CMPT 135-D100 Midterm Exam 1 Spring 2023

This is a **50 minute closed book exam**: notes, books, computers, calculators, electronic devices, etc. are **not** permitted. Do not speak to any other students during their exam or look at their work. Please remain seated and **raise your hand** if you have a question.

Pointers and Memory Management

a) (5 marks) Write a function called range(int n) that returns a pointer to a new vector<int> (allocated on the free store) that contains the numbers 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1.

For example, it should work like this:

```
vector<int>* v = range(5);
for (int n : *v) {
    cout << n << " ";
}
// prints: 0 1 2 3 4
delete v;</pre>
```

Sample Solutions

```
vector<int>* range(int n)
{
    vector<int>* result = new vector<int>;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    {
        result->push_back(i);
    }
    return result;
}
```

```
vector<int>* range(int n) {
    vector<int>* result = new vector<int>(n);

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        (*result)[i] = i;
        // result->at(i) = i;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Marking Scheme

- +1 mark: correct return type and header
- +1 mark: correct "result" variable type and initialization
- +1 mark: correct loop adding 0, ..., n-1 to "result"
- +1 mark: return correct result
- +1 mark: overall correct syntax and good readability

Up to -1 mark deducted if the code is very inefficient, or does anything unnecessary.

- -1 mark if the student deletes "result" in the function. It should not be deleted in the function.
- Local variable names can be different than what's given in the sample solution, as long as they are selfdescriptive or easy to understand.
- If an error is very small, or you maybe just a slip of the pen, you might decide to take no marks off, or only a few marks. Forgetting one ";" is probably not worth taking any marks off. But repeatedly forgetting a ";" should have a deduction of at least 0.5.
- Sometimes answers can be different than what the marking scheme expects, and in that case you may need to "wing it". In such cases, first try to decide if it is a failing or passing answer. If it's failing, don't give

b) (5 marks) Write a function called deallocate_both(vector<int>* a, vector<int>* b) that correctly de-allocates the vector<int>s that a and b point to. Assume that a and b point to vectors created by one or more calls to range (from question a). It's also possible that one, or both, of a and b could be nullptr.

deallocate_both should correctly de-allocate the vectors **a** and **b** point to and not any memory errors (or other kinds of errors).

For example:

```
vector<int>* v1 = range(5);
vector<int>* v2 = range(10);
deallocate_both(v1, v2);
// v1 and v2 have been de-allocated

vector<int>* v3 = range(5);
vector<int>* v4 = nullptr;
deallocate_both(v3, v4);
// v3 has been de-allocated

vector<int>* v5 = range(5);
deallocate_both(v5, v5);
// v5 has been de-allocated

Write your answer here:

void deallocate_both(vector<int>* a, vector<int>* b) {
```

Sample Solution

```
void deallocate_both(vector<int> *a,
                    vector<int> *b)
    if (a != nullptr && b != nullptr)
       if (a == b) { // a == b case
           delete a;
       else {
                     // a != b case
           delete a;
           delete b;
   else
       delete a;
       delete b;
void deallocate both2(vector<int> *a,
                      vector<int> *b) {
   if (a == b) {
       delete a;
   else {
       delete a;
       delete b;
void deallocate_both3(vector<int> *a, vector<int> *b)
   delete a;
   if (a != b) {
       delete b;
```

Marking Scheme

- +1 marks: a == nullptr case handled correctly
- +1 marks: b == nullptr case handled correctly
- +1 mark: a and b pointing to different vectors handled correctly
- +1 mark: a == b case handled correctly
- +1 mark: overall correct syntax and good readability

Up to -1 mark deducted if the code is correct, but is very inefficient or does anything unnecessary.

- -1 mark for using the wrong delete function, e.g. delete[] instead of delete.
- It's okay to call delete on a nullptr. This simplifies the outer "else" case.
- As the examples show, the logic of the if-statements can be different and still be correct. Check it carefully for correctness.
- If an error is very small, or you maybe just a slip of the pen, you might decide to take no marks off, or only a few

Object-oriented Programming and Inheritance

- a) (5 marks) Add the following to the Movie class below:
 - 1. A **copy constructor** that uses an **initialization list** to make a new Movie object that is a copy of another Movie object.
 - 2. A **destructor** that prints "done!".
 - 3. A **setter** that lets the user change the name of a Movie.
 - 4. A **getter** that returns the year of a Movie.

