




Lecture 2 - Pairwise Sequence Alignment




Brief Refresher on the “Central Dogma”




Structure of a Eukaryotic Gene



One Gene, Many Transcripts, Many Proteins



Transcription




DNA coding strand (aka Crick strand, strand +1)

5' ATGGCCATTGTAATGGGCCGCTGAAAGGGTGCCCCGATAG
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
3' TAACCCCTAACATTACCCCCCCCACTTTCCCCACCCCCCTATC

3' TACCGGTAACATTACCCGGCGACTTCCCCACGGGCTATC
DNA template strand (aka Watson strand, strand -1)

transcription

5' AUGGCCAUUGUAAUGGGCCGCUAAAAGGGUGCCCCGAUAG
Single stranded messenger RNA



The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 1959

Severo Ochoa, Arthur Kornberg

The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 1959




choa



Arthur Kornberg

The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 1959 was awarded jointly to Severo Ochoa and Arthur Kornberg *"for their discovery of the mechanisms in the biological synthesis of ribonucleic acid and deoxyribonucleic acid"*

Translation



The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2009

Venkatraman Ramakrishnan, Thomas A. Steitz, Ada E. Yonath

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2009



Photo: U. Montan

Venkatraman
Ramakrishnan



Photo: U. Montan

Thomas A. Steitz




Photo: U. Montan

Ada E. Yonath

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2009 was awarded jointly to Venkatraman Ramakrishnan, Thomas A. Steitz and Ada E. Yonath "for studies of the structure and function of the ribosome".

Translation



[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Translation_\(biology\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Translation_(biology))

The Genetic Code

		Standard genetic code											
1st base		2nd base			3rd base								
	T	C	A	G									
T	TTT TTC TTA TTG	(Phe/F) Phenylalanine Leucine	TCT TCC TCA TCG	(Ser/S) Serine (Pro/P) Proline	TAT TAC TAA TAG	(Tyr/Y) Tyrosine (His/H) Histidine (Gln/Q) Glutamine	TGT TGC TGA TGG	(Cys/C) Cysteine (Trp/W) Tryptophan	T C A G	Stop (Ochre) Stop (Amber)	Stop (Opal)		
	CTT CTC CTA CTG		CCT CCC CCA CCG		CAT CAC CAA CAG		CGT CGC CGA CGG		T C A G				
A	ATT ATC ATA ATG ^[A]	(Ile/I) Isoleucine	ACT ACC ACA ACG	(Thr/T) Threonine	AAT AAC AAA AAG	(Asn/N) Asparagine (Lys/K) Lysine	AGT AGC AGA AGG	(Ser/S) Serine (Arg/R) Arginine	T C A G				
	GTT GTC GTA GTG	(Val/V) Valine	GCT GCC GCA GCG	(Ala/A) Alanine	GAT GAC GAA GAG	(Asp/D) Aspartic acid (Glu/E) Glutamic acid	GGT GGC GGA GGG	(Gly/G) Glycine	T C A G				

How To Read mRNA Sequences

Start: AUG

Stop: UAA, UAG, UGA

Reading frame #1

5'-**AGUCUUACCGCAUUGUGG-3'**

Ser--Leu--Thr--Ala--Leu- Trp

Reading frame #2

5'-**AGUCUUACCGCAUUGUGG-3'**

Val--Leu--Pro--His--Cys

Reading frame #3

5'-**AGUCUUACCGCAUUGUGG-3'**

Ser--Tyr--Arg--Ile--Val

Learning Objectives

- Define **homology** as well as **orthologs** and **paralogs**
- Explain how PAM (accepted point mutation) matrices are derived
- Contrast the utility of **PAM** and **BLOSUM** scoring matrices
- Define dynamic programming and explain how:
Global (**Needleman–Wunsch**) &
Local (**Smith–Waterman**)
pairwise alignments are performed
- Perform pairwise alignment of protein or DNA sequences

