test data based on the model, the predicted value will be the output of the model, and  $y_i$  is endogenous.
- (c) The model is a complete data generating process since if I could get all the data needed, the model could simulate the parameters and make predictions.

- (d) I could like to consider three categories of factors to be included. The first one is the demographic features of individuals, including the age, gender, race, income, education level and profession type. These are the basic characteristics of people.
  - The second category is the marriage status of the participants' major family members. The options of marriage history contain the single, married, and divorced. Here the family members can be in pairs, like the parents, couples or their brothers and sisters.
  - The third category considers the sexual orientation of participants via the survey. The options include mainly heterosexual and homosexual. Also I would like to give a question on whether the country of participants allow homosexual marriage or not.
- (e) The reasons why I choose the factors above as followed. The demographic features should be considered as key factors. For example, if the income level is not high, participants may not afford the daily lives after marriage and thus are not willing to engage into marriage. Also, if the profession is under high pressure and takes most of the time, the participants may think it cautiously to marry even getting into a relationship.

The marriage history also has a influence. If the participants' parents are divorced, their quarrels and bad relations will make their children think that the marriage is horrible and will not lead to happiness, which will lead to the fear of getting married. According to the paper of Cunningham and Thornton (2006), the marriage quality of parents will greatly affect the children's attitudes towards marriage, and thus influence their decisions to get married. Therefore, I consider it as one key factor.

The third category considers the marriage attitude of minority people, specifically for the homosexual people. If the participants are self reported as homosexual, and if their country does not allow homosexual marriage, or people surrounded do not recognize gay marriage, the participants may lose courage to get married. The paper by Macintosh, el. (2010) finds that the country's attitude on legal homosexual marriage will affect the decision making of homosexual people to marry.

(f) One preliminary test to see whether the factors are significant is to see the accuracy of the prediction on test data. If the most of the predictions match the real decision, I can say the factors I choose are significant in the real life.

## References

- Alsan, M., Garrick, O., Graziani, G. (2019). Does Diversity Matter for Health? Experimental Evidence from Oakland. American Economic Review, 109(12),4071–4111.https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20181446.
- Cunningham, M., Thornton, A. (2006). The influence of parents' marital quality on adult children's attitudes toward marriage and its alternatives: Main and moderating effects. Demography, 43(4), 659–672. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.2006.00.
- Macintosh, H., Reissing, E. D., Armstrong, H. (2010). Same-Sex Marriage in Canada: The Impact of Legal Marriage on the First Cohort of Gay and Lesbian Canadians to Wed. The Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality, 19(3), 79–90.