

User Manual

Tim Visée & Nathan Bakhuijzen

October 2018



1 Introduction

The purpose of this manual is twofold:

- To inform research how to use the platform to execute experiments and conduct research
- To inform future developers how to continue developing the platform

1.1 Problem Definition

What physical motions are natural, effortless and easy, in order to control a computer or other digital device?

Questions of this nature can be answered using the *Can't Touch This* platform. *Can't Touch This* aims to be a platform for researchers that would like to conduct research in the field of touchless computer systems. We believe that our platform allows researchers to build a strong foundation for the future of touchless control. Giving researchers the opportunity to conduct research improves the chance for touchless control of computers only seen in futuristic movies and tv shows.

1.2 Motivation

At the start of the KB-80 minor, students were given a choice in the subject of the research. Mister Al-Ers introduced us to a series of subjects, of which the LeapMotion project was the most interesting. The idea behind the LeapMotion project was to create or extend existing software to enable people to control a computer without touching any peripherals, like keyboards and mice.

1.3 Background information

Research in the field of touchless computer systems is motivated by the desire for comparable systems in sterile environments. For example, surgeons often make use of computer systems to aid them during their surgeries by providing crucial information such as CT, MRI and X-ray scans. This is where touchless computer systems may offer a solution. Touchless computer systems allows surgeons to control a system without the need for physical peripherals.

2 Installation Guide

The *Can't Touch This* platform requires the following:

- A computer with the Windows (7+), OSX (10.7+, Lion+) or Linux (kernel 2.6.18+) operating system
- An installation of the LeapMotion [SDK](#)
- An installation of the [Rust](#) programming language
- The physical LeapMotion device itself
- The *Can't Touch This* platform

2.1 Software Dependencies

The *Can't Touch This* platform is written using the [Rust programming language](#). This means that the operating system that the platform will run on must also support the Rust programming language. Fortunately, Rust runs on all popular operating systems today, shown above in the list of requirements. An up-to-date list of all supported versions can be found on the [Rust website](#). Additionally, the *Can't Touch This* platform requires the LeapMotion [SDK](#) to provide all necessary sensor data. Just like the Rust programming language, the LeapMotion SDK can be installed on all platforms.

2.2 External resources

No additional resources are required to run the *Can't Touch This* platform.

2.3 External development tools

Continuing development of the *Can't Touch This* platform requires basic tools like a text editor or IDE, and a terminal. It is highly recommended to use [git](#), as this was used during development of the platform. Additionally, setting up an CI server may prove useful. Setting up an CI server is beyond the scope of this manual.

3 User Instructions

This chapter gives users instructions on how to use the *Can't Touch This* platform. It assumes that the user has followed the instructions found in the *Installation* chapter. The following instructions will detail how to setup the platform so that you can conduct the *experiments* found later in this manual.

3.1 Usage

- Attach the LeapMotion device using its provided USB cable
- Start the LeapMotion tracking program provided by the LeapMotion SDK
- Start the *Can't Touch This* platform by running the provided executable program, or run it manually in a terminal (`cargo run`)
- Start up a web browser (Chrome, Firefox, Safari, etc) and navigate to <http://localhost:8000>
- Click on '*Start Recording*'
- Move your physical hand above the LeapMotion device to make a desired gesture
- Once you are done making the gesture, click on '*Stop Recording*'
- The recorded gesture you've just made should be visibly represented on the canvas
- Save the gesture by clicking on '*Save Recording*' and bind the gesture to a pre-defined action
- Click on '*Recognition Mode*' and make one of the gestures you've made beforehand
- The computer will give positive feedback if the gesture is recognized

4 Requirements

This chapter details the list of open and finished requirements of the *Can't Touch This* platform. The prioritization of this list is not according to the MoSCoW method, because we had to write the entire platform from scratch. This took a long time, with lots of challenges and unexpected issues. We therefore chose to figure out what to do on the fly, rather than planning things out beforehand.