Pairwise Sequence Alignment Is Fundamental

- Determine whether two proteins (or genes) are related structurally or functionally
- Studying domain or motif similarities between proteins
- Genome Analysis
- The basis of many search strategies and algorithms including BLAST

Comparing Protein vs DNA Sequences

Protein

- protein is more informative (20 vs 4 characters); amino acids share related biophysical properties
- codons are degenerate: changes in the third position often do not alter the amino acid specified
- protein sequences offer a longer “look-back” time

DNA

- to study noncoding regions of DNA introns or intergenic regions
- to study DNA polymorphisms
- genome sequencing relies on DNA analysis

		Standard genetic code											
		2nd base								3rd base			
		T	C	A	G	T	C	A	G	T	C	A	G
T	TTT	(Phe/F) Phenylalanine	TCT	(Ser/S) Serine	TAT	(Tyr/Y) Tyrosine	TGT	(Cys/C) Cysteine	T	C	A	G	
	TTC		TCC		TAC		TGC		T				
	TTA		TCA		TAA	Stop (Ochre)	TGA	Stop (Opal)	C	(Arg/R) Arginine	A	G	
	TTG		TCG		TAG	Stop (Amber)	TGG	(Trp/W) Tryptophan	A				
C	CTT	(Leu/L) Leucine	CCT	(Pro/P) Proline	CAT	(His/H) Histidine	CGT	(Gln/Q) Glutamine	T	C	A	G	
	CTC		CCC		CAC		CGC		T				
	CTA		CCA		CAA		CGA		C				
	CTG		CCG		CAG		CGG		A				
A	ATT	(Ile/I) Isoleucine	ACT	(Thr/T) Threonine	AAT	(Asn/N) Asparagine	AGT	(Ser/S) Serine	T	C	A	G	
	ATC		ACC		AAC		AGC		T				
	ATA		ACA		AAA		AGA		C				
	ATG ^[A]		ACG		AAG		AGG		A	(Arg/R) Arginine	G	G	
G	GTT	(Val/V) Valine	GCT	(Ala/A) Alanine	GAT	(Asp/D) Aspartic acid	GGT	(Gly/G) Glycine	T	C	A	G	
	GTC		GCC		GAC		GGC		C				
	GTA		GCA		GAA		GGG		A				
	GTG		GCG		GAG		GGG		G				

Pairwise Alignment

“The process of lining up two sequences to achieve maximal levels of identity (and conservation, in the case of amino acid sequences) for the purpose of assessing the degree of similarity and the possibility of homology”

Identity, Similarity & Conservation

Homology

Similarity attributed to descent from a common ancestor.

Identity

The extent to which two (nucleotide or amino acid) sequences are invariant.

Similarity


The extent to which nucleotide or protein sequences are related. It is based upon identity plus conservation.

Conservation


Changes at a specific position of an amino acid or (less commonly, DNA) sequence that preserve the physico-chemical properties of the original residue.

Globin Homologs


(a) Human myoglobin (3RGK)




(b) Human hemoglobin tetramer (2H35)



(c) Human beta globin (subunit of 2H35)



(d) Pairwise alignment of beta globin and myoglobin




Types of Homology

Orthologs

Homologous sequences in different species that arose from a common ancestral gene during speciation; may or may not be responsible for a similar function.

Globins in different species




Types of Homology


Paralogs

Homologous sequences within a single species that arose by gene duplication.

Globins in humans



Orthologs and Paralogs Together



General Approach to Pairwise Alignment


- Choose two sequences
- Select an algorithm that generates a score
- Allow gaps (insertions, deletions)
- Score reflects degree of similarity
- Alignments can be global or local
- Estimate probability that the alignment occurred by chance

Human Beta-Globin & Myoglobin

```
#=====
#  
# Aligned_sequences: 2  
# 1: NP_000509.1  
# 2: NP_005359.1  
# Matrix: EBLOSUM62  
# Gap_penalty: 10.0  
# Extend_penalty: 0.5  
#  
# Length: 155  
# Identity: 37/155 (23.9%)  
# Similarity: 58/155 (37.4%)  
# Gaps: 9/155 ( 5.8%)  
# Score: 110.5  
#  
#=====
```

