Towards homotopy methods in representation theory

Tian An Wong

CUNY Graduate Center/MPIM

21 March 2016

Langlands correspondences: Classical

Basic definitions:

- G a reductive group over F, e.g., GL(n), Sp(2n)
- \hat{G} the complex dual group, e.g., $GL(n,\mathbb{C}), SO(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$
- $W_F \subset \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ the absolute Weil group of F
- ${}^LG = \hat{G} \rtimes W_F$ the *L*-group
- $L_F \rightarrow W_F$ 'the' Langlands group

The arithmetic Langlands correspondence is, roughly,

• F a local field of characteristic 0, there is a finite to 1 map:

$$Irr(G(F)) \longrightarrow \{\psi : L_F \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to {}^LG\}$$

up to \hat{G} -conjugacy, i.e., $\Pi_{\text{unit}}(G(F)) = \bigcup_{\psi} \Pi_{\psi}$.

• F a global field of characteristic 0, we have

$$L^2_{\mathsf{disc}}(\mathsf{G}(\mathsf{F}) \backslash \mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathsf{F}}) = \bigoplus_{\psi \; \mathsf{disc}} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}} \pi^{m(\pi),\psi}$$

where $\Pi_{\psi} = \otimes' \Pi_{\psi_{\nu}}$, the restricted direct product over all places ν .

Langlands correspondences: Covering groups

The theory of automorphic forms has involved the representation theory of *covers* of reductive groups, which are often not algebraic. Examples:

- Weil representation and theta correspondence on metaplectic groups;
- Shimura lifts on covers of general/special linear groups
- Weissman's L-group for Brylinski-Deligne extensions

Theorem (Gan-Savin, 2012)

Let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of F, μ_n and μ the roots of unity in F and μ an embedding of μ in \mathbb{C}^{\times} . Then there is a bijection

$$Irr_{\epsilon}(Mp_{2n}) \leftrightarrow Irr(SO_{2n+1}(F)) \sqcup Irr(SO_{2n+1}(F))$$

Question: How do covering groups fit into the Langlands correspondence?

Langlands correspondences: *n*-dimensional

A nonarchimedean local field is a complete discrete valued field with finite residue field, e.g., \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. Define an *n*-dimensional local field inductively to be one whose residue field is an (n-1)-dimensional local field, e.g., $\mathbb{Q}_p((t)), \mathbb{F}_p((t_1))((t_2))$.

Theorem (Kapranov, 1992)

The Langlands correspondence is a stack on the Waldhausen space associated to the category of (pure) motives.

	objects
F	subsets of <i>F</i>
Vect/F	V/F
2-Vect/F	Vect-modules/ F
:	:
	,

For the n = 2, Parshin's version of Kapranov's proposed correspondence:

 $\{d\text{-dim reps of } \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)\} \leftrightarrow \{\operatorname{Irred. 2-reps of } \operatorname{GL}(2d,F)\}$

Problem: n-categories are not well-developed. (But ∞ -categories are!)

This talk: Motives most naturally live in an $(\infty,1)$ -category. How should the automorphic side reflect this structure?

Stacks for geometers

Why stacks? They (1) solve moduli problems, (2) keep track of nontrivial automorphisms in quotient groups.



Definition: A stack (in groupoids) over a category C is a category F fibred in groupoids such that

- · isomorphisms are a sheaf and
- descent datum is effective

In other words, p^{-1} is a sheaf of groupoids on C. (Really a 2-sheaf.) We call a stack *algebraic* (or Artin) if

- ullet the diagonal F o F imes F is representable, quasi-compact, and separated,
- ullet There is a smooth surjective morphism from a scheme X o F

Example: $C = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, $F = \mathcal{M}_g$ smooth curves of fixed genus $g \geq 2$ is an algebraic stack.

Stacks for representation theorists

Example: Let X be an S-scheme with an action of an algebraic group G, with F points G =: G(F). The *quotient stack* is the contravariant functor

$$[X/\mathbf{G}]: (\mathrm{Sch}/S)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Gpd}$$

associating to an S-scheme Y the category of principle G-bundles over Y with a G-equivariant morphism to X.

