Question 3

(a)
$$\beta_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})(y_{i} - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n$ from quantion
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})y_{i} - (x_{i} - \overline{x})\overline{y}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})\overline{y}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})y_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{x}\bar{y} - x_i\bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{Z}_{i=1}^{2}(x_{i}-\bar{x})y_{i} + \hat{Z}_{i}\bar{x}\bar{y} - \bar{y}\hat{Z}_{i=1}^{2}x_{i}}{\hat{Z}_{i}^{2}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i + n \bar{x} \bar{y} - \bar{y} n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})y_{i} + n\overline{x}\overline{y} - \overline{y}n\overline{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

(6) (i)
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1} \mid X_{1}, ..., X_{N}\right]$$

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1} \mid X\right]$, $X = (x_{1}, ..., x_{N})$

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot y_{2} \mid X\right]$, $i = 1, ..., n$ from (4)

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot y_{2} \mid X\right]$ from (4)

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \cdot x_{2} + u_{2}) \mid X\right]$ from (4)

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{0} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot x_{2} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot u_{2}\right] \mid X\right]$

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{0} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot x_{2} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot u_{2}\right] \mid X\right]$

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{0} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot x_{2} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot u_{2}\right] \mid X\right]$

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{\hat{\beta}_{2}} \mid (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{0} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot x_{2} + (x_{2} - \bar{x}) \cdot u_{2}\right] \mid X\right]$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) + \beta_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) \chi_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) u_i | \chi \right]$$

Now,
$$\frac{\pi}{2i}(x_2-\overline{x}) = \frac{\pi}{2i}x_i - \frac{\pi}{2i}\overline{x}$$

$$= n\frac{\pi}{2i}\frac{x_i}{n} - n\overline{x}$$

30,
$$E[\beta_{1}|x_{1},...,x_{n}] = E[\frac{\beta_{1}\hat{z}_{1}(x_{1}-\bar{x})x_{2}+\hat{z}_{1}(x_{2}-\bar{x})u_{1}|x}{\hat{z}_{1}(x_{2}-\bar{x})^{2}}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\beta, \frac{\frac{\pi}{|z|}(x_{1}-\bar{x})x_{1}}{\frac{2}{\zeta_{1}^{2}}(x_{2}-\bar{x})x_{1}} + \frac{\frac{\pi}{|z|}(x_{1}-\bar{x})u_{1}}{\frac{2}{\zeta_{1}^{2}}(x_{1}-\bar{x})u_{1}} \mid X\right]$$

$$\mathbb{N}_{\text{AM}} \quad \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}(x_{1}-\bar{x})x_{1}}{\frac{2}{\zeta_{1}^{2}}(x_{1}-\bar{x})x_{1}} = \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}(x_{1}-\bar{x})x_{1}}{\frac{\pi}{2}(x_{1}-\bar{x})x_{1}} - \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2}{\zeta_{1}^{2}}(x_{1}-\bar{x})^{2}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\pi}{2}(x_{1}-\bar{x})^{2}}{\frac{2}{\zeta_{1}^{2}}(x_{1}-\bar{x})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}(x_{1}-\bar{x})^{2}$$

$$=$$

from zero conditional mean asscription.

(ii)
$$V_{as}\left[\beta \mid x_{1},...,x_{n}\right] = V_{arr}\left[\beta \mid X\right]$$
, $X = (x_{1},...,x_{n})$

$$= V_{as}\left[\beta \mid x_{1} + \frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})u_{1}}{\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})^{2}} \mid X\right] from port(b)x_{2}$$

$$= V_{ar}\left[\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})u_{1}}{\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})^{2}} \mid X\right] \text{ as } \beta_{1}, \text{ if a constand}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})\right)^{2} V_{ar}\left[u_{1} \mid X\right] \text{ as only } u_{1} \text{ if a r.v.}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})\right)^{2} V_{ar}\left[u_{1} \mid X\right] \text{ as only } u_{1} \text{ if a r.v.}$$

$$= V_{ar}\left[\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{1} + u_{1} \mid X\right] \text{ from } (4)$$

$$= V_{ar}\left[u_{1} \mid X\right] \text{ as only } u_{1} \text{ if a r.v.}$$

$$= \delta^{2} \text{ from } (8)$$

$$50, V_{ar}\left[\beta \mid x_{1}, ..., x_{n}\right] = \left(\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})^{2}\right)^{2} \delta^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}-\overline{x})^{2} \delta^{2}$$

(iii)
$$V_{ar}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[V_{ar}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1} \mid x_{1}, ..., x_{n}\right]\right] + V_{ar}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1} \mid x_{1}, ..., x_{n}\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}\cdot\overline{x})^{2}}\sigma^{2}\right] + V_{ar}\left[\beta_{1}\right]$$

$$= m_{1}\left[\frac{1}{\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}\cdot\overline{x})^{2}}\right] \quad \text{an } \beta_{1} \text{ is a constant}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}\cdot\overline{x})^{2}\right]} \quad \text{an } \sigma^{2} \text{ is a constant}$$

$$Now, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{2}{2!}(x_{1}\cdot\overline{x})^{2}\right] = \frac{n_{1}}{n_{-1}}\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{2}{2!}(x_{2}\cdot\overline{x})^{2}\right]$$

$$= (n_{-1})\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_{-1}}\frac{2}{2!}(x_{2}\cdot\overline{x})^{2}\right]$$

$$= (n_{-1})\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$50, \quad \text{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1}\right] = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{(n_{-1})\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{2}\right]}$$

(c) From part (b), we are aware of two things. [[\beta, \cdot \chi, \c and $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \left[x_1, \dots, x_n \right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2 \left(x_i - \overline{x} \right)^2} \right]$ Now, the asumstances that provide the best apportunity to precisely estimate β , is when β , is BLUE (best linear urbial estimator). This occurse when we have our population model is linear in the parmenter and is correctly specified, data or (x,,..., xn) represente a random sample from the populations described by the model, there is variation in x, we have zero conditional mean $F[u_i|x_i,...,x_n]=0$ and homoskedaxicity Var [ui/x1,...,xn] = 02. There arrangetions emply, according to the Ganes-Markor theorem, that is, is BLUE. Hence, we know that $\frac{\sigma^2}{2(x_i-x_i)^2}$ is the lowest variouse of any luian estimator of β_i , i.e. we can precisely