

## **Demonstration**

#### Multi-Variable Linear Regression

#### REVIEW

Fit four multiple-variable linear models, one for each datasubset.

Cmd 11

# **Evaluate a Multi-variable Model using RMSE and MAE**

Finally, we evaulate our models. We do so using the RMSE and MAE metrics.

To use these metrics, we need to

- 1. generate a vector of precictions using estimator.predict()
- 2. pass actual and predicted values to the metric as metric (actual, predicted)
- 3. do this for both the ing and testing data

```
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, mean_absolute_error

y_l_predicted = lr_l.predict(X_1)

print("mse: ", mean_squared_error(y, y_l_predicted))

print("mae: ", mean_absolute_error(y, y_l_predicted))

mse: 2522409.8032970093
mae: 1256.7168470610088

Command took 0.84 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/1/2021, 10:48:33 PM on My Cluster
```

### MSE vs. RMSE

Note that our metrics, mse and mae are on different scales. Let's take the square root of the mse to put them on the same scale.

```
import numpy as np
import numpy as num
```

# **Your Turn**

# **Exercise 1: Generate Predictions**

Perform the train-test split on the remaining data subsets:

1. use the following subsets:

```
o X_2 , X_3 , X_4
```

```
Cmd 16

1  # ANSWER
2  y_2_predicted = lr_2.predict(X_2)
3  y_3_predicted = lr_3.predict(X_3)
4  y_4_predicted = lr_4.predict(X_4)

Command took 8.86 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/1/2821, 18:48:33 PM on My Cluster
```

## **Exercise 2: Evaluate Our Models**

- 1. Use the mean\_squared\_error and mean\_absolute\_error metrics
- 2. don't forget to take the square root of the mean squared error
- 3. use the following subset splits:

```
o X_2 , X_3 , X_4
```

```
1 # ANSWER
          1  # ANSWER
2  rmse_2 = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y, y_2_predicted))
3  mae_2 = mean_absolute_error(y, y_2_predicted)
4  rmse_3 = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y, y_3_predicted))
5  mae_3 = mean_absolute_error(y, y_3_predicted)
6  rmse_4 = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y, y_4_predicted))
7  mae_4 = mean_absolute_error(y, y_4_predicted))
8
          8
9    print("model 1: rmse: ", rmse_1)
10    print("model 1: mae: ", mae_1)
11    print("model 2: rmse: ", rmse_2)
12    print("model 2: mae: ", mae_2)
13    print("model 3: mae: ", rmse_3)
14    print("model 3: mae: ", rmse_3)
15    print("model 4: rmse: ", rmse_4)
16    print("model 4: mae: ", mae_4)
             model 1: rmse: 1588.2096219633634
model 1: mae: 1256.7168470610088
             model 2: rmse: 1668.138029591898
model 2: mae: 1345.7471379237868
              model 3: rmse: 1514.812644556499
             model 3: mae: 1241.5379110431395
model 4: rmse: 1389.7529579102875
             model 4: mae: 1105.374072516157
             Command took 0.07 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/1/2021, 10:48:33 PM on My Cluster
> Cmd 19
```

Question: Which of these models is best at predicting mean steps?

Cmd 20

© 2021 Databricks, Inc. All rights reserved.

Apache, Apache Spark, Spark and the Spark logo are trademarks of the Apache Software Foundation.

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Support