

Probability Theory

VI Total variation \sim Skorohod's Theorem

Seongho, Joo

August 7, 2025

Seoul National University

Total variation

We've considered several modes of convergence of random variables: almost sure convergence, L^p convergence, and convergence in probability.

All of these require information about the *joint* distribution of $\{X, X_n\}$. We are going to turn to some convergence notions that only care about the individual distributions.

Total variation

We've considered several modes of convergence of random variables: almost sure convergence, L^p convergence, and convergence in probability.

All of these require information about the *joint* distribution of $\{X, X_n\}$. We are going to turn to some convergence notions that only care about the individual distributions. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of probability measures on (S, \mathcal{B}) .

Definition 1

Let μ, ν be probability measures on (S, \mathcal{B}) . The *total variation distance* between them is

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\mu(B) - \nu(B)|$$

If X, Y are (S, \mathcal{B}) -valued random variables, we set

$$d_{\text{TV}}(X, Y) = d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\mathbb{P}(X \in B) - \mathbb{P}(Y \in B)|$$

Total variation

Lemma 1 (Scheffé)

If α is a finite measure on (S, \mathcal{B}) such that $\mu, \nu \ll \alpha$ with $d\mu = u d\alpha$, $d\nu = v d\alpha$, then

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|_{L^1(\alpha)}$$

Note: it is always possible to find such α .

Proof.

Total variation

Corollary 1

d_{TV} is a complete metric on $\text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$

Proof. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$. Fix α such that $d\mu_n = u_n d\alpha$.

- $0 = d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \implies u_1 = u_2 \text{ } \alpha - a.s. \implies \mu_1 = \mu_2$
- $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_1, \mu_3) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_3\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|u_1 - u_2\| + \|u_2 - u_3\|) = d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_1, \mu_2) + d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_2, \mu_3)$
- If $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a d_{TV} -Cauchy,
$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^1(\alpha)} = d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_n, \mu_m) \rightarrow 0 \implies \{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is a Cauchy in } L^1(\alpha)$$

Define $d\mu = u d\alpha$, $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_m, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_m - u\|_{L^1(\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$

Total variation

Corollary 2

If h is a bounded r.v. on (S, \mathcal{B}) , then $\forall \mu, \nu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$

$$\left| \int_S h \, d\mu - \int_S h \, d\nu \right| \leq 2d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu, \cdot) \sup_S |h|$$

Moreover, $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sup \left\{ \left| \int_S h \, d\mu - \int_S h \, d\nu \right| : \sup_S |h| \leq 1 \right\}$

Proof.

Total variation

Total variation works well when S is countable.

Lemma 2

If S is countable, and $\mu, \nu \in \text{Prov}(S, \mathcal{B})$, then

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in S} |\mu(\{k\}) - \nu(k)|$$

$\implies \mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in TV if and only if $\mu_n(\{k\}) \rightarrow \mu(\{k\}) \quad \forall k \in S$

Example $\nu_\lambda = \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\nu_\lambda, \nu_\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| e^\lambda \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - e^{-\eta} \frac{\eta^k}{k!} \right| \leq |\lambda - \eta|$$

Example $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_p, \nu_p) = p(1 - e^{-p})$

Law of Rare Events

Theorem 1 (The Law of Rare Events)

Let $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be independent, $X_j = \text{Bernoulli}(p_j)$. Set $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. Let $N = \text{Poisson}(p_1 + \cdots + p_n)$. Then,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(S_n, N) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^2$$

Lemma 3 (Sub-additivity of TV distance)

Let $\{\mu_j, \nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_1 * \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n, \nu_1 * \nu_2 \cdots \nu_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_j, \nu_j)$$

Coupling

Given probability measure μ, ν on (S, \mathcal{B}) , a *coupling* is a pair (X, Y) of random variables on a common probability space, taking values in (S, \mathcal{B}) , such that

$$\mu_X = \mu, \mu_Y = \nu$$

Example: $\mu \otimes \nu$ is a independent coupling of μ, ν .

Lemma 4 (Coupling Estimate)

If (X, Y) is any coupling of the Borel probability measures μ, ν , then $d_{TV}(\mu, \nu) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y)$

Proof.

TV distance is somewhat too Strong!

In non-discrete settings, total variation convergence is usually too much to ask.

- Let $a_n \in \mathbb{R}, a_n \rightarrow a$.