NP_000509.1	1 MVHLTPEEKSAVTALWGKVNVD--EVGGEALGRLLVVYPWTQRFFESFGD	48
	:: :...: : :.. ..	
NP_005359.1	1 -MGLSDGEWQLVLNVWGKVEADIPGHGQEVLIRLFKGHPETLEKFDKFKH	49
NP_000509.1	49 LSTPDAVMGNPKVKAHGKKVLGAFSDGLAHDNLKGTFATLSELHCDKLH	98
	...: .:...:..::..:.. ...:..	
NP_005359.1	50 LKSEDEMKAEDLKKHGATVLTALGGILKKKGHEAEIKPLAQSHATKHK	99
NP_000509.1	99 VDPENFRLLGNVLVCVLAHHFGKEFTPVQAAQKVVAGVANALAHKYH-	147
	:...:..:..:..: ...:..: :..:.. .. .	
NP_005359.1	100 IPVKYLEFISECIIQVLQSKHPGDFGADAQGMNKALELFRKDMASNYKE	149
NP_000509.1	148 ----- 147	
NP_005359.1	150 LGFQG 154	
	#-----	
	#-----	

Amino Acids Share Properties




Genetic Code

		Standard genetic code								
		2nd base								3rd base
1st base		T	C	A	G					
T	TTT	(Phe/F) Phenylalanine	TCT	(Ser/S) Serine	TAT	(Tyr/Y) Tyrosine	TGT	(Cys/C) Cysteine	T	
	TTC		TCC		TAC		TGC		C	
	TTA		TCA		TAA	Stop (Ochre)	TGA	Stop (Opal)	A	
	TTG		TCG		TAG	Stop (Amber)	TGG	(Trp/W) Tryptophan	G	
C	CTT	(Leu/L) Leucine	CCT	(Pro/P) Proline	CAT	(His/H) Histidine	CGT	(Arg/R) Arginine	T	
	CTC		CCC		CAC		CGC		C	
	CTA		CCA		CAA	(Gln/Q) Glutamine	CGA		A	
	CTG		CCG		CAG		CGG		G	
	ATT		ACT		AAT	(Asn/N) Asparagine	AGT	(Ser/S) Serine	T	
A	ATC	(Ile/I) Isoleucine	ACC	(Thr/T) Threonine	AAC		AGC		C	
	ATA		ACA		AAA	(Lys/K) Lysine	AGA	(Arg/R) Arginine	A	
	ATG ^[A]		(Met/M) Methionine		AAG		AGG		G	
	GTT		GCT		GAT	(Asp/D) Aspartic acid	GGT	(Gly/G) Glycine	T	
G	GTC		GCC	(Ala/A) Alanine	GAC		GGC		C	
	GTA		GCA		GAA	(Glu/E) Glutamic acid	GGA		A	
	GTG		GCG		GAG		GGG		G	

Gaps in Alignments

- Positions at which a letter is paired with a null are called gaps
- Gap scores are typically negative
- Since a single mutational event may cause the insertion or deletion of more than one residue, the presence of a gap is ascribed more significance than the length of the gap. Thus there are separate penalties for **gap creation** and **gap extension**

Pairwise Alignment & Evolution



- When two proteins (or DNA sequences) are homologous they share a common ancestor
- When we align globins from human and a plant we can imagine their common ancestor, a single celled organism that lived 1.5 billion years ago, and we can infer that ancient globin sequence
- Through pairwise alignment we can look back in time at sequence evolution

Accepted Point Mutations (PAMs) in Protein Families

PROTEIN	PAMS PER 100 MILLION YEARS
Immunoglobulin (Ig) kappa chain C region	37
Kappa casein	33
Epidermal growth factor	26
Serum albumin	19
Hemoglobin alpha chain	12
Myoglobin	8.9
Nerve growth factor	8.5
Trypsin	5.9
Insulin	4.4
Cytochrome c	2.2
Glutamate dehydrogenase	0.9
Histone H3	0.14
Histone H4	0.10

Margaret Dayhoff and colleagues developed scoring matrices in the 1960s and 1970s. They defined PAMs as “accepted point mutations.” Some protein families evolve very slowly (e.g. histones change little over 100 million years); others (such as kappa casein) change very rapidly.

