

Note For Noether's Theorem

Ting-Kai Hsu

December 28, 2023

Contents

1	Noether Theorem in Classical Mechanics	1
1.1	Lagrangian Formalism	1
1.2	More Friendly Way	3

1 Noether Theorem in Classical Mechanics

1.1 Lagrangian Formalism

In Lagrangian formalism, we've defined the action by lagrangian,

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L[x(t), \dot{x}(t)] dt \quad (1.1)$$

If action follows the *least action principle*, we would have the lagrangian be associated with the correct *equation of motion* of the system given by eq(1.1).

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

Noether's theorem states that if given system has continuous symmetry, then there would be a conserved quantity associated with this symmetry.

What is continuous symmetry? One that leaves the action invariant even when the dynamical equations (equations of motion) are *not* satisfied, we call it **infinitesimal symmetry transformation**. We denote the infinitesimal symmetry transformation as δ_s to separate it from the variation δ .

We're interested in the case when the action doesn't change under a symmetry, and this implies there is special property behind the dynamics of the system. Consider the general infinitesimal transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} t &\rightarrow t' = t + \epsilon \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} + \dots \\ x(t) &\rightarrow x'(t') = \mathcal{F}(x(t)) = x(t) + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Where ϵ is infinitesimal parameter.

Define the variation corresponding to the symmetry, and it should be expressed as infinitesimal transformation at the same point (or time, or generally coordinates).

$$\delta_S x = x'(t) - x(t) \quad (1.4)$$

Let's find out how would the new action look,

$$S' = \int_{t_2}^{t_1} dt L \left[x'(t), \frac{d}{dt} x'(t) \right] \quad (1.5)$$

Note that we don't change the time in integral, this is because time t is a "dummy variable" that can be changed at will in the integral *without affecting action*. Importantly, symmetries will always be deformations of the fields (position), not the coordinates (time)¹. So we can change the integral parameter in eq(1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= \int_{t'_1}^{t'_2} dt' L \left[x'(t'), \frac{dx'(t')}{dt'} \right] \\ &= \int_{t'_1}^{t'_2} dt \left(1 + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\epsilon \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) \right) L \left[x(t) + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} \epsilon, \frac{dt}{dt'} \frac{dx'(t')}{dt} \right] \\ &= \int_{t'_1}^{t'_2} dt \left(1 + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\epsilon \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) \right) L \left[x(t) + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} \epsilon, \left(1 - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\epsilon \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) \right) \frac{d \left(x(t) + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} \epsilon \right)}{dt} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Then we expand the lagrangian in first order of ϵ ,

$$\int dt \left(1 + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\epsilon \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) \right) \left(L + \epsilon \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\epsilon \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} \right) - \dot{x} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\epsilon \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) \right) \right)$$

¹Please don't mix the transformation of parameters of the integral variable with true symmetries.

$$\begin{aligned}
= S + \int dt \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} - \dot{x} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) + L \frac{d}{dt} \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right) \\
+ \int dt \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\epsilon} - \dot{x} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} + L \frac{dt}{d\epsilon} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

If the infinitesimal transformation is a symmetry, we would have the

1.2 More Friendly Way

Infinitesimal Transformation:

On-shell Variation: