Analysis

Tina Lasisi

March 25, 2023

Preparing Data


First, we import the data and label the variables.

Data preview

```
# Preview data
head(df_wetdry) %>%
   kbl(booktabs = T) %>%
   kable_styling(latex_options = c("striped", "scale_down"))
```


wind	wig	wet_dry	$heat_loss$	$skin_temp$	resistance	clo	amb_temp	amb_rh	radiation	trial
0.3	Nude	wet	90.87576	34.01121	0.0000000	0.0000003	34.01091	45.81515	on	1
0.3	Nude	wet	86.74872	34.01205	-0.0012118	-0.0078183	34.11821	45.77179	on	2
1.0	Nude	wet	227.27600	34.02600	0.0001190	0.0007674	33.99840	46.25600	on	1
2.5	Nude	wet	276.44615	34.02462	-0.0008197	-0.0052881	34.25051	48.20513	on	1
2.5	Nude	wet	272.29630	34.01741	-0.0008039	-0.0051862	34.23296	48.12963	on	2
0.3	Straight	wet	30.00323	34.00065	-0.0042217	-0.0272367	34.12710	45.43548	on	1

Plots



Removing Outlier

It was noticed that the 2nd trial conducted with wet, tightly curled hair, 2.5 m/s wind speed, and radiation on, had more heat loss than any of the trials with radiation off. With the understanding that radiation should always decrease heat loss, we elected to remove that data point.



Regression models

Radiation off

Here, we model the effect of the wig variable on the off (heat loss without radiation) variable while correcting for wind.

Without radiation, having hair will reduce the heat loss.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = off ~ wind + wig, data = df_dry_off)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                                3Q
## -8.0303 -3.9809 0.1861 2.6542 14.6310
##
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                           46.156
                                       2.250
                                              20.52 < 2e-16 ***
                          11.270
                                       1.009
                                              11.17 2.13e-12 ***
## wind
## wigStraight
                          -40.341
                                       2.618 -15.41 4.46e-16 ***
## wigModerately\nCurled -40.747
                                       2.618 -15.56 3.38e-16 ***
## wigTightly\nCurled
                          -38.362
                                       2.618 -14.65 1.76e-15 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 5.555 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9384, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9305
## F-statistic: 118.1 on 4 and 31 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Radiation on

With radiation, there is a net increase in heat (i.e. heat gain) without any hair. Additionally, we observe that heat gain decreases with increasingly curled hair.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = on ~ wind + wig, data = df_dry_on)
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                     Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -13.6776 -4.8542 -0.0306
                                       19.1058
                               3.3559
##
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                        -129.327
                                      2.835 -45.61 < 2e-16 ***
## wind
                          17.406
                                      1.271
                                              13.69 1.1e-14 ***
## wigStraight
                          69.844
                                      3.300
                                              21.16 < 2e-16 ***
## wigModerately\nCurled
                                              27.74 < 2e-16 ***
                         91.558
                                      3.300
## wigTightly\nCurled
                         113.668
                                      3.300
                                              34.44 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
```

```
##
## Residual standard error: 7.001 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.98, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9775
## F-statistic: 380.4 on 4 and 31 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

Solar influx

Here, we model the effect of the wig variable on influx while correcting for wind.

In the dry heat loss experiments, we see that all hair (regardless of curliness) decreases the solar influx. Additionally, the curlier the hair, the lower the solar influx.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = influx ~ wind + wig, data = df_dry)
## Residuals:
##
     Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
                                  Max
## -8.079 -3.816 1.087
                        2.763
                                9.105
##
## Coefficients:
##
                         Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                          175.4829
                                       2.0133 87.161 < 2e-16 ***
## wind
                                       0.9028 -6.798
                                                      1.3e-07 ***
                           -6.1369
## wigStraight
                         -110.1848
                                       2.3434 -47.019
                                                       < 2e-16 ***
                                       2.3434 -56.459
## wigModerately\nCurled -132.3051
                                                       < 2e-16 ***
## wigTightly\nCurled
                         -152.0302
                                       2.3434 -64.876
                                                      < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
\#\# Residual standard error: 4.971 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9939, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9932
## F-statistic: 1272 on 4 and 31 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Summary of dry heat loss regression models




Figure 1: Regression coefficients across regression models.