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\delta_{a_n}, \delta_a) = \sup_B |\delta_{a_n}(B) - \delta_a(B)| \geq 1 \text{ i.o.}$$

- A discrete approximation of $\text{Unif}([0, 1])$, $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{k/n}$

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_n, \text{Unif}[0, 1]) = 1$$

TV distance is somewhat too Strong!

In non-discrete settings, total variation convergence is usually too much to ask.

- Let $a_n \in \mathbb{R}, a_n \rightarrow a$.

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\delta_{a_n}, \delta_a) = \sup_B |\delta_{a_n}(B) - \delta(B)| \geq 1 \text{ i.o.}$$

- A discrete approximation of $\text{Unif}([0, 1])$, $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{k/n}$

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_n, \text{Unif}[0, 1]) = 1$$

Definition 2

Let S be a metric space, $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.

Say μ_n converges weakly to μ , $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$, if $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \forall f \in C_b(S)$

Example: If $a_n \rightarrow a$, then $\int f d\delta_{a_n} = f(a_n) \rightarrow f(a) = \int f d\delta_a \forall f \in C_b$

Weak Convergence

Proposition 1

If $d_{TV}(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$, then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$

Proof. Directly followed by Corollary 2.

Proposition 2

If $X_n, X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$.

Proof. First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5

If $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X$ and $g \in C(S)$, then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} g(X)$.

Proof. For $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, let $B_{\varepsilon, \delta}(g) = \{x \in S : \exists y \in S \, d(x, y) < \delta, |g(x) - g(y)| \geq \varepsilon\}$. Continuity of g means that, for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_{\varepsilon, \delta}(g) \downarrow \emptyset$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$. Note that $\{|g(X_n) - g(X)| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{d(X_n, X) \geq \delta\} \cup \{X \in B_{\varepsilon, \delta}(g)\}$

$$\implies \mathbb{P}(|g(X_n) - g(X)| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(d(X_n, X) \geq \delta) + \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X \in B_{\varepsilon, \delta}(g))}_{\mu_X(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}(g)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \downarrow 0}$$

Weak Convergence

Let $f \in C_b(S)$. Then

$$\int f \, d\mu_{X_n} = \mathbb{E} f(X_n)$$

By the lemma, $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X \implies f(X_n) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} f(X)$ and note that $|f(X_n)| \leq M$

By dominated convergence theorem, $f(X_n) \rightarrow f(X)$ in L^1 .

$$\mathbb{E} f(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(X) = \int f \, d\mu_X$$

Corollary 3

If $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s., or if $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^p , then $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$.

Definition 3

Let μ be a Borel measure on metric space S . An event $B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ is a continuity set for μ if

$$\mu(\partial A) = 0, \quad \partial A = \bar{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$$

Example. $(-\infty, a]$ is not a continuity set for δ_a .

Example. If $\mu \in \text{Prov}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ and F_μ is continuous, then all intervals are continuity sets for μ

Conditions for Weak convergence

Theorem 2 (Portmanteau)

Let S be a complete, separable metric space. Let $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$. TFAE:

- 1 $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_b(S)$
- 2 $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \quad f \in \text{Lip}_b(S)$
- 3 $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(F) \leq \mu(F) \quad \forall \text{ closed } F \subseteq S$
- 4 $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(G) \geq \mu(G) \quad \forall \text{ open } G \subseteq S$
- 5 $\mu_n(A) \rightarrow \mu(A) \quad \forall \mu - \text{continuity sets } A \in \mathcal{B}(S)$

Proof.

Weak convergence for \mathbb{R}^d

Theorem 3

Let $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \dots (\dagger)$

Lemma 6

If \dagger holds true, then $\lim_{R \uparrow \infty} \inf_n \mu_n(\bar{B}_R) = 1$

Proof for Theorem.

Weak convergence for \mathbb{R}^d

Corollary 4

Let $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

For any function $F : S \rightarrow T$ between topological spaces,

$$\text{Cont}(F) := \{x \in S : F \text{ is continuous at } x\}, \text{Disc}(F) := S \setminus \text{Cont}(F)$$

Recall that every probability measure on \mathbb{R} is a Stieltjes measure.