The following requirements have been satisfied:

- Operating System independant
- Web interface for user interaction
- Predefined gestures
- Record new gestures
- Gestures management
- Multi-finger recognition

By using the Rust programming language we inherently met the operating system requirement. This saved us a lot of work and allowed us to focus on the platform's functionality. Similarly, we chose to use a web interface for the user interaction. These decisions saved us a substantial workload.

The following requirements are left unsatisfied:

- Gesture bound actions
- Combining multiple sensors

Gesture bound actions are not yet implemented, because we did not believe this is an important feature. We instead worked on gesture recognition and template management. Instead of binding actions to gestures, we give users visual feedback when the gestures is recognized.


When we started out with the project, we wanted to see if it was possible to combine multiple LeapMotion sensors in order to achieve increased data accuracy. We looked into this, but we found that this was impossible, due to the proprietary SDK. Several websites pointed out that only the developers of the LeapMotion we able to do this.

We contacted the LeapMotion team ourselves, but unfortunately they will not continue development on the LeapMotion. New and more interesting projects keep the team occupied, and the leapMotion itself is approaching obsolescence.

5 Architecture Diagrams

In this diagram, the *Can't Touch This* platform displayed globally. It shows three main objects:

- The user
- The LeapMotion device
- The Can't Touch This platform



Figuur 1: Context Diagram

The user attaches the LeapMotion device to the computer, installs the platform with its required dependencies and moves its hand above the sensor to conduct an experiment. The LeapMotion device shown at the top of figure 1 above acts similar to a webcam, and captures visual data which is sent to the computer the device is attached to. The LeapMotion SDK (daemon) running on this machine uses this visual data to detect hands a user is hovering above the sensor.

5.1 LeapMotion SDK

This LeapMotion SDK consists of various components, as listed below:

- **Daemon:** A piece of software running on the host computer, to manage and talk to physical LeapMotion sensors connected to the computer.
- **Library:** The software library that can be used to talk to the daemon through your own software which supports various programming languages, to obtain sensor data. The daemon must of course be running in order for it to work.
- **Control panel:** A tool included to manage LeapMotion sensors, the state of the daemon and other things.

- Visualizer: An application showing a 3D perspective of the detected hands, useful for visual sensor feedback.


Along with the named components above, documentation and some examples are included as well.

5.2 Rust & Wrapper

We've decided to write our platform in the Rust programming language. There is no native LeapMotion library available for use with this language. Therefore we were required to use and develop a custom wrapper to translate parts of the provided library to something Rust supports, essentially being a Rust library. Our implementation is based on the open-source `leap-rs` project.

5.3 Sensor Controller

Our software, the respective platform, talks to the LeapMotion daemon being part of the LeapMotion SDK to obtain data from the sensor. This is done in the `SensorController` shown in the figure above. Note that the figure clearly visualizes that all communication with the LeapMotion SDK is done through the `leap-rs` wrapper. This is also the start of what we call the `pipeline` within our platform. This pipeline is a virtual concept for how data flows through our program, from raw sensor data to gesture detection. Figure 2 (functional diagram) illustrates this in better detail.



Figuur 2: Context Diagram

5.4 Fragment Manager

The `SensorController` controls any connected sensors and attempts to collect sensor data, which it passes on to the `FragmentManager`. This manager organizes and tracks

sensor data. Raw incoming coordinate data is identified and grouped by hand and finger. Over a period of time the manager collects traces for detected fingers, which essentially define the path fingers have moved above the sensor until then. Along with collecting and organizing raw sensor data, the fragment manager processes the data into something we can easily use further down the pipeline. In our case, we resample, scale, filter and map 3D point data into rotational point data.

5.4.1 Resample

The LeapMotion sensor reports hand coordinate updates at varying rates, while the sensor is used. This causes collected 3D point data to have greatly varying distances between points, which makes further using the data quite a challenge. Therefore, the first step of processing the data is resampling 3D points with equal distance along the general curve the user had drawn above the sensor. The distance is small enough to represent the slightest curves and figures a user would intentionally perform, to ensure no important curve data is lost. Any exceptional disproportionate points are filtered from the stream of 3D points.

