CSCI-GA 2590: Natural Language Processing

Representing and Classifying Text

Name NYU ID

Collaborators:

By turning in this assignment, I agree by the honor code of the College of Arts and Science at New York University and declare that all of this is my own work.

Welcome to your first assignment! The goal of this assignment is to get familiar with basic text classification models through both mathematical analysis and hands-on feature engineering. Before you get started, please read the Submission section thoroughly.

Submission

Submission is done on Gradescope.

Written: When submitting the written parts, make sure to select all the pages that contain part of your answer for that problem, or else you will not get credit. You can either directly type your solution between the shaded environments in the released .tex file, or write your solution using pen or stylus. A .pdf file must be submitted.

Programming: Questions marked with "coding" next to the assigned to the points require a coding part in submission.py. Submit submission.py and we will run an autograder on Gradescope. You can use functions in util.py. However, please do not import additional libraries (e.g. numpy, sklearn) that aren't mentioned in the assignment, otherwise the grader may crash and no credit will be given. You can run test.py to test your code but you don't need to submit it.

Problem 1: Naive Bayes classifier

In this problem, we will study the *decision boundary* of multinomial Naive Bayes model for binary text classification. The decision boundary is often specificed as the level set of a function: $\{x \in \mathcal{X} : h(x) = 0\}$, where x for which h(x) > 0 is in the positive class and x for which h(x) < 0 is in the negative class.

1. [2 points] Give an expression of h(x) for the Naive Bayes model $p_{\theta}(y \mid x)$, where θ denotes the parameters of the model.

2. [3 points] Recall that for multinomial Naive Bayes, we have the input $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ where n is the number of words in an example. In general, n changes with each example but we can ignore that for now. We assume that $X_i \mid Y = y \sim \text{Categorical}(\theta_{w_1,y}, \ldots \theta_{w_m,y})$ where $Y \in \{0,1\}$, $w_i \in \mathcal{V}$, and $m = |\mathcal{V}|$ is the vocabulary size. Further, $Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta_1)$. Show that the multinomial Naive Bayes model has a linear decision boundary, i.e. show that h(x) can be written in the form $w \cdot x + b = 0$. [RECALL: The categorical distribution is a multinomial distribution with one trial. Its PMF is

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)=\prod_{i=1}^m\theta_i^{x_i}\;,$$

where
$$x_i = \mathbb{1}[x = i]$$
, $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i = 1$.

- 3. [2 points] In the above model, X_i represents a single word, i.e. it's a unigram model. Think of an example in text classification where the Naive Bayes assumption might be violated. How would you allieviate the problem?
- 4. [2 points] Since the decision boundary is linear, the Naive Bayes model works well if the data is linearly separable. Discuss ways to make text data more separable and its influence on model generalization.

Problem 2: Skip-gram model

In this problem, we will gain some insights into the skip-gram model. In particular, we will show that it encourages the inner product between two word embeddings to be equal to their pointwise mutual information (PMI) (up to a constant).

Recall that to evaluate the objective function of the skip-gram model we need to enumerate over the entire vocabulary \mathcal{V} , which can be quite expensive in practice. Note that the reason we need to enumrate the vocabulary is to obtain a probability distribution of neighboring words.

Instead of modeling the distribution of words, we can model the distribution of an indicator: whether two words are neighbors. Let Y be the indicator random variable. We model it by a Bernoulli distribution

$$p_{\theta}(y=1 \mid w, c) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-u_c \cdot v_w}}$$

where $c \in \mathcal{V}$ is within a window centered at $w \in \mathcal{V}$, u_c, v_w represent embeddings of c and w, and θ is the model parameter, i.e. word embedding v's and context embedding u's.

What about the negative observations (y = 0)? A naive way is to consider all words that do not occur in the neighborhood of w, however, this is as expensive as our original objective. One solution is **negative** sampling, where we only consider a small number of non-neighboring words.

Let $D = \{(w, c)\}$ be the set of all word-neighbor pairs observed in the training set. For each pair (w, c), we generate k negative neighbors c_N by sampling from a distribution $p_N(\cdot)$ over the vocabulary.