PAMs Are Defined Relative to a Common Ancestor



Dayhoff et al. evaluated amino acid changes. They applied an evolutionary model to compare changes between for example @1 and @2 and an inferred common ancestor @5

Amino Acid Frequency

- If 20 amino acids occurred in nature at equal frequencies, each would be observed 5% of the time
- Some are more common (G, A, L, K) and some rare (C, Y, M, W).

Gly	8.9%	Arg	4.1%
Ala	8.7%	Asn	4.0%
Leu	8.5%	Phe	4.0%
Lys	8.1%	Gln	3.8%
Ser	7.0%	Ile	3.7%
Val	6.5%	His	3.4%
Thr	5.8%	Cys	3.3%
Pro	5.1%	Tyr	3.0%
Glu	5.0%	Met	1.5%
Asp	4.7%	Trp	1.0%

- blue=6 codons; red=1 codon in the genetic code

Amino Acid Substitutions

	A Ala	R Arg	N Asn	D Asp	C Cys	Q Gln	E Glu	G Gly	H His	I Ile	L Leu	K Lys	M Met	F Phe	P Pro	S Ser	T Thr	W Trp	Y Tyr	V Val
A																				
R	30																			
N	109	17																		
D	154	0	532																	
C	33	10	0	0																
Q	93	120	50	76	0															
E	266	0	94	831	0	422														
G	579	10	156	162	10	30	112													
H	21	103	226	43	10	243	23	10												
I	66	30	36	13	17	8	35	0	3											
L	95	17	37	0	Y	75	15	17	40	253										
K	57	477	322	85	0	147	104	60	23	43	39									
M	29	17	0	0	0	20	7	7	0	57	207	90								
F	20	7	7	0	0	0	0	17	20	90	167	0	17							
P	345	67	27	10	10	93	40	49	50	7	43	43	4	7						
S	772	137	432	98	117	47	86	450	26	20	32	168	20	40	269					
T	590	20	169	57	10	37	31	50	14	129	52	200	28	10	73	696				
W	0	27	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	13	0	0	10	0	17	0			
Y	20	3	36	0	30	0	10	0	40	13	23	10	0	260	0	22	23	6		
V	365	20	13	17	33	27	37	97	30	661	303	17	77	10	50	43	186	0	17	
	A Ala	R Arg	N Asn	D Asp	C Cys	Q Gln	E Glu	G Gly	H His	I Ile	L Leu	K Lys	M Met	F Phe	P Pro	S Ser	T Thr	W Trp	Y Tyr	V Val

Dayhoff survey of 1572 observed substitutions
(original amino acid in columns and changes in rows)

Substitution Matrix

- A substitution matrix contains values proportional to the probability that amino acid i mutates into amino acid j for all pairs of amino acids
- Substitution matrices are constructed by assembling a large and diverse sample of verified pairwise alignments (or multiple sequence alignments) of amino acids
- Substitution matrices should reflect the true probabilities of mutations occurring through a period of evolution
- The two major types of substitution matrices are **PAM** and **BLOSUM**.