After resampling, the platform scales the drawn figure to a consistent size for easy comparison later on in the pipeline when attempting to detect gestures.

5.4.2 Rotational trace

Lastly, we map the new list of 3D points into rotational data. To achieve this, we calculate the angle in 2D space between all 3D points. For each of these angles we determine the difference to define a new list of points having a relative rotation and a constant distance to the next point. This rotational data along with the point distance is sufficient for our logic, thus we throw away the 3D coordinate data and are left with a trace of rotational points.

5.4.3 Example

To better illustrate the result data, here we'll go through two examples. Imagine drawing a perfectly straight line, this would map into a list of rotational points all having a relative rotation of 0° (degrees). The number of points would depend on how long the drawn line is, and what the constant distance is between the points when resampling.

When drawing a perfect circle, the sensor data would map into a list of rotational points all having a relative rotation of about 15° . The smaller the radius of the circle, the larger the relative rotation would become. When drawing the circle the other way around, the relative rotation for each point would be negated.

You can probably imagine that this rotational trace data is good enough to define an abstract variant of a figure a user has drawn with his finger, as this data defines the distance and curve a finger has been moved. This is exactly what we're using and are doing comparisons with while attempting to detect gestures, comparing the general figure of a curve being performed by a user.

5.5 Gesture Controller

For each new data point being collected by the `FragmentManager`, the then processed data is passed to the `GestureController`. Here is where the gesture detection magic happens. This controller connects to the `TemplateManager` which holds a database of known gestures that a user has defined.

The controller is constantly comparing incoming data against all defined templates until a match is found for the curve a user is currently performing. Simply said; this is done by walking through all rotational points for the current rotational trace and all rotational traces from all templates from the end (the newest point) to the beginning (the oldest point). If a template trace starts to differentiate too much from the current curve, that option is dropped. If walking through a template trace fully succeeds, a match is found.

Some constants and thresholds are used to determine whether a step is allowed when walking through, these are configurable in the source code of our platform itself. This allows testing of various threshold configurations for determining what produces the most accurate results. When a match is found, the user is notified with the name of the template that was detected.

5.6 Web interface

The platform provides a web interface for control. First of all, this interface provides a real-time visualiser for the processed sensor data. To achieve this, the web backend in our platform talks to various components in the `pipeline` of the application. The visualizer clearly shows the current curve a user has drawn, along with the resample points. This visual feedback should improve the quality of gestures users can perform as users can adapt to what they're seeing is being detected.

Templates are also managed through this interface as stored in the `TemplateManager`, for recording new templates and removing existing ones. When recording a new template, the visualizer shows the current state of the drawn figure until the user stops the recording. Recorded gestures can then be trimmed through the interface to cut off unwanted parts.

File storage is used to store user defined templates on the host machine, to properly remember templates across platform restarts. File storage has been chosen in contrast to a fully featured database, as it makes the platform much easier to set up.

When looking at the live visualizer for the first time, you might see that the visualized figure is drawn in a different orientation than your performed gesture above the sensor. This is a side effect of the data we're using. The shown gestures are based on data after processing in the `FragmentManager`. As noted, this only outputs relative rotation data along with a constant distance. Because the rotation is relative the visualizer has no concept of in what real-world orientation the gesture is performed. The visualizer always starts drawing the processed trace into the same direction (from the center of the visualizer, toward the left of the screen). This does not affect the actual detection at all. And in our opinion it isn't important enough to include the rotation relative to the world just to better visualize the performed gesture.

6 Test Report

6.1 Code Quality

In order to keep quality of the codebase in this project high, we've done the following things:

We've clearly defined a `pipeline`, and modularized the project this way. Logic is localized to the corresponding module, and modules interconnect to pass data along as described in figure 2. First of all, this makes the complete project much easier to understand as it's usually immediately visible in what part of the program something happens. Secondly, this makes working together on the project much better as developers can focus on the module they're working on without interfering with someone else's changes.

We use code linting to enforce a consistent code style across the whole project. This is done using `rustfmt`, which is an additional tool that can be installed for Rust to format your whole project. This way developers work with a consistent code base.