```
class Movie {
    string title;
    int year;

public:
    Movie(const string& t, int y) {
        title = t;
        year = y;
    }

    // ... your code goes here ...
```

Sample Solution

```
class Movie {
// ...
Movie(const Movie& m)
: title(m.title), year(m.year) { }

// Alternative copy constructor using
// delegation:
// Movie(const Movie& m)
// : Movie(m.title, m.year) { }

~Movie() { cout << "done!"; }

void set_title(string t) { title = t; }

int get_year() const { return year; }

}; // class Movie</pre>
```

Marking Scheme

- +2 marks: for correct copy constructor that uses an initialization list
- +1 mark: correct destructor
- +1 mark: correct setter
- +1 mark: correct getter

Up to -1 mark deducted if the code is very inefficient, or does anything unnecessary.

- If an error is very small, or you maybe just a slip of the pen, you might decide to take no marks off, or only a few marks. Forgetting one ";" is probably not worth taking any marks off. But repeatedly forgetting a ";" should have a deduction of at least 0.5.
- Sometimes answers can be different than what the marking scheme expects, and in that case you may need to "wing it". In such cases, first try to decide if it is a failing or passing answer. If it's failing, don't give more than 50%.

b) (5 marks) Write a class call int_list that has all the features of a vector<int>, and also has a non-const method called first() that returns an int pointer to the first element of the vector. If the int_list is empty, first() should return nullptr.

For example:

Sample Solution

```
class int_list
: public vector<int>
{

public:
    int* first() {
        if (size() == 0) return nullptr;
        return &(*this)[0];
    }
};
```

Marking Scheme

- +1 mark: public inheritance from vector<int>
- +0.5 mark: first() is public (okay to use a struct)
- +0.5 mark: first() returns int*
- +1 mark: size() == 0 case returns nullptr
- +1 marks: correct value and syntax for size() > 0 case
- +1 mark: overall correct syntax and good readability

Up to -1 mark deducted if the code is very inefficient, or does anything unnecessary.

- -0.5 mark if they forget "public" in inheritance.
- Forgetting the ";" after the final "}" is an easy mistake to make. **Don't** take any marks off if they forget ";" here, but do point out and correct the error.
- Okay to use empty() instead of size() == 0.
- If an error is very small, or you maybe just a slip of the pen, you might decide to take no marks off, or only a few marks. Forgetting one ";" is probably not worth taking any marks off. But repeatedly forgetting a ";" should have a

Multiple Choice

For each of the following questions, fill in **the one best answer** on the answer sheet.

Every correct answer is worth 1 mark. Incorrect answers, unanswered questions, questions with more than one answer, or questions with illegible answers, are worth 0.

- 1) Consider these two statements:
 - i) A { corresponds to a "push" onto the call stack
 - ii) A } corresponds to a "pop" on the call stack

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false
- 2) Where are **local** variables stored?
 - A. only the call stack
 - B. only the free store
 - C. sometimes the call stack, sometimes the free store
 - D. only static memory
- 3) Consider these two statements:
 - i) Blackbox tests can be created without seeing the implementation of a function.
 - ii) Whitebox tests can be created *without* seeing the implementation of a function.

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false

- 4) Consider these two statements:
 - i) assert(2 == 2) crashes the program when it runs.
 - ii) assert(2 != 2) crashes the program when it runs.

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false
- 5) Consider these two statements:
 - i) Only constructors can have initialization lists.
 - ii) A class can have multiple constructors and multiple destructors.

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false
- 6) Consider these two statements:
 - i) Immutable objects have no setters.
 - ii) A non-const getter will always cause a compiler error.

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false

7) Consider these two statements:

- i) For a child class to be able to re-implement a method it inherits from a parent class, the method must be declared **virtual in the parent class.**
- ii) For a child class to be able to re-implement a method it inherits from a parent class, the method must be declared **virtual in the child class**.

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false

8) Consider these two statements:

- i) For a child class to be able to re-implement a method it inherits from a parent class, the method must be declared **abstract in the parent class.**
- ii) For a child class to be able to re-implement a method it inherits from a parent class, the method must be declared **abstract in the child class**.

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false
- 9) Consider this statement:

int
$$n = 0$$
; // line 1

Consider these two statements:

- i) Line 1 is an example of a **definition**.
- ii) Line 1 is an example of a **declaration**.

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false

- 10) Consider these two statements:
 - i) C++ functions must be **declared** exactly once.
 - ii) C++ functions can be **defined** more than once.

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false
- 11) These two functions can appear in the same namespace:

```
namespace ui {
    void code(int n) {
        // ...
}

void code(int n, int t) {
        // ...
}

// ...
}
```

A. True

B. False

- 12) Consider these two statements:
 - i) A single using statement can give access to a single function in a namespace.
 - ii) A single using statement can give access to every function in a namespace.

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false

13) Consider this C++ function:

Consider these two statements:

- i) f has a memory leak.
- ii) If you move line 1 so it comes after line 2, then f has a memory leak.

Which one of these statements most accurately describes the truth values of i) and ii)?

- A. i) and ii) are both true
- B. i) and ii) are both false
- C. i) is false and ii) is true
- D. i) is true and ii) is false
- 14) What is the correct way for a try/catch block to catch all exceptions that g() might throw?

```
A. try {
        g();
    } catch () {
        // ...
    }
B. try {
        g();
    } else {
        // ...
    }
C. try {
        g();
    } catch (...) {
        // ...
    }
D. try {
        g();
    } default {
        // ...
    }
```

E. None of the above.

15) A pointer of type double* can point to itself.

A. True

B. False

Instructor: T. Donaldson

