(https://profile.intra.42.fr)

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defences during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

SCALE FOR PROJECT CPP MODULE 01 (/PROJECTS/CPP-MODULE-01)

You should evaluate 1 student in this team

Git repository

?

Introduction

- Only grade the work that is in the student or group's GiT repository.
- Double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that the work is for the relevant project and also check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases were used to fool you and make you evaluate something other than the content of the official repository.
- To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the evaluating and the evaluated students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading.
- If the evaluating student has not completed that particular project yet, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence.
- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In these cases, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However, with the exception of cheating, you are encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not finished it) in order to identify any issues that may have caused this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future.

other unexpected, premature, uncontrolled or unexpected termination of the program, else the final grade is 0. Use the appropriate flag. You should never have to edit any file except the configuration file if it exists. If you want to edit a file, take the time to explicit the reasons with the evaluated student and make sure both of you are okay with this.

- You must also verify the absence of memory leaks. Any memory allocated on the heap must be properly freed before the end of execution. You are allowed to use any of the different tools available on the computer, such as leaks, valgrind, or e_fence. In case of memory leaks, tick the appropriate flag.

Disclaimer

Please respect the following rules:

- Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the evaluation process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the person (or the group) evaluated the eventual dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified.
- You must consider that there might be some difference in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

Guidelines

You must compile with clang++, with -Wall -Wextra -Werror
As a reminder, this project is in C++98 and C++20 members functions or containers are NOT expected.

Any of these means you must not grade the exercise in question:

- A function is implemented in a header (except in a template)
- A Makefile compiles without flags and/or with something other than clang++

Any of these means that you must flag the project as Forbidden Function:

- Use of a "C" function (*alloc, *printf, free)
- Use of a function not allowed in the subject
- Use of "using namespace" or "friend"
- Use of an external library, or C++20 features

Attachments

☑ subject.pdf (https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/25839/en.subject.pdf)

EXUU The goal of this exercise is to understand how to allocate memory in CPP.					
Makefile and main					
There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is a main to test the exercise.					
2 Yes	☑ No				
Zombie Class					
There is a Zombie Class.					
It has a name attribute.					
It has at least a default constructor.					
It has a member function announce(void) that prints: "					
BraiiiiiiinnnzzzZ" The destructor prints a message that includes the name of the zombie.					
	2 No				
newZombie					
There is a newZombie function prototyped as: [Zombie* newZombie(std::string name);] It should allocate a Zombie on the heap and return it.					
Ideally it should call the constructor that takes a string and initializes the name.	was recorded to the forestion				
The exercise should be marked as correct if the Zombie can announce itself with the na	ime passed to the function.				
The main contains tests to prove so. The zombie is deleted correctly before the end of the program.					
The zomble is deleted correctly before the end of the program.					
	No No				
randomChump					
There is a randomChump function prototyped as: [void randomChump(std::string name);] It should create a Zombie on the stack, and make it announce itself. Ideally the zombie should be allocated on the stack (so implicitly deleted at the end of the function). It can also be allocated on the heap and then explicitly deleted. The student					
must justify his choice.					
The main contains tests to prove so.					
	2 No				

exut The goal of this exercise is to allocate a number of objects at the same time using new[], initialize them, and to properly delete them. Makefile and main There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is a main to test the exercise. ? Yes ② No zombieHorde The is a zombieHorde function prototyped as: [Zombie* zombieHorde(int N, std::string name);] It allocates N zombies on the heap explicitly using new[]. After the allocation, there is an initialization of the objects to set their name. It returns a pointer to the first zombie. There are enough tests in the main to prove the previous points. Ex: calling announce() on all the zombies. Last, all the zombies should be deleted at the same time in the main. ? Yes 2 No ex02 Demystify references! Makefile and main There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is a main to test the exercise. ? Yes 2 No ex04 There is a string containing "HI THIS IS BRAIN". stringPTR points to the string. stringREF takes a reference to the string. The address of the string is displayed using the string variable, the stringPTR and the stringREF. The string is displayed using the stringPTR and the stringREF.

? No

? Yes

The objective of this exercise if to understand that pointers and references present some small differences that make them be more appropriated depending on the use and the lifecycle of the object we are going to use. Makefile and main There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is a main to test the exercise. 2 No Weapon There is a Weapon class that has a type string, a getType and a setType. The getType function returns a const reference to the type string. ? Yes No No ■ No HumanA and HumanB HumanA can have a reference or a pointer to the Weapon. Ideally it should be implemented as a reference, since the Weapon exists from creation until destruction, and never changes. HumanB must have a pointer to a Weapon because the field is not set at creation time, and the weapon can be NULL. ? Yes No No ■ No ex04 Doing this exercise you should have gotten familiar with ifstream and ofstream. Makefile and main There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is a main to test the exercise. ? Yes No No ■ No ex04 There is a function replace that works as specify in the subject.

The error management is good: try to pass a file that does not

If you can find an error that isn't handled, and isn't completely

exist, change the permissions, pass it empty, etc.

esoteric, no points for this exercise.

exus

equivalent, and write using an ofstream or equivalent.
The implementation of the function should be done using functions from std::string, no by reading the string character by character. This is not C anymore!

2 Yes 2 No

ex05

The goal of this exercise is to make you use pointers to class member functions. Also, we consider it a great moment to introduce you to the different log levels.

Makefile and main

There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags.

There is a main to test the exercise.

? Yes ? No

Our beloved Karen

There is a class Karen with at least the 5 functions required in the subject.

The function complain() executes the other functions using a pointer to them. Ideally, the student should have implemented a way of matching the different strings corresponding to the log level to the pointers of the corresponding member function.

If the implementation is different but the exercise works you should mark it as valid.

The only thing that is not allowed is to have a if/elseif/else.

The student could have chosen to change the message Karen displays or to display the examples given in the subject, both are valid.

? Yes

ex06

Now that you are grown up coders, you should start to use new instruction types, statements, loops, etc. The goal of this last exercise is to make you discover the SWITCH.

Makefile and main

There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags.

There is a main to test the exercise.

2 Yes 2 No

☑ Empty work	기 Incomplete work	W Invalid compilation	☑ Cheat	d Crash	Forbidden function		
Conclusi Leave a comment o							
Finish evaluation							

☑ Ok

terms & conditions (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal)

Switching Karen Off

The program karenFilter takes as argument any of the log levels: "DEBUG", "INFO", "WARNING" and "ERROR". It should then display just the messages that are at the same level or above (debug < info < warning < error). This must be implemented using a SWITCH statement. Once again, no if/elseif/else anymore please. The switch should have a default case.

② Yes

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense