

Random Variable Control

1 Maximum of i.i.d gaussian

Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k be k independent samples from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} [\max \{\xi_1^2, \dots, \xi_k^2\}] \leq 2 \log(2k) \quad (1.1)$$

2 Union bound for partial sums

2.1 Etemadi's inequality

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables. For $i \in [n]$, let $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^i X_j$ denote the partial sum up to i . Then for all $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\Pr[\max_{i=1}^n |Y_i| > 3 \cdot \alpha] \leq 3 \cdot \max_{i=1}^n \Pr[|Y_i| > \alpha]. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof Sketch. $\mathbb{P}[|Y_i| > \alpha]$ term을 얻기 위해서 $|Y_i - Y_n|$ 과 $|Y_i|$ 사이의 independence를 사용함. 그리고 partial sum의 maximum과 각 partial sum을 연결하기 위해서 i 번째 partial sum이 처음으로 3α 보다 큰 event로 분해함. (Detail)

3 Random Singed Summation Bound

3.1 Khintchine inequality

Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^N$ be i.i.d. Rademacher random variables. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and let $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$A_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq B_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (3.1)$$

4 Summation Bound

4.1 Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality

If $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^p], 1 < p < +\infty$, then

$$A_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right|^p \right] \leq B_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right] \quad (4.1)$$

where A_p and B_p are positive constants, which depend only on p . for some constants A_p, B_p depending only on p .

4.2 Latala's inequality

If $p \geq 2$ and X, X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. mean 0 random variables, then we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right\|_{L^p} \sim \sup \left\{ \frac{p}{s} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right)^{1/s} \|X\|_{L^s} \mid \max \left\{ 2, \frac{p}{n} \right\} \leq s \leq p \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

5 Concentration inequality

5.1 Bernstein's inequality

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables. Assume $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma_i^2$, and $\Pr[|X_i| \leq 1] = 1$ for each $i \in [n]$. Let $\sigma^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$. Then for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\Pr \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t \right] \leq \exp \left(\frac{-3t^2}{6\sigma^2 + 2t} \right) \quad (5.1)$$

Proof Sketch. First bound the MGF of each X_i using Taylor expansion. Then use Markov inequality for $\Pr[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t]$ with $\exp(\lambda \cdot)$ and minimize the upper bound with λ . Then use the following lemma to finish the proof.

Lemma 5.1. Let $v > -1$. Then $(1+v) \log(1+v) \geq v + \frac{3v^2}{2v+6}$

5.2 Matrix version Bernstein's inequality

Let \mathbf{B} a fixed $q \times d$ matrix. Construct $q \times d$ matrix \mathbf{R} such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}] = \mathbf{B}, \quad \|\mathbf{R}\|_{\text{op}} \leq L \quad (5.2)$$

Form the matrix sampling estimator

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{R}_i, \quad (5.3)$$

where each \mathbf{R}_i is an independent copy of \mathbf{R} . Then for every $t > 0$, the estimator satisfies

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\|\bar{\mathbf{R}}_m - \mathbf{B}\|_{\text{op}} \geq t \right] \leq (q+d) \cdot \exp \left(\frac{-mt^2}{m_2(\mathbf{R}) + 2Lt/3} \right), \quad (5.4)$$

where $m_2(\mathbf{R})$ is the second moment $m_2(\mathbf{R}) = \max \left\{ \|\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{R}]\|_{\text{op}}, \|\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^*]\|_{\text{op}} \right\}$.

5.3 Hoeffding's inequality

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables such that $a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i$ a.s. Then for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n] \geq t) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2} \right), \quad (5.5)$$

where $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Also, consider a set of r i.i.d. random variables X_1, \dots, X_r such that $-\Delta \leq X_i \leq \Delta$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ for each $i \in [r]$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^r X_i$. Then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$

$$\mathbb{P}[|M| > \alpha] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2r\Delta^2} \right) \quad (5.6)$$

The proof uses the following:

Lemma 5.2. Let X be any real-valued random variable such that $a \leq X \leq b$ a.s. Then, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}] \leq \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^2(b-a)^2}{8} \right) \quad (5.7)$$

Note: conditional expectation also works for the lemma.

5.4 Variance-only form

Consider a set of r independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_r . Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i$. Then for $\alpha \in (0, 2\text{Var}[M]/(\max_i |X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]|))$

$$\mathbb{P}[|M - \mathbb{E}[M]| > \alpha] \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha^2}{4 \sum_{i=1}^r \text{Var}[X_i]}\right). \quad (5.8)$$

5.5 Paley-Zygmund inequality

If $Z \geq 0$ is a random variable with finite variance, and if $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(Z > \theta \mathbb{E}[Z]) \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]^2}{\mathbb{E}[Z^2]} \quad (5.9)$$

5.6 Max of independent Gaussians

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\max(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \sqrt{2 \log(n)} + o(\sqrt{\log(n)}) \quad (5.10)$$

5.7 DKW inequality

DKW inequality provides a bound on the worst-case distance of empirical CDF and the true CDF:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| > \varepsilon\right) \leq C e^{-2n\varepsilon^2} \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (5.11)$$

For multivariate case, let X_1, X_2, \dots, x_n be an i.i.d. sequence of k -dimensional vectors,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^k} |F_n(t) - F(t)| > \varepsilon\right) \leq (n+1)k e^{-2n\varepsilon^2} \quad (5.12)$$

for every $\varepsilon, n, k > 0$.