1. [3 points] Let L be the learning objective that maximizes the log-likelihood of D and the expected log-likelihood of the negative examples. Note that C_N is the random variable in the expectation. Show that

$$L = \max_{\theta} \sum_{(w,c)\in D} \log \frac{1}{1 + e^{-u_c \cdot v_w}} + k \sum_{(w,\cdot)\in D} \sum_{c_N \in \mathcal{V}} p_N(c_N) \log \frac{1}{1 + e^{u_{c_N} \cdot v_w}}$$
(1)

2. [2 points] Let

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} \ .$$

Show that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}\log\sigma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1+e^{\alpha}} .$$

3. [3 points] Let's consider one pair (w=b,c=a). Let $\alpha=u_a\cdot v_b$. Show that the optimal solution of α is

$$\alpha^* = \log \frac{A}{B} \; ,$$

where

$$A = \sum_{(w,c)\in D} \mathbb{1}[w = b, c = a]$$
 (2)

$$B = k \sum_{(b,\cdot) \in D} p_N(a) . \tag{3}$$

[HINT: Let $\ell(\theta)$ be the objective. Solve for α in $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha} = 0$, carefully considering what the partial derivative of objective w.r.t. α will be. You can use results from previous questions.]

4. [2 points] Suppose the distribution of negative words $p_N(w)$ for $w \in \mathcal{V}$ is a categorical distribution given by

$$p_N(w) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w,\cdot,D)}{|D|},$$

where count $(w,\cdot,D)=\sum_{c\in\mathcal{V}}\operatorname{count}(w,c,D)$. Note that $p_N(w)$ is simply the fraction of unigram w in D. Show that

$$\alpha^* = \text{PMI}(b, a) - \log k$$
,

where the PMI score of word co-occurrence in D is defined as

$$\mathrm{PMI}(b,a) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \log \frac{\mathrm{count}(b,a,D)|D|}{\mathrm{count}(b,\cdot,D)\mathrm{count}(a,\cdot,D)} \;.$$

[HINT: Think about how to express A and B using count.]

5. [2 points] Let's denote the unigram model defined above by $p_{\text{unigram}}(w)$. In practice, we often prefer to use $p_N(w) \propto p_{\text{unigram}}^{\beta}(w)$ where $\beta \in [0, +\infty]$. Describe the desired range of β and explain why.

Problem 3: Natural language inference

In this problem, you will build a logistic regression model for textual entailment. Given a *premise* sentence, and a *hypothesis* sentence, we would like to predict whether the hypothesis is *entailed* by the premise, i.e. if the premise is true, then the hypothesis must be true. Example:

label	premise	hypothesis
entailment	The kids are playing in the park	The kids are playing
non-entailment	The kids are playing in the park	The kids are happy

1. [1 point] Given a dataset $D = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^n$ where $y \in \{0, 1\}$, let ϕ be the feature extractor and w be the weight vector. Write the maximum log-likelihood objective as a minimization problem. [HINT: Start with $\min_w - \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y^{(i)} \mid x^{(i)}; w)$]

2. [3 point, coding] We first need to decide the features to represent x. Implement extract_unigram_features which returns a BoW feature vector for the premise and the hypothesis.

3. [2 point] Let $\ell(w)$ be the objective you obtained above. Compute the gradient of $\ell_i(w)$ given a single example $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$. Note that $\ell(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(w)$. You can use $f_w(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-w \cdot \phi(x)}}$ to simplify the expression.

4.	[5 points, coding] Use the gradient you derived above to implement learn_predictor. You must obtain
	an error rate less than 0.3 on the training set and less than 0.4 on the test set to get full credit using
	the unigram feature extractor.

5.	[3 points	s] Discuss	what	are the	potential	problems	with	the	${\rm unigram}$	${\rm feature}$	${\rm extractor}$	and	describe
	your des	feature e	extractor.										

6. [3 points, coding] Implement your feature extractor in extract_custom_features. You must get a lower error rate on the dev set than what you got using the unigram feature extractor to get full credits.

7. [3 points] When you run the tests in test.py, it will output a file error_analysis.txt. (You may want to take a look at the error_analysis function in util.py). Select five examples misclassified by the classifier using custom features. For each example, briefly state your intuition for why the classifier got it wrong, and what information about the example will be needed to get it right.

8. [3 points] Change extract_unigram_features such that it only extracts features from the hypothesis. How does it affect the accuracy? Is this what you expected? If yes, explain why. If not, give some hypothesis for why this is happening. Don't forget to change the function back before your submit. You do not need to submit your code for this part.