	Radiation Off	Radiation On	Solar Influx	
(Intercept)	46.16 ***	-129.33 ***	175.48 ***	
	[41.57, 50.74]	[-135.11, -123.54]	[171.38, 179.59]	
wind	11.27 ***	17.41 ***	-6.14 ***	
	$[9.21,\ 13.33]$	[14.81, 20.00]	[-7.98, -4.30]	
wigStraight	-40.34 ***	69.84 ***	-110.18 ***	
	[-45.68, -35.00]	[63.11, 76.58]	[-114.96, -105.41]	
${\bf wigModeratelyCurled}$	-40.75 ***	91.56 ***	-132.31 ***	
	[-46.09, -35.41]	[84.83, 98.29]	[-137.08, -127.53]	
${\it wigTightlyCurled}$	-38.36 ***	113.67 ***	-152.03 ***	
	[-43.70, -33.02]	[106.94, 120.40]	[-156.81, -147.25]	
N	36	36	36	
R2	0.94	0.98	0.99	

^{***} p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

Dry heat loss ANOVA plots

Evaporative resistance (wet experiments)

Here, we repeat the same modelling process for the evaporative resistance data from the wet experiments.

Radiation off

Here, we model the effect of the wig variable on the off (heat loss without radiation) variable while correcting for wind.

Without solar radiation, all hair (regardless of texture) decreases evaporative resistance.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = off ~ wind + wig, data = df_wet_off)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
## -32.382 -6.006
                    2.673
                            5.870 40.839
##
## Coefficients:
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                         171.043
                                      9.132
                                              18.73 8.71e-13 ***
## wind
                          42.585
                                      3.929
                                             10.84 4.69e-09 ***
## wigStraight
                        -116.024
                                     10.179 -11.40 2.20e-09 ***
```




Figure 2: ANOVA of dry heat loss

Radiation on

With radiation, hair decreases evaporative resistance.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = on ~ wind + wig, data = df_wet_on)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q
                   Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
  -47.426 -11.303
                             6.822 54.290
                     4.423
##
##
## Coefficients:
                         Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                          117.541
                                       12.726
                                               9.236 4.90e-08 ***
                                       5.475 10.127 1.29e-08 ***
## wind
                           55.445
## wigStraight
                         -106.632
                                       14.186
                                              -7.517 8.44e-07 ***
## wigModerately\nCurled -113.898
                                      14.186 -8.029 3.48e-07 ***
```

```
## wigTightly\nCurled -123.891    14.905 -8.312 2.16e-07 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 23.42 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9255, Adjusted R-squared: 0.908
## F-statistic: 52.8 on 4 and 17 DF, p-value: 2.297e-09
```