PAM Matrices

- PAM matrices are based on global alignments of closely related proteins
- The PAM1 matrix is calculated from comparisons of sequences with no more than 1% divergence. At an evolutionary interval of PAM1, one change has occurred over a length of 100 amino acids
- Other PAM matrices are extrapolated from PAM1. For PAM250, 250 changes have occurred for two proteins over a length of 100 amino acids
- All the PAM data come from closely related proteins (>85% amino acid identity)

Mutation Probability Matrix for the Evolutionary Distance of 1 PAM

		Original amino acid																			
		A Ala	R Arg	N Asn	D Asp	C Cys	Q Gln	E Glu	G Gly	H His	I Ile	L Leu	K Lys	M Met	F Phe	P Pro	S Ser	T Thr	W Trp	Y Tyr	V Val
Replacement amino acid		98.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
A	98.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
R	0.0	99.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
N	0.0	0.0	98.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
D	0.1	0.0	0.4	98.6	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Q	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	98.8	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
E	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.4	98.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
G	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	99.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
H	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	99.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	98.7	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
L	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	99.5	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
K	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	98.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
F	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	99.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
P	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	98.4	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0
T	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	98.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
W	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Y	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.5	0.0	0.0
V	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	99.0	0.0	0.0

- This mutation probability matrix includes original amino acids (columns) and replacements (rows)
- The diagonals show that at a distance of 1 PAM most residues remain the same about 99% of the time
- Note how cysteine (C) and tryptophan (W) undergo few substitutions, and asparagine (N) many

PAM250 and Other PAM Matrices

<u>NP_002037.2</u>	164	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGALQNII	207
<u>XP_001162057.1</u>	164	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGALQNII	207
<u>NP_001003142.1</u>	162	IHDHFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKMWRDGRGAAQNII	205
<u>XP_893121.1</u>	168	IHDNFGIMEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	211
<u>XP_576394.1</u>	162	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	205
<u>NP_058704.1</u>	162	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	205
<u>XP_001070653.1</u>	162	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	205
<u>XP_001062726.1</u>	162	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	205
<u>NP_989636.1</u>	162	IHDNFGIVEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	205
<u>NP_525091.1</u>	161	INDNFEIVEGLMTTVHATTATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	204
<u>XP_318655.2</u>	161	INDNFGILEGLMTTVHATTATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAAQNII	204
<u>NP_508535.1</u>	170	INDNFGIIIEGLMTTVHAVTATQKTVDGP SGKLWRDGRGAGQNII	213
<u>NP_595236.1</u>	164	INDTFGIEEGLMTTVHATTATQKTVDGP SKKDWRGGRGASANII	207
<u>NP_011708.1</u>	162	INDAFGIEEGLMTTVHSLTATQKTVDGP SHKDWRGGRTASGNII	205
<u>XP_456022.1</u>	161	INDEFGIDEALMTTVHSITATQKTVDGP SHKDWRGGRTASGNII	204
<u>NP_001060897.1</u>	166	IHDNFGIIIEGLMTTVHAITATQKTVDGP SSKDWRGGRAASFNII	209

Consider a multiple alignment of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate protein sequences. Some substitutions are observed in columns (arrowheads). These give us insight into changes tolerated by natural selection.

PAM250 and Other PAM Matrices

mouse	AIPNPSFLAMPTNENQDNTAIPTIDPITPIVST--PVPTM-----ESIVNTVANPEAST
rabbit	S--HPFFMAILPNKMQDKAVTPTTNTIAAVEPT--PIPTT-----EPVVSTEVIAEASP
sheep	PHPHLSFMAIPPKKDQDKTEIPAINTIASAEPTVHSTPTT-----EAVVNAVDNPEASS
cattle	PHPHLSFMAIPPKKNQDKTEIPTINTIASGEPT--STPTT-----EAVESTVATLEDSP
pig	PRPHASFIAIPPKKNQDKTAIPAINSIAATVEPT--IVPATEPIVNAEPIVNAVVTPEASS
human	PNLHPSFIAIPPKKIQDKIIIPPTINTIAATVEPT--PAPAT-----EPTVDSVVTPEAFS
horse	PCPHPSFIAIPPKKLQEITVIPKINTIAATVEPT--PIPTP-----EPTVNNAVIPDASS
.	. : *;*: .; *: * : ; . * . * : * ; . * . : .

- Now consider the alignment of distantly related kappa caseins
- There are few conserved column positions, and some columns (double arrowheads) have five different residues among the 7 proteins
- We want to design a scoring system that is tolerant of distantly related proteins, if the scoring system is too strict the divergent sequences may be penalised so heavily that authentic homologs are not identified or aligned.