Some unit tests have been implemented to ensure the most important parts of the project are working properly. Think of testing the logic to map a list of 3D points into a trace of rotations, and a test to confirm resampling works properly. The unit tests itself are quite limited due to time constraints, this is explained in more details later on.

Our code base is hosted on GitLab, on which we've enabled Continuous Integration support. For each commit we push to the repository a server is automatically spun up to build and test (unit tests) the project to verify it is working properly. Along with testing, the Continuous Integration environment verifies that the code is properly formatted following the `rustfmt` guidelines, and if it isn't the *build* fails.

Along with this, the repository has been configured to block direct pushes to the `master` branch. Therefore a development branch must be created for each feature, after which a merge request can be created to merge new changes into the `master` branch, only if all tests through Continuous Integration succeed.

6.2 Existing Tests

The platform currently has a few unit tests that cover basic operations, such as the conversion of a `Point` to a `PointTrace`, and more. We also have set up a few tests to cover the comparison of traces, such as straight lines and curves. Below you can see the a unit test for a straight line code:

```
1 #[test]
2 fn straight() {
3     let points = PointTrace::new(vec![
4         Point3::new(0.0, 0.0, 0.0),
5         Point3::new(1.0, 1.0, 0.0),
6         Point3::new(5.0, 5.0, 0.0),
7     ]);
8
9     let expected = RotTrace::new(vec![RotPoint::new(0f64, 2f64.sqrt())]);
10
11     assert_eq!(points.to_rot_trace(false), expected);
12 }
```

Listing 1: Straight line unit test

This unit test creates `points`, a trace of `Point3`'s, and compares it to `expected`, a `RotTrace`.

The `PointTrace` on line 3 contains three points that travel the same distance at every step. The platform recognizes this as a straight line, as there is no change in the trajectory. The variable `expected` then gets assigned a `RotTrace` that contains only one `RotPoint` of 0 degrees. This is correct, as the line drawn on line 3 is straight.

```
1 #[test]
2 fn corner() {
3     let points = PointTrace::new(vec![
4         Point3::new(0.0, 0.0, 0.0),
5         Point3::new(0.0, 5.0, 0.0),
6         Point3::new(5.0, 5.0, 0.0),
7         Point3::new(5.0, 0.0, 0.0),
8         Point3::new(0.0, 0.0, 0.0),
9     ]);
10
11     let expected = RotTrace::new(vec![RotPoint::from_degrees(-90.0, 5.0); 3]);
12
13     assert_eq!(points.to_rot_trace(false), expected);
14     assert_eq!(
15         points.to_last_rot_point(),
16         Some(RotPoint::from_degrees(-90.0, 5.0))
17     );
18 }
```

Listing 2: Corner unit test

The `corner` test is a little more complicated than the `straight` unit test. It creates a `PointTrace` with points that represent a 2D square. This motion first moves to a point, 5 units onto the `y` axis. After moving on this axis, it moves 5 units on the `x` axis. It then concludes the motion by moving back 5 units on the `y` and `x` axis, respectively.

The `RotTrace` on line 11 contains three `RotPoint`'s of -90 degrees. This is because a `RotPoint` calculates itself based on three `Point`'s. In the case of this unit test, it takes three collections of three `Points`: 1-3, 2-4 and 3-5. For each collection, it calculates 2 angles: 1-2 and 2-3. It then compares the difference between these angles, and that will be the `RotPoint` for those three points. This will produce three `RotPoint`'s of -90 degrees.

Note that the project only includes unit tests for a few essential and easily testable parts. Due to time constraints we didn't have time left to implement more and more comprehensive tests.

6.3 Known Bugs

- At the moment of writing, there seems to be an issue in the latest few Rust nightly builds which might cause the *Can't Touch This* platform to crash when running in `--release` mode.
- On macOS, the LeapMotion device may never give data to begin with
- On macOS, the LeapMotion device may stop recording data randomly
- On macOS, the application may not run well when minimalizing the backend application