Solar influx

Combining the above data to calculate solar influx, we see that there is not a considerable effect of radiation on evaporative resistance.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = influx ~ wind + wig, data = df_wet)
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                           Max
## -13.4512 -4.2205 -0.7951
                               3.9758
                                      15.0438
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                          53.502
                                      4.585 11.669 1.54e-09 ***
## wind
                         -12.860
                                      1.973 -6.520 5.24e-06 ***
## wigStraight
                          -9.392
                                      5.111
                                            -1.838 0.08368 .
                                      5.111 -2.988 0.00826 **
## wigModerately\nCurled -15.272
## wigTightly\nCurled
                         -10.518
                                      5.370 -1.959 0.06676 .
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 8.439 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.7493, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6903
## F-statistic: 12.7 on 4 and 17 DF, p-value: 5.753e-05
```

Summary of evaporative heat loss regression models

Evaporative heat ANOVA plots

Calculating Thermal Resistance

$$I_t = \frac{T_{Skin} - T_{Air}}{H_{Dry}}$$




Figure 3: Regression coefficients across regression models.





Figure 4: ANOVA of evaporative heat loss

	Radiation Off	Radiation On	Solar Influx	
(Intercept)	171.04 ***	117.54 ***	53.50 ***	
	[151.78, 190.31]	[90.69, 144.39]	[43.83, 63.18]	
wind	42.58 ***	55.44 ***	-12.86 ***	
	[34.30, 50.87]	[43.89, 67.00]	[-17.02, -8.70]	
wigStraight	-116.02 ***	-106.63 ***	-9.39	
	[-137.50, -94.55]	[-136.56, -76.70]	[-20.17, 1.39]	
${\bf wigModeratelyCurled}$	-129.17 ***	-113.90 ***	-15.27 **	
	[-150.65, -107.69]	[-143.83, -83.97]	[-26.06, -4.49]	
${\bf wigTightlyCurled}$	-134.41 ***	-123.89 ***	-10.52	
	[-156.97, -111.84]	[-155.34, -92.45]	[-21.85, 0.81]	
N	22	22	22	
R2	0.96	0.93	0.75	

^{***} p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.





Calculating Net Solar Influx

$$I_{Dry} = H_{Dry} - H_{Dry}^{Solar}$$

$$I_{Evap} = H_{Evap} - H_{Evap}^{Solar}$$

```
# Average all trials with the same characteristics
df_averaged_trials <- df_wetdry %>%
    group_by(wig, wind, radiation, wet_dry) %>%
    drop_na(heat_loss) %>%
    summarise(heat_loss = mean(heat_loss))
\# Pivot the dataframe to incldue radiation on and off
# as part of same event
df_radiation_split <- df_averaged_trials %>%
    pivot_wider(names_from = c(radiation), values_from = c(heat_loss)) %>%
    rename(heat_loss_off = off) %>%
    rename(heat_loss_on = on)
# Calculate the net influx
df_net_influx_plots <- df_radiation_split %>%
    group_by(wig, wind) %>%
    summarise(wet_dry = wet_dry, net_influx = heat_loss_off -
       heat_loss_on)
df_net_influx <- df_net_influx_plots %>%
    spread(wet_dry, net_influx)
```





Adjusting Heat Losses to 30 Degrees Celsius

Dry Heat Loss

$$H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C} = \frac{35-30}{I_t}$$

```
# Their calculation
df_wetdry["heat_30"] = (35 - 30)/df_wetdry["dry_heat_resistance"]


# What I would expect df_wetdry['heat_30'] =
# (df_wetdry['skin_temp'] - 30) /
# df_wetdry['dry_heat_resistance']


# Recreate the radiation split dataframe to include
# heat_30
df_averaged_trials <- df_wetdry %>%
    group_by(wig, wind, radiation, wet_dry) %>%
    drop_na(heat_loss) %>%
    summarise(heat_loss = mean(heat_loss), heat_30 = mean(heat_30))

df_radiation_split <- df_averaged_trials %>%
    pivot_wider(names_from = c(radiation), values_from = c(heat_loss, heat_30))
```

Dry and Wet Heat Losses With Solar Radiation

$$\begin{split} H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C,\,Solar} &= H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C} - I_{Dry} \\ H_{Wet}^{30^{\circ}C,\,Solar} &= H_{Evap+Dry}^{30^{\circ}C} = H_{Evap} + I_{Dry} + H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C,\,Solar} \end{split}$$





Calculating Evaporative Potential

$$H_{Max}^{30^{\circ}C,\,Solar} = H_{Wet}^{30^{\circ}C,\,Solar} - H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C,\,Solar}$$

Calculating Sweat Requirements

$$Sweat_{Max} = \frac{H_{Max}^{30^{\circ}C, Solar} * 3600}{2430}$$

$$IF~H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C,~Solar}<0,~Sweat_{Zero}=-\frac{H_{Dry}^{30^{\circ}C,~Solar}*3600}{2430}ELSE,~Sweat_{Zero}=0$$