PAM Extremes

		original amino acid							
		A	R	N	D	C	Q	E	G
replacement amino acid	PAM0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
	N	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
	D	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
	C	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
	E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
replacement amino acid	PAM ∞	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
	A	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
	R	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
	N	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
	D	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
	C	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Q	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	G	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9

NB - remember amino acid frequencies!

PAM250 for Proteins That Share ~20% Identity

		Original amino acid																			
		A	R	N	D	C	Q	E	G	H	I	L	K	M	F	P	S	T	W	Y	V
Replacement amino acid	A	13	6	9	9	5	8	9	12	6	8	6	7	7	4	11	11	11	2	4	9
	R	3	17	4	3	2	5	3	2	6	3	2	9	4	1	4	4	3	7	2	2
	N	4	4	6	7	2	5	6	4	6	3	2	5	3	2	4	5	4	2	3	3
	D	5	4	8	11	1	7	10	5	6	3	2	5	3	1	4	5	5	1	2	3
	C	2	1	1	1	52	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	4	2
	Q	3	5	5	6	1	10	7	3	7	2	3	5	3	1	4	3	3	1	2	3
	E	5	4	7	11	1	9	12	5	6	3	2	5	3	1	4	5	5	1	2	3
	G	12	5	10	10	4	7	9	27	5	5	4	6	5	3	8	11	9	2	3	7
	H	2	5	5	4	2	7	4	2	15	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	2
	I	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	10	6	2	6	5	2	3	4	1	3	9
	L	6	4	4	3	2	6	4	3	5	15	34	4	20	13	5	4	6	6	7	13
	K	6	18	10	8	2	10	8	5	8	5	4	24	9	2	6	8	8	4	3	5
	M	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	6	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
	F	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	5	6	1	4	32	1	2	2	4	20	3
	P	7	5	5	4	3	5	4	5	5	3	3	4	3	2	20	6	5	1	2	4
	S	9	6	8	7	7	6	7	9	6	5	4	7	5	3	9	10	9	4	4	6
	T	8	5	6	6	4	5	5	6	4	6	4	6	5	3	6	8	11	2	3	6
	W	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	55	1	0
	Y	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	2	15	1	2	2	3	31	2
	V	7	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	15	10	4	10	5	5	5	7	2	4	17

Mutation Probability Matrix -> Relatedness Odds Matrix

$$R_{ij} = \frac{M_{ij}}{f_i}$$

- A relatedness odds matrix reports the probability that amino acid j will change to i in a homologous sequence.
- The numerator models the observed change. The denominator f_i is the probability of amino acid residue i occurring in the second sequence by chance.
- A positive value indicates a replacement happens more often than expected by chance. A negative value indicates the replacement is not favoured
- We actually use log-odds; easier to use for a scoring system. They allow us to sum the scores of aligned residues (rather than having to multiply them).

Mutation Probability Matrix to a Log-Odds Matrix

The cells in a log odds matrix consist of an “odds ratio”:

the probability that an alignment is authentic
the probability that the alignment was random

The score S for an alignment of residues a,b is given by:

$$S(a,b) = 10 \log_{10} (M_{ab}/p_b)$$

As an example, for tryptophan,

$$S(\text{trp},\text{trp}) = 10 \log_{10} (0.55/0.010) = 17.4$$

$$s_{i,j} = 10 \times \log \left(\frac{q_{i,j}}{p_{i,j}} \right)$$

Meaning of the Values in a Log Odds Matrix

- A score of +2 indicates that the amino acid replacement occurs 1.6 times as frequently as expected by chance
- A score of 0 is neutral
- A score of -10 indicates that the correspondence of two amino acids in an alignment that accurately represents homology (evolutionary descent) is one tenth as frequent as the chance alignment of these amino acids.