Example If $X = \operatorname{Spec}(k) = *$, then $[*/\mathbf{G}]$ is the moduli stack of principle G-bundles over S, called the *classifying stack* $B\mathbf{G}$. It is known that

$$Rep(G) \simeq QC(BG)$$
,

where Rep denotes the category of smooth, finite-dimensional complex representations and QC the category of quasicoherent sheaves.

Theorem (Bernstein, 2014)

Let G_i be the pure inner forms of G over a nonarchimedean local field F. Then

$$Irr(QC(B\mathbf{G}(F)) = \coprod Irr(G_i)$$

where Irr(C) denotes isomorphism classes of simple objects in C.

Stacks for topologists

Definition: Let Δ be the category whose objects are the relations $0 \to 1 \to \cdots \to n$ for $n \ge 0$, and the morphisms are order-preserving set functions. Then a *simplicial set* is a contravariant functor

$$\Delta^{op} \to \mathsf{Sets}$$

and a $simplicial\ presheaf$ over C is a contravariant functor

$$C^{\mathsf{op}} \to \mathsf{sSets}$$
.

i.e., a simplicial object in Pre/C.

Definition: A simplicial presheaf F is a *stack* if for any hypercovering H of any $X \in C$ the natural morphism

$$F(X) \rightarrow \mathsf{holim}_{\Delta} F(H_n)$$

is an equivalence of simplicial sets. Inductively, a stack is 0-algebraic if F is a scheme, and n-algebraic if there is a scheme $X \to F$ with a smooth (n-1) algebraic epimorphism to F (we'll not define this here). Finally, an algebraic stack is a stack that is n-algebraic for some n.

Stacks in Langlands

- Nash stacks as a setting for the relative trace formula, global version of Bernstein (Sakellaridis, 2015)
- Moduli stacks of mod p and p-adic Galois representations (Emerton-Gee, preprint)
- (Derived) stacks in the Geometric Langlands (Gaitsgory, Rozenbylum, Arinkin, ..)

Stacks for us: What

A closed model structure on a category is a specified class of maps (fibrations, cofibrations, weak equivalences) satisfying certain axioms. By Lurie, one may assign an $(\infty,1)$ -category to a given model category.

Our construction is now straightforward: Consider simplicial sheaves of sets on $B\mathbf{G}$, then:

Proposition (W.)

The category sShv(BG) has a closed model structure with the model structure of Joyal, in which

- Cofibrations are the monomorphisms,
- Fibrations are the maps with the appropriate lifting property,
- The weak equivalences are maps which induce weak equivalences on stalks.

Thus there exists an $(\infty, 1)$ -category underlying this model category.

Stacks for us: Why

The Langlands correspondence can be formulated using motives. (Indeed, L_F was inspired by Grothendieck's pure motives.) Morel and Voevodsky developed a homotopy theory of schemes, whose construction goes like this: start with the category of smooth schemes over a field k,

$$\mathsf{Sm}/k o \mathsf{sShv}(\mathsf{Sm}/k) o \mathsf{sShv}(\mathsf{Sm}/k)_{\mathbb{A}^1} := \mathsf{Hot}(k)$$

the final term being localization with respect to projections $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$, we call this the (unstable) motivic homotopy category of schemes.

Theorem (Dugger, 2000)

There is a Quillen equivalence of model categories

$$\mathsf{sShv}(\mathsf{Sm}/k)_{\mathbb{A}^1} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{sPre}(\mathsf{Sm}/k)_{\mathbb{A}^1}$$

where sPre(Sm/k) is the universal model category associated to Sm/k.

In other words, our construction mimics that of Morel and Voevodsky for motives, *before* localizing at \mathbb{A}^1 .

Stacks for us: Questions

- ullet What should reflect \mathbb{A}^1 -localization of the representation theory side?
- Can this construction work for covering groups, i.e., does the following hold:

$$\operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{QC}(B\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(F)) \stackrel{?}{=} \coprod \operatorname{Irr}(\tilde{G}_i)$$

- Bernstein's construction covers pure inner forms of G. What about Kaletha's rigidified/extended pure inner forms, for when G is not quasiplit over F?
- How does this relate to Schneider's equivalence of derived categories over p-adic fields:

$$D(\operatorname{\mathsf{Rep}}(G)) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} D(H(G,I(1)) - \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}})$$

where I(1) is a torsion-free maximal pro-p-lwahori subgroup?

Thank you!