## Introductory Econometrics Tutorial 9 (suggested answers)

## <u>Part B:</u> This part will be covered in the tutorial. It is still a good idea to attempt these questions before the tutorial.

1. The general model is:

$$profits_i = \beta_0 + \delta_0 mno_i + \beta_1 assets_i + \delta_1 (mno_i \times assets_i) + u_i$$
 (1)

(a)

 $H_0$ :  $\delta_0 = \delta_1 = 0$ 

 $H_1$ : at least one of  $\delta_0$  or  $\delta_1$  is not zero

(b)

$$\widehat{profits} = 1.56 + 8.23 \, mno + 0.05 \, assets - 0.05 \, mno * assets$$

$$n = 69, \quad R^2 = 0.52$$

i. Breusch-Pagan test when the alternative hypothesis is  $Var\left(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i\right) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 mno_i + \alpha_2 assets_i$ 

 $H_0$ :  $Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i) = \sigma^2$  for all i

 $H_1$ :  $Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 mno_i + \alpha_2 assets_i$ 

Estimated auxiliary regression:

 $\hat{u}^2 = 12.84 + 0.81 assets - 157.47 mno$ 

 $n = 69, R_{\hat{u}}^2 = 0.183$ 

 $BP = nR_{\hat{u}}^2 \sim \chi_2^2$  under  $H_0$ ,  $BP_{crit} = 5.99$  at the 5% l.o.s.

 $BP_{calc} = 69 \times 0.183 = 12.63$  (may not be exactly the same as EViews due to rounding)

 $BP_{calc} > BP_{crit} \Rightarrow \text{We reject the null and conclude that errors are HTSK}$ 

Notation sometimes bugs students. For example, here under the null I have denoted the variance by  $\sigma^2$ , but under the alternative, if  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are zero, the variance will be the same for all observations, but it is denoted by  $\alpha_0$ . Of course notation is arbitrary, but it can confuse some. If that is the case, you may want to denote the variance under the null  $\alpha_0$ .

ii. White test.

 $H_0$ :  $Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i) = \sigma^2$  for all i

 $H_1$ :  $Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i)$  is a smooth function of  $mno_i$  and  $assets_i$ 

Estimated auxiliary regression:

 $\hat{u}^2 = -470.11 + 536.17mno + 3.33assets - 0.001assets^2$ 

 $-3.29mno*assets+0.001mno*assets^2$ 

 $n = 69, R_{\hat{u}}^2 = 0.372$ 

 $W = nR_{\hat{n}}^2 \sim \chi_5^2$  under  $H_0$ ,  $W_{crit} = 11.07$  at the 5% l.o.s.

 $W_{calc} = 69 \times 0.372 = 25.67$  (may not be exactly the same as EViews due to rounding)

 $W_{calc} > W_{crit} \Rightarrow \text{We reject the null and conclude that errors are HTSK}$ 

Note that  $mno^2$  is the same as mno, so we do not include it twice (otherwise we get exact multicollinearity).

iii. The special form of the White test that uses the predicted value of *profits* and its square as predictors of variance.

$$H_0$$
:  $Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i) = \sigma^2$  for all  $i$ 

$$H_1$$
:  $Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i)$  is a smooth function of  $mno_i$  and  $assets_i$ 

Note that the null and the alternative is the same as what they were for the regular White test. Estimated auxiliary regression:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{u}^2 &=& -529.70 + 65.45 \widehat{profits} - 0.43 \widehat{profits}^2 \\ \widehat{profits} &=& profits - \hat{u} \text{ is an easy way to compute } \widehat{profits} \\ n &=& 69, \quad R_{\hat{u}}^2 = 0.369 \\ W &=& nR_{\hat{u}}^2 \sim \chi_2^2 \quad \text{under } H_0, \ W_{crit} = 5.99 \text{ at the } 5\% \text{ l.o.s.} \\ W_{calc} &=& 69 \times 0.369 = 25.46 \\ W_{calc} &>& W_{crit} \Rightarrow \text{We reject the null and conclude that errors are HTSK} \end{array}$$

- (c) No. profits can be negative, so logarithmic transformation is not an option.
- (d) It is good to go over why these weighting cures HTSK at least for one of them.

i. 
$$Var(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i) = \sigma^2 \times assets_i \rightarrow w_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{assets_i}}$$

ii. 
$$Var\left(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i\right) = \sigma^2 \times assets_i^2 \rightarrow w_i = \frac{1}{assets_i}$$

iii. 
$$Var\left(u_i \mid mno_i, assets_i\right) = \sigma^2 \log(assets_i) \rightarrow w_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log(assets_i)}}$$