Log-Odds Matrix for PAM250

6										
-3	5									
4	0	6								
2	-5	0	9							
-3	-1	-2	-5	6						
-3	0	-2	-3	1	2					
-2	0	-1	-3	0	1	3				
-2	-3	-4	0	-6	-2	-5	17			
-1	-4	-2	7	-5	-3	-3	0	10		
2	-2	2	-1	-1	-1	0	-6	-2	4	
L	K	M	F	P	S	T	W	Y	V	


This is a useful matrix for comparing distantly related proteins

Note alignment of two tryptophan (W) residues earns +17 and a W to T mismatch is -5.

Log-Odds Matrix for PAM10

This is an example of a scoring matrix with “severe” penalties. A match of W to W earns +13, but a mismatch (e.g. W aligned to T) has a score of -19, far lower than in PAM250.

Effect of Scoring Matrix on Scores



Take home message -
PAM10 scores closely
related sequences well and
distantly related ones poorly

Look at score for distantly related proteins (e.g. beta globin versus alpha globin) and note that PAM10 or similar matrices assign very low scores. This effect is not seen for very closely related proteins (e.g. a chimp vs. human globin).


BLOSUM62 Scoring Matrix

BLOSUM62 is the default scoring matrix at the NCBI BLAST site.


BLOSUM Matrices

- BLOSUM matrices are based on local alignments
- All BLOSUM matrices are based on observed alignments; they are not extrapolated from comparisons of closely related proteins
- BLOSUM stands for blocks substitution matrix
- BLOSUM62 is a matrix calculated from comparisons of sequences with at least 62% similarity
- BLOSUM62 is the default matrix in BLAST 2.0

BLOSUM Matrices




Summary of PAM and BLOSUM Matrices



A higher PAM number, and a lower BLOSUM number, tends to correspond to a matrix tuned to more divergent proteins.

Experiment With Randomly Diverging Protein Sequences



Global & Local Sequence Alignment


Global alignment algorithm - Needleman and Wunsch (1970)

Local alignment algorithm - Smith and Waterman (1981)

Global Alignment - Needleman and Wunsch (1970)





- Two sequences can be compared in a matrix along x- and y-axes.
- If they are identical, a path along a diagonal can be drawn
- Find the optimal subpaths, and add them up to achieve the best score
 adding gaps when needed
 allowing for conservative substitutions
 choosing a scoring system (simple or complicated)
- N-W is guaranteed to find optimal alignment(s)

Four Possible Events During Alignment




- identity - stay on diagonal
- mismatch - stay on diagonal
- gap in one sequence - move vertically
- gap in the other sequence - move horizontally

Four Possible Events During Alignment



Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch



- Identify positions of identity (shaded grey)
- NB gap-penalty = -2


Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch

$$\text{Score} = \text{Max} \begin{cases} F(i-1, j-1) + s(x_i, y_j) \\ F(i-1, j) - \text{gap penalty} \\ F(i, j-1) - \text{gap penalty} \end{cases}$$

Score (this example) = +1 (match)
-2 (mismatch)
-2 (gap penalty)


Define an overall score that maximises cumulative scores at each position of the pairwise alignment, allowing for substitutions and gaps in either sequence.

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch




To decide how to align sequences 1 and 2 in the box at lower right, decide what the scores are beginning at upper left (not requiring a gap), or beginning from the left or top (each requiring a gap penalty).

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch




Here the best score involves +1 (proceed from upper left to grey, lower right square). If we instead select an alignment involving a gap the score would be worse (-4).

