

## $\left\lfloor \frac{4^k}{n} \right\rfloor$ Barrett reduction algorithm

If we want to compute many instances of  $ab \bmod n$  for a fixed modulus  $n$  (where  $0 \leq ab < n^2$ ), we can avoid the slowness of long division and instead perform these modular reductions using the Barrett reduction algorithm. For the given  $n$  we precompute a factor using division; thereafter the computations of  $ab \bmod n$  only involve multiplications, subtractions, and shifts (all of which are faster operations than division).

### Algorithm

Precomputation:

1. Assume the modulus  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $n \geq 3$  and  $n$  is not a power of 2.  
(This is for the sake of the proof below, and because modulo-power-of-2 is trivial.)
2. Choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^k > n$ . (The smallest choice is  $k = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ .)
3. Calculate  $r = \left\lfloor \frac{4^k}{n} \right\rfloor$ . (This is the precomputed factor.)

Reduction:

1. We are given  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $0 \leq x < n^2$ , as the number that needs to be reduced modulo  $n$ .
2. Calculate  $t = x - \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n$ .
3. If  $t < n$  then return  $t$ , else return  $t - n$ . This answer is equal to  $x \bmod n$ .

### Proof of correctness

1. Since  $n$  is not a power of 2, we know  $\frac{4^k}{n}$  is not an integer.

$$\text{Thus } \frac{4^k}{n} - 1 < r < \frac{4^k}{n}.$$

2. Multiply by  $x$  ( $x \geq 0$ ):  $x \left( \frac{4^k}{n} - 1 \right) \leq xr \leq x \frac{4^k}{n}$ .

3. Divide by  $4^k$ :  $\frac{x}{n} - \frac{x}{4^k} \leq \frac{xr}{4^k} \leq \frac{x}{n}$ .

4. Because  $x < n^2 < 4^k$ , we know  $\frac{x}{4^k} < 1$ .

$$\text{Therefore: } \frac{x}{n} - 1 < \frac{xr}{4^k} \leq \frac{x}{n}.$$

5. One floor:  $\frac{x}{n} - 2 < \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} - 1 \right\rfloor$ .

$$\text{Another floor: } \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} - 1 \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor.$$

$$\text{Put together: } \frac{x}{n} - 2 < \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} - 1 \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{x}{n}.$$

6. Multiply by  $n$  ( $n > 0$ ):  $x - 2n < \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n \leq x$ .
7. Negate:  $-x \leq -\left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n < 2n - x$ .
8. Add  $x$ :  $0 \leq x - \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n < 2n$ .
9. Clearly  $x \equiv x - \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n \pmod{n}$ , because  $\left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n$  is a multiple of  $n$ .
10. The algorithm up to this point correctly reduces  $x$  from the range  $[0, n^2)$  to  $t$  in the range  $[0, 2n)$  without changing its congruence modulo  $n$ . The fix-up in the final step is simple, to get the desired answer in the range  $[0, n)$ .

## Bit width analysis

This is helpful for fixed-size bigint implementations and to predict the running time.

1. Assume the modulus is exactly  $m$  bits long, i.e.  $2^{m-1} < n < 2^m$ .
2. Often we want to set  $k = m$ , but let's allow the general case of  $k \geq m$ .
3. Then  $4^k = 2^{2k}$  is  $2k + 1$  bits long.
4. Reciprocal:  $2^{-m} < \frac{1}{n} < 2^{1-m}$ .
5. Multiply by  $4^k$ :  $2^{2k-m} < \frac{4^k}{n} < 2^{2k-m+1}$ .  
Therefore  $r$  is  $2k - m + 1$  bits long. (If  $k = m$ , then  $r$  is  $k + 1$  bits.)
6. We know  $0 \leq x < n^2$  fits in  $2m$  bits, thus  $xr$  fits in  $2k + m + 1$  bits.
7. But in fact,  $xr < x \frac{4^k}{n} < 4^k n$ , where  $4^k n$  is known to be exactly  $2k + m$  bits.  
Therefore  $xr$  fits in  $2k + m$  bits. (If  $k = m$ , then  $xr$  fits in  $3k$  bits.)
8. The rest is straightforward:  $\left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor$  fits in  $m$  bits,  $\left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n$  fits in  $2m$  bits and is in the range  $[0, n^2)$ , and  $t$  fits in  $m + 1$  bits.

Note: For extra optimization, the product  $\left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n$  only needs  $m + 1$  low-order bits to be computed. This is because the next computed value  $t = x - \left\lfloor \frac{xr}{4^k} \right\rfloor n$  fits in  $m + 1$  bits, so there is no need to examine the upper  $m - 1$  bits of the product at all.

## Comparison with Montgomery reduction

The Barrett algorithm and [Montgomery reduction algorithm](#) can both speed up modular reductions.

Similarities:

- They both require precomputing various constants for a given modulus  $n$ .