What is the relationship between the mass of a damped spring-block oscillator ([masses TBD]) and the damping ratio?

Physics HL

Internal Assessment

Word count: TBD

Table of Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1						
	1.1	The Research Question	1						
	1.2	Background Information	1						
	1.3	Hypothesis	2						
2	Res	earch Design	4						
	2.1	Variables	4						
	2.2	Apparatus and Materials	6						
	2.3	Methodology	7						
	2.4	Preliminary Trials	8						
	2.5	Risk Assessment	8						
3	Res	ults	9						
	3.1	Raw Data	9						
		3.1.1 Qualitative Data	9						
		3.1.2 Quantitative Data	9						
	3.2	Data Processing	9						
		3.2.1 Transformation	9						
		3.2.2 Uncertainty Analysis	9						
	3.3	Analysis and Interpretation	9						
4	Con	Conclusion							
	4.1	Evaluation	9						
	4.2	Extensibility	9						

1 Introduction

This essay extends the investigation of simple harmonic motion by studying the damping force of a damped oscillator submerged in water, aiming to scrutinize the relationship between the mass of the block and the damping ratio. By scrutinizing this relationship, the study aims to offer valuable insights that can inform the design of systems seeking to optimize damping levels for safety-related objectives.

1.1 The Research Question

What is the relationship between the mass of a damped spring-block oscillator ([masses TBD]) and the damping ratio?

1.2 Background Information

An ideal and rather theoretical spring-mass system oscillates indefinitely, producing an ongoing sine or cosine curve. In reality, there will be a damping force that can be as minimally consequential as air resistance or as observable as viscous drag in a liquid. Anyway, energy is dissipated to the surroundings and hence the amplitude will gradually decrease until the oscillation stops.

The extent to which the viscous drag force, modeled by Stoke's law, diminishes the oscillatory motion submerged in water depends on the mass of the oscillator. This investigation delves into the relationship between the independent variable of mass m, and the dependent variable of the damping ratio ζ . The mass set used in the system consists of spheres of equal radii but different densities.

Stoke's law determines the drag force acting upon an object traveling through a fluid. It is proportional to the object's velocity and is given by the following per the Physics Data Booklet, in Newtons

$$F_d = 6\pi r \eta v$$

This law holds iff. the object speed is low such that the flow to be laminar, and the object

is spherical.

Assumption 1. The damping force is the viscous drag force.

Moreover, the motion of the oscillator is modeled by the following differential equation, with the damping force proportional to velocity (Miller, 2004):

$$m\ddot{x}(t) + b\dot{x}(t) + kx(t) = 0 \tag{1}$$

The damping ratio ζ is defined as the ratio of the damping coefficient to the critical damping coefficient — the damping coefficient when the system returns to equilibrium without completing an oscillation. That is

$$\zeta = \frac{b}{c_c} = \frac{b}{2mw_0}$$

where w_0 is the natural frequency of the system

$$w_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$

$$\implies \zeta = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{mk}}$$

1.3 Hypothesis

Suppose that the constant of proportionality of the damping force with speed is given by $b = 6\pi r\eta$, per Stoke's law. Then

$$6\pi r \eta = 2\zeta \sqrt{mk}$$
$$9\pi^2 r^2 \eta^2 = \zeta^2 mk$$
$$\frac{\zeta^2}{m^{-1}} = \frac{9\pi^2 r^2 \eta^2}{k}$$

Proposition 1. For a fixed sphere radius r and viscosity η ,

$$\zeta^2 \propto m^{-1} \tag{2}$$

Equivalently, the graph ζ^2/m^{-1} is ideally a straight line, although not necessarily passing through the origin, as some form of systematic errors are expected.

Proposition 1 has a few dependencies that may affect its accuracy. It relies on Assumption 1, which, in turn, requires that the flow is laminar. This is a reasonable assumption given that the spheres are relatively small, and the speed is low; the potential for turbulence is insignificant and even if present, the impact is minimal. Furthermore, the buoyancy force on the object

$$F_b = \rho g V$$

is always present but is not modeled in the differential equation at eq. (1). Notwithstanding, the absence of considerations of buoyancy has negligible impact on precision. The setup involves spheres of radius 2.5cm and water of density 997kg m⁻³, then

$$F_b \approx 10 \times 1000 \times \frac{4}{3}\pi (2.5 \times 10^{-2})^3 < 1$$
N

Null hypothesis (H_0) : There is no relationship between the mass and the damping ratio. Alternative hypothesis (H_1) : There is a relationship between the mass and the damping ratio.

2 Research Design

2.1 Variables

Vari	ables	Explanation	Measurement
Independent	Mass m	The masses of the spherical	Measured using an electric
		metal bobs	balance, to two d.p. with
			uncertainty ± 0.005 g
Dependent	Peak Ampli-	At least 5 consecutive	Ruler, with uncertainty
	tude	peaks, if the oscillation	$\pm 0.0005 m$
		does not stop before the	
		5th peak, will have their	
		heights measured. These	
		datasets are used then to	
		compute the damping ra-	
		tio.	