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch




Proceed to calculate the optimal score for the next position

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch




Continue filling in the matrix

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch




Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch



Highlighted cells indicate the optimal path (best scores), indicating how the two sequences should be aligned

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch





Equivalent representation, showing the traceback procedure:
begin at the bottom right cell and proceed to the top left

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch

Really nice interactive tool:

https://bioboot.github.io/bimm143_W20/class-material/nw/



Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch

- N-W is guaranteed to find optimal alignments, although the algorithm does not search all possible alignments
- It is an example of a dynamic programming algorithm:
an optimal path (alignment) is identified by incrementally extending optimal subpaths
- Thus, a series of decisions is made at each step of the alignment to find the pair of residues with the best score

Global Pairwise Alignment Using Needleman-Wunsch

- Global alignment (Needleman-Wunsch) extends from one end of each sequence to the other
- Local alignment finds optimally matching regions within two sequences (" subsequences")
- Local alignment is almost always used for database searches such as BLAST.
It is useful to find domains (or limited regions of homology) within sequences
- Smith and Waterman (1981) solved the problem of performing optimal local sequence alignment
- Other methods (BLAST, FASTA) are faster but less thorough.

Global Alignment vs Local Alignment

Local Alignment Using Smith-Waterman

Set up a matrix between two proteins (size $m+1, n+1$)

No values in the scoring matrix can be negative! $S \geq 0$

The score in each cell is the maximum of four values:

- $s(i-1, j-1) +$ the new score at $[i,j]$ (a match or mismatch)
- $s(i,j-1) -$ gap penalty
- $s(i-1,j) -$ gap penalty
- zero ← this is not in Needleman-Wunsch

Local Alignment Using Smith-Waterman

		Sequence 1												
		C	A	G	C	C	U	C	G	C	U	U	A	G
A		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
A		0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
A		0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
U		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
G		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
C		0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.3	0.3	1.0	0.3	2.0	0.7	0.3	0.3
C		0.0	1.0	0.7	0.0	1.0	3.0	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.7	0.3	0.0
A		0.0	0.0	2.0	0.7	0.3	1.7	2.7	1.3	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.3	1.3
U		0.0	0.0	0.7	1.7	0.3	1.3	2.7	2.3	1.0	0.7	1.7	2.0	1.0
U		0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.3	1.0	2.3	2.3	2.0	0.7	1.7	2.7	1.7
G		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.3	2.0	1.7	1.3	2.3
A		0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.7	0.7	2.0	3.0	1.7	1.3	2.3
C		0.0	1.0	0.0	0.7	1.0	2.0	0.7	1.7	1.7	3.0	2.7	1.3	1.0
G		0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.3	0.7	1.7	0.3	2.7	1.7	2.7	2.3	1.0
G		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.3	0.3	1.3	1.3	2.3	1.3	2.3	2.0

scoring:

match = +1

mismatch = -0.3

gap = -1.3 ($l=1$)


local

sequence 1 GCC-UCG
sequence 2 **GCCAUUG**

global

sequence 1 CA-GCC-UCGCUUAG
sequence 2 AAU**GCCAUUGACG-G**

Local Alignment Using Smith-Waterman



scoring:

match = +1

mismatch = -0.3

gap = -1.3 ($l=1$)

local

sequence 1 GCC-UCG
sequence 2 GCCAUUG

global

sequence 1 CA-GCC-UCGCUUAG
sequence 2 AAU**G**CCAUUGACG-G


Rapid, Heuristic Versions of Smith-Waterman: FASTA and BLAST

- Smith-Waterman is very rigorous and it is guaranteed to find an optimal alignment
- Smith-Waterman is slow. It requires computer space and time proportional to the product of the two sequences being aligned (or the product of a query against an entire database)
- Gotoh (1982) and Myers and Miller (1988) improved the algorithms so both global and local alignment require less time and space
- FASTA and BLAST provide rapid alternatives to S-W

Next Week

Week 3 - Basic Local Alignment & Search Tool (BLAST)

If you would like to read ahead then please look at the following chapter, if not we will cover this in next week's lecture
This is available from the Bio1 course "Resource List"



E-BOOK **Bioinformatics and functional genomics / Jonathan Pevsner.**

Pevsner, Jonathan, 1961- author., Third edition., Chichester, England, Wiley Blackwell, 2015 - 2015

Note: Read Chapter 4, "Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), and Chapter 5, "Advanced Database Searching"

[Add tags to item](#)

[Complete](#) [Check availability >](#)