Weak convergence for \mathbb{R}^d

Corollary 4

Let $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

For any function $F : S \rightarrow T$ between topological spaces,

$$\text{Cont}(F) := \{x \in S : F \text{ is continuous at } x\}, \text{Disc}(F) := S \setminus \text{Cont}(F)$$

Recall that every probability measure on \mathbb{R} is a Stieltjes measure.

Theorem 4

Let $\mu, \mu_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R})$. Set $F_n(t) = \mu_n(-\infty, t]$, $F(t) = \mu(-\infty, t]$.

Then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ if and only if $F_n(t) \rightarrow F(t) \quad \forall t \in \text{Cont}(F)$

Vague Convergence

Consider $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Does μ_n have a limit in some sense?

If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $f(x) = 0 \ \forall |x| \geq M$, $\int f \, d\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}f(0)$, $n \geq m$

Note: $\mu_n \not\xrightarrow{w} \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$. In fact, $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ possesses no weakly convergent subsequence.

What's going on?

Vague Convergence

Consider $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Does μ_n have a limit in some sense?

If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $f(x) = 0 \ \forall |x| \geq M$, $\int f \, d\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}f(0)$, $n \geq m$

Note: $\mu_n \not\xrightarrow{w} \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$. In fact, $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ possesses no weakly convergent subsequence.

What's going on?

Definition 4 (Vague convergence)

Let μ_n, μ be Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^d . Say μ_n converges *vaguely* to μ , $\mu_n \xrightarrow{v} \mu$, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \ \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$

It is possible to lose mass, but not gain it under vague convergence.

Vague Convergence

Consider $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Does μ_n have a limit in some sense?

If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $f(x) = 0 \forall |x| \geq M$, $\int f d\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}f(0)$, $n \geq m$

Note: $\mu_n \not\xrightarrow{w} \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$. In fact, $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ possesses no weakly convergent subsequence.

What's going on?

Definition 4 (Vague convergence)

Let μ_n, μ be Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^d . Say μ_n converges *vaguely* to μ , $\mu_n \xrightarrow{v} \mu$, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$

It is possible to lose mass, but not gain it under vague convergence.

Definition 5 (Tightness)

A family $\Lambda \subseteq \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B}((S)))$ is called *tight* if $\varepsilon > 0, \exists K_\varepsilon \subseteq S$ compact s.t. $\mu(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \forall \mu \in \Lambda$

Note. Weakly convergent sequence of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d are tight.

Example. $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n$, $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not tight.

Vague Convergence

Theorem 5

If $\mu_n \in \text{Prov}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\mu_n \xrightarrow{v} \mu$ for some Borel measure, then $\mu(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1$ if and only if $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is tight.

Proof.

Vague convergence on \mathbb{R}

Proposition 3

Let $\mu_n \in \text{Prov}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, and let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . Let $F_n(t) = \mu_n(-\infty, t]$, $F(t) = \mu(-\infty, t]$. Then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{v} \mu$ if and only if $F_n(b) - F_n(a) \rightarrow F(b) - F(a) \quad \forall a, b \in \text{Cont}(F)$

Prokhorov's Compactness Theorem

Some sequences of probability measures have no weakly convergent subsequences. The one and only obstruction is tightness.

Theorem 6 (Prokhorov)

Let S be a separable metric space. If $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \text{Prov}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$, \exists vaguely convergent subsequence $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

Corollary 5

If $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also tight, then \exists weakly convergent subsequence $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ whose limit μ is a probability measure.

Proof for Theorem

Connection between weak convergence and a.s. convergence

Theorem 7 (Skorohod)

Let S be a separable metric space, and $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$. If $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$, then there exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and random variables $Y_n, Y : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ with $Y_n^* \mathbb{P} = \mu_{Y_n} = \mu_n$, $Y^* \mathbb{P} = \mu_Y = \mu$, and $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ a.s.

Connection between weak convergence and a.s. convergence

Theorem 7 (Skorohod)

Let S be a separable metric space, and $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$. If $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$, then there exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and random variables $Y_n, Y : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ with $Y_n^* \mathbb{P} = \mu_{Y_n} = \mu_n$, $Y^* \mathbb{P} = \mu_Y = \mu$, and $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ a.s.

Corollary 6 (Continuous mapping theorem)

Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Borel measurable. Let $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$, and suppose $\mathbb{P}(X \in \text{Disc}(f)) = 0$. Then $f(X_n) \xrightarrow{w} f(X)$. If in addition f is bounded, then $\mathbb{E} f(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(X)$