Table 1: Variables of investigation

Control Variables								
Variable	Rationale	Means of Control						
Liquid viscosity	To keep the drag coeffi-	Using water from the						
	cient per Stoke's Law con-	same source throughout						
	stant	the experiment, and keep						
		the room temperature						
		constant by turning off the						
		air conditioning. Unknown						
		uncertainty						
Radius of the sphere	This is so that the drag	Using a set of spherical						
	force, per Stoke's law, is	masses of the same diam-						
	constant	eter						
Spring constant	This is so that the natural	Using the same spring						
	frequency of the system is	throughout the experi-						
	constant	ment. Read from the label						
		of on the box.						
Initial amplitude, A_0	So that the damping ratio	Pulling the spring down by						
	is not affected by the initial	equal distances for every						
	conditions	mass. Measured by a ruler						
		and hence has uncertainty						
		$\pm 0.0005 m$						
Damping coefficient	Linearizes relationship be-	Previous means of control						
	tween damping ratio and	keep fluid viscosity and ob-						
	mass	ject dimensions constant,						
		so the damping coefficient,						
		which depend on these,						
		will be constant.						

Table 2: Control variables

2.2 Apparatus and Materials




Figure 1: The experimental setup

- 1. Ruler (± 0.0005 m)
- 2. Electric balance (± 0.005 g)
- 3. Spring with spring constant $k = ??? \text{N m}^{-1}$
- 4. Mass set with five spherical masses of diameter ???cm, and masses

(a)
$$m_1 = ????g$$

- 5. Beaker ([dimensions here])
- 6. Tap water ([volume here])
- 7. Camera keeps a record for future reference
- 8. Thermometer to ensure that the liquid stays at a constant temperature
- 9. Retort stand
- 10. Clamp

2.3 Methodology

- 1. Take a piece of metal wire, and cut into segments of 3cm in length. [may change to scotch tape]
- 2. Arrange the masses in increasing order, i.e. $m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_n$, where n is the number of masses in the mass set.
- 3. Fill in the beaker with AmL of tap water
- 4. Measure the temperature of the water, and record as T_0
- 5. Attach the clamp to the retort stand.
- 6. Lean the ruler vertically against the retort stand.
- 7. Place the beaker directly under the clamp such that the clamp lies at the center of the beaker in bird's eye view.
- 8. For i from 1 to n inclusive, repeat the following
 - (a) Take the *i*th mass, m_i , and attach its hook to the spring.
 - (b) Take a piece of metal wire, wrap it as many times as possible across the diagonal (explanation to be improved), fix and reinforce the connection between the mass and the spring.
 - (c) Attach the spring-mass pair to the clamp and let the mass hang freely.
 - (d) Adjust the height of the clamp so that the mass is submerged in the water.
 - (e) Measure the height of the mass from the surface of the desk, and record as h_0
 - (f) Adjust the vertical camera position such that it levels with the reading $(h_0 \frac{A_0}{2})$ cm to minimize parallax error of the camera.
 - (g) For j from 1 to 3 inclusive, repeat the following
 - i. Pull the mass down by A_0 cm by reading $h_0 A_0$ from the ruler at eye level.

- ii. Start the camera recording.
- iii. Release the mass.
- iv. Record the clip until the 5th negative peak is reached.
- v. Save the clip as Mass_i_Trial_j.mp4
- vi. Open the clip in a video viewer.
- vii. Identify the first 3 negative peaks, one in each cycle.
- viii. Record the respective heights above the desk as $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^5$.
- ix. Then the corresponding peak amplitudes are $A_k = h_0 h_k$
- (h) Take the average values across the three trials for each peak amplitude.

2.4 Preliminary Trials

2.5 Risk Assessment

Consideration	Relevance and Mitigation						
Safety	All valuable assets are kept away from the experimental setup to						
	prevent accidental detachments of the spring-mass system lead-						
	ing to the mass being thrown out and causing damage. More-						
	over, the amplitude was kept low to prevent fierce movements						
	that can break the beaker or computer camera.						
Ethical	No use of animals or human bodies involved. However, the						
	recording selects the location and angle that avoids the storage						
	and exposure of any personal information or objects of the lab						
	owner, ensuring maximum privacy protection.						
Environmental	The entire experiment aims to reuse the same water through-						
	out to minimize water wastage. Furthermore, the spring was						
	carefully pulled to prevent it from passing its elastic limit and						
	become unusable for future experiments.						

Table 3: Risk Assessment

3 Results

3.1 Raw Data

3.1.1 Qualitative Data

During the entire experiment, there is no spillage of water, which means that the volume is kept constant. Moreover, the spring is always able to return to its original length without any permanent deformation, indicating that the spring is not stretched beyond its elastic limit and that Hooke's Law applies throughout.

3.1.2 Quantitative Data

Mass (g)	Peak 1 (cm)			Peak 2 (cm)				Peak 3 (cm)						

3.2 Data Processing

3.2.1 Transformation

3.2.2 Uncertainty Analysis

3.3 Analysis and Interpretation

4 Conclusion

4.1 Evaluation

4.2 Extensibility

REFERENCES REFERENCES

References

Miller, H. (2004). 13. natural frequency and damping ratio. DSpace@MIT. Retrieved May 14, 2024, from https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/34888/18-03Spring2004/NR/rdonlyres/Mathematics/18-03Spring2004/B76E6F4F-7B05-4DA0-A5A5-03FA4ACCB6B2/0/sup_13.pdf