Also see [local DKW inequality](#).

Steinke version. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with CDF $f(v) := \mathbb{P}[X_i \leq v]$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Let the empirical CDF be $F_x(v) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1[X_i \leq v]$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $\beta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_X \left[\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}} F_x(v) - f(v) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(1/\beta)}{n}} + \frac{\log(1/\beta)}{2n} \right] \geq 1 - \beta. \quad (5.13)$$

Lemma 5.3. For all $t, \lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}} F_x(v) \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{f(v)} \right) > \frac{\lambda}{n} \right] \leq (1+t)^n e^{-\lambda} \leq e^{tn-\lambda}. \quad (5.14)$$

Note: maximum bound되는 event 확률 구할 때는 martingale construction해서 optional stopping theorem 적용하는 것도 좋음 \Rightarrow Lemma에서는 binomial exponent에 놓아서 martingale 만듬.

5.8 Quadratic form

Definition 5.4 (Subgaussian random variable). A centered random variable X is said to be v -subgaussian if its cumulant generating function is subquadratic:

$$\xi_X(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} v t^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5.15)$$

5.9 Hanson-Wright tail bound

Let \mathbf{x} be a random vector with independent centered v -subgaussian entries and let \mathbf{A} be a square matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}]| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{c \cdot t^2}{v^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 + v \|\mathbf{A}\| t}\right), \quad (5.16)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant independent of v, \mathbf{x}, t or \mathbf{A} .

5.10 Gaussian CCDF bound

$$1 - \Phi(w) \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{w\sqrt{2\pi}}\right\} e^{-w^2/2}, \quad w > 0 \quad (5.17)$$

5.11 McDiarmid's inequality

A function $f : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the bounded differences property if substituting the value of the i th coordinate x_i changes the value of f by at most c_i . More formally, if there are constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n such that for all $i \in [n]$, and all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}_3$,

$$\sup_{x'_i \in \mathcal{X}_i} |f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i \quad (5.18)$$

Let $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the bounded differences property with bounds c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n .

Consider independent random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n where $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$ for all i . Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \geq \varepsilon) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right), \quad (5.19)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \leq -\varepsilon) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right) \quad (5.20)$$

and as an immediate consequence,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)]| \geq \varepsilon) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right) \quad (5.21)$$

6 Decoupling lemma

6.1 Quadratic form

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be independent mean-zero random variables. For $i, j \in [n]$, let $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\sum_{i \neq j} a_{i,j} X_i X_j\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(4 \sum_{i \neq j} a_{i,j} X_i X'_j\right)\right], \quad (6.1)$$

where X'_1, \dots, X'_n are independent copies of X_1, \dots, X_n .

Note: We can analyze $\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ by $\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}'$ with independent \mathbf{x}' .

7 Global variance control

7.1 Efron-Stein inequality

For $i \in [n]$ and tuple $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$, let $Z^{(i)}$ denote the tuple $(Z_1, \dots, Z_{i-1}, \tilde{Z}_i, Z_{i+1}, \dots, Z_n)$, where \tilde{Z}_i is an independent copy of Z_i . For a scalar function $f(Z)$, the Efron-Stein inequality states that

$$\text{Var}[f(Z)] = \mathbb{E}[(f(Z) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)])^2] \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(Z) - f(Z^{(i)}) \right)^2 \right] \quad (7.1)$$

$$\stackrel{\dagger}{=} \underbrace{\sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(Z) - \mathbb{E}_i[f(Z^{(i)})] \right)^2 \right]}_{\text{sum of conditional variance}} \quad (7.2)$$

\dagger : Note that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_{-i} \mathbb{E}_i$, $\mathbb{E}[f(Z^{(i)}) \mid Z] = \mathbb{E}_i[f(Z^{(i)})]$ and

$$\mathbb{E}_i[(Z - \mathbb{E}_i[Z])^2] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_i[(Z - Z^{(i)})^2] \quad (7.3)$$

8 Information

8.1 Fano's inequality

Let $X \in \{0, 1\}^d$ be uniformly random and let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random variable that depends on X .

If $\mathbb{E}[\|X - Y\|_1] \leq \alpha \cdot d$ for $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$I(X; Y) \geq d \cdot D_{\text{KL}} \left(\text{Ber}(\alpha) \parallel \text{Ber} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right). \quad (8.1)$$

9 Do you like martingale?

9.1 Tail Distribution

Let X be a nonnegative cadlag submartingale. Then, for each $K, t > 0$,

$$K \mathbb{P}(X_t^* \geq K) \leq \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_t^* \geq K\}} X_t] \quad (9.1)$$

10 Stochastic Dominance

10.1 Definition

Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}$ be random variables. We say X is *stochastically dominated* by Y if $\mathbb{P}[X > t] \leq \mathbb{P}[Y > t]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, X is stochastically dominated by Y if there exists a coupling such that $\mathbb{P}[X \leq Y] = 1$.

10.2 SD is preserved under sums/convolutions

Lemma 10.1. Suppose X_1 is stochastically dominated by Y_1 . Suppose that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the conditional distribution $X_2 \mid X_1 = x$ is stochastically dominated by Y_2 . Assume that Y_1 and Y_2 are independent. Then $X_1 + X_2$ is stochastically dominated by $Y_1 + Y_2$.