

REVIEW

Next, we will prepare four subsets of our, used as in the previous lab to build four different linear models.

We also prepare our target vector, y.

```
Cmd 7

1     X_1 = ht_agg_pandas_df[['mean_active_heartrate', 'mean_resting_heartrate']]
2     X_2 = ht_agg_pandas_df[['mean_active_heartrate', 'mean_vo2']]
3     X_3 = ht_agg_pandas_df[['mean_active_heartrate', 'mean_bmi', 'mean_vo2']]
4     X_4 = ht_agg_pandas_df[['mean_active_heartrate', 'mean_bmi', 'mean_vo2', 'mean_resting_heartrate']]
5     y = ht_agg_pandas_df['mean_steps']
Command took 0.07 seconds -- by tjamesbu0gmail.com at 4/2/2021, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster
```

Framing a Business Problem

REVIEW

We have spoken frequently about the entire data science process starting with a good question. Over the next few labs, we will use supervised machine learning to answer the following business question:

Given a users fitness profile, can we predict the average number of steps they are likely to take each day?

Here, our **inputs** will be fitness profile information and our **output** will be the average number of daily steps. The fitness profile information consists of average daily measurements of BMI, VO2, and resting and active heartrates.

We will perform supervised learning to develop a function to map these inputs to average daily steps.

Cmd 9

Perform the Train-Test Split

Next, we will split one of our four subsets of feature data and our target data into training and testing data.

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

X_1_train, X_1_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_1, y)

Command took 0.54 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/2/2021, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster
```

E Cma

Your Turn

Exercise 1: Perform the Train-Test Split

Perform the train-test split on the remaining data subsets:

- 1. use the helper function train_test_split
- 2. split the following subsets:

```
o X_2, X_3, X_4
```

```
tend 12

# ANSWER

X_2_train, X_2_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_2, y)

X_3_train, X_3_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_3, y)

X_4_train, X_4_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_4, y)

Command took 0.04 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/2/2021, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster
```

Cmd 13

Exercise 2: Multi-Variable Linear Regression

Fit four multiple-variable linear models, one for each datasubset.

Demonstration

Evaluate a Multi-variable Model using RMSE and MAE

Finally, we evaulate the training and test models. We do so using the RMSE and MAE metrics.

To use these metrics, we need to

- 1. generate a vector of precictions using <code>estimator.predict()</code>
- 2. pass actual and predicted values to the metric as $\mbox{metric(actual, predicted)}$
- 3. do this for both the training and testing data

```
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, mean_absolute_error

y_train_l_predicted = lr_l.predict(X_l_train)
y_test_l_predicted = lr_l.predict(X_l_test)

print("training mse: ", mean_squared_error(y_train, y_train_l_predicted))
print("test mse: ", mean_squared_error(y_test, y_test_l_predicted))
print("training mse: ", mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_l_predicted))
print("test mae: ", mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_l_predicted))

training mse: 8992897.95158175
test mse: 8669062.119108276
training mae: 2632.8136910341573
test mae: 2570.7583049004747

Command took 0.86 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/2/2821, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster
```

CIIIU 17

MSE vs. RMSE

Note that our metrics, mse and mae are on different scales. Let's take the square root of the mse to put them on the same scale.

```
import numpy as np
     train_1_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_train_1_predicted))
     {\tt test\_1\_rmse = np.sqrt(mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_test\_1\_predicted))}
     train_1_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_1_predicted)
     test_1_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_test_1_predicted)
     print("model 1: training rmse: ", train_1_rmse
8 print("model 1: training mae: ", train_l_mae)
9 print("model 1: test rmse: ", test_l_rmse)
10 print("model 1: test mae: ", test_l_mae)
model 1: training rmse: 2998.816091657131
model 1: training mae: 2632.8136910341573
model 1: test rmse: 2944.3271080347504
model 1: test mae: 2570.7583049004747
 Command took 0.04 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/2/2021, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster
```

Your Turn

Exercise 3: Generate Predictions

```
1. use the following subset splits:
```

- X_2_test , X_3_test , X_4_test
- o X_2_train, X_3_train, X_4_train

```
1 # ANSWER
   y_train_2_predicted = lr_2.predict(X_2_train)
   y_test_2_predicted = lr_2.predict(X_2_test)
4  y_train_3_predicted = lr_3.predict(X_3_train)
5  y_test_3_predicted = lr_3.predict(X_3_test)
  y_train_4_predicted = lr_4.predict(X_4_train)
7 y_test_4_predicted = lr_4.predict(X_4_test)
```

and took 0.06 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/2/2021, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster

Cmd 21

Exercise 4: Evaluate Our Models

- 1. Use the mean_squared_error and mean_absolute_error metrics
- 2. don't forget to take the square root of the mean squared error
- 3. use the following subset splits:
 - X_2_test , X_3_test , X_4_test
 - $\circ \quad X_2_train \;,\; X_3_train \;,\; X_4_train$

Cmd 22

```
1 # ANSWER
     train_2_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_train_2_predicted))
     train_2_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_2_predicted)
     test_2_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(v_test, v_test_2_predicted))
     test_2_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_test_2_predicted)
     train_3_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_train_3_predicted))
     train_3_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_3_predicted)
     {\tt test\_3\_rmse = np.sqrt(mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_test\_3\_predicted))}
    test_3_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_test_3_predicted)
train_4_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_train_4_predicted))
     train_4_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_4_predicted
14
     {\tt test\_4\_rmse = np.sqrt(mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_test\_4\_predicted))}
test_4_mae = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_test_4_predicted)
     print("model 1: training rmse: ", train_1_rmse)
17
    19
20
     print("model 2: training rmse: ", train_2_rmse)
    print("model 2: training mase: ", train_2_mae)
print("model 2: test rmse: ", test_2_mse)
print("model 2: test mase: ", test_2_mse)
print("model 3: training rmse: ", train_3_mse)
25
    print("model 3: training mae: ", train_3_mae)
print("model 3: test rmse: ", test_3_rmse)
print("model 3: test mae: ", test_3_mae)
27
28 print("model 3: test mae:
    print("model 4: training mae: ", train_4_mse)
print("model 4: training mae: ", train_4_mse)
print("model 4: test rmse: ", test_4_mse)
30
print("model 4: test rmse: ", test_4_rmse
print("model 4: test mae: ", test_4_mae)
model 1: training rmse: 2998.816091657131
```

model 1: training mae: 2632.8136910341573 2944.3271080347504 model 1: test rmse: 2570.7583049004747 model 2: training rmse: 2996.369502541238 model 2: training mae: 2628.3903929471385 model 2: test rmse: 2940.738953186546 2572.798316810965 model 2: test mae: model 3: training rmse: 2998.0402989440868 model 3: training mae: 2631.043587592155 model 3: test rmse: 2942.3870591161963 model 3: test mae: 2570.2000854660528 model 4: training rmse: 1391.566538450658 model 4: training mae: 1107.1935461167373 model 4: test rmse: 1385.0730569885372 model 4: test mae: 1108.0837486125008

Command took 0.07 seconds -- by tjamesbu@gmail.com at 4/2/2021, 10:13:37 AM on My Cluster

Question:: Which of these models is the best at predicting mean steps?

 $\label{eq:Question:Do any of the models show signs of overfitting?}$

Cmd 24

© 2021 Databricks, Inc. All rights reserved.

Apache, Apache Spark, Spark and the Spark logo are trademarks of the Apache Software Foundation.

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Support

Shift+Enter to run