

Probability Theory

VIII Conditional Expectation

Seongho, Joo

August 7, 2025

Seoul National University

Orthogonal Projection

If H is a finite dimensional inner product space, and $K \subseteq H$ is any subspace, there is an orthogonal projection $P_k : H \rightarrow K$ with the properties that

- $P_k(v) = v \quad \forall v \in K$
- $P_k(w) = 0$ if $w \in K^\top$

If we can find an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ for K , then

$$P_k(v) = \sum_n \langle v, e_n \rangle e_n$$

We will use this same idea in the Hilbert space $H = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$

Hilbert Spaces

A Hilbert Space is a complete inner product space.

E.g. $H = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\langle X, Y \rangle := \mathbb{E} XY$.

In any inner product space, we have Pythagoras's Thm:

$$\text{If } X \perp Y, \quad \|X + Y\|^2 = \|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2$$

Also, we have the Parallelogram Law:

$$\|X + Y\|^2 + \|X - Y\|^2 = 2(\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2)$$

If $K \subset H$ is a linear subspace, it is also an inner product space. K is a Hilbert space if and only if K is closed in H .

Hilbert Spaces

A Hilbert Space is a complete inner product space.

E.g. $H = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\langle X, Y \rangle := \mathbb{E} XY$.

In any inner product space, we have Pythagoras's Thm:

$$\text{If } X \perp Y, \quad \|X + Y\|^2 = \|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2$$

Also, we have the Parallelogram Law:

$$\|X + Y\|^2 + \|X - Y\|^2 = 2(\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2)$$

If $K \subset H$ is a linear subspace, it is also an inner product space. K is a Hilbert space if and only if K is closed in H .

Proposition 1

If $K \subseteq H$ is a closed subspace, and $X \in H$, there is a unique closest element $Y \in K$ to X .

$$\|X - Y\|^2 = d(x, K)^2 := \inf_{Z \in K} \|X - Z\|^2$$

Proof.

Hilbert Spaces

Proposition 2

The unique closest point $Y \in K$ to X is also the unique element $Y \in K$ satisfying

$$X - Y \perp K \quad \text{i.e. } \langle X - Y, Z \rangle = 0 \quad \forall Z \in K$$

Proof. If Y is the closest point, for any $Z \in K$, consider

$$t \mapsto \alpha(t) = \|X - (Y + tZ)\|^2 = \|X - Y\|^2 - 2t \langle X - Y, Z \rangle + \|Z\|^2 t^2$$

by assumption, $\alpha(0) = \min \alpha \implies \alpha'(0) = 0 \implies -2 \langle X - Y, Z \rangle = 0$

Conversely, if $Y \in K$ with $X - Y \perp K$, then for any $Z \in K$,

$$\|X - Z\|^2 = \left\| X - Y + \underbrace{Y - Z}_{\in K} \right\|^2 = \|X - Y\|^2 + \|Y - Z\|^2 \geq \|X - Y\|^2$$

$\implies Y = \text{unique minimizer of } d(x, K).$

Hilbert Spaces

Theorem 1 (Orthogonal Projection)

Given a Hilbert space H and a closed subspace $K \subseteq H$, there is a unique linear transformation $P_K : H \rightarrow K$ such that

- P_K is Lip_1 -continuous
- $P_K(Y) = Y \quad \forall Y \in K$
- $P_K(Z) = 0 \quad \forall Z \in K^\perp$
- $\langle P_K(X), Y \rangle = \langle X, P_K(Y) \rangle \quad \forall X, Y \in H$

Moreover, if $L \subseteq K$ is another closed subspace, then $P_K P_L = P_L P_K = P_L$. The transformation P_K , the orthogonal projection onto K , can be defined by $P_K(X) =$ the unique element in K closest to X .

Conditional Expectation

Proposition 3

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ -field. Then $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P}) \subseteq L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a closed subspace.

Proof. Closed: If X_n is \mathcal{G} -measurable, $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^2 , \exists subseq $X_{n_k} \rightarrow X$ a.s.
 $\implies X$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable. $\therefore X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$.

Conditional Expectation

Proposition 3

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ -field. Then $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P}) \subseteq L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a closed subspace.

Proof. Closed: If X_n is \mathcal{G} -measurable, $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^2 , \exists subseq $X_{n_k} \rightarrow X$ a.s.
 $\implies X$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable. $\therefore X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition 1

If $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is any sub σ -field, the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]$ is the random variable $P_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})}(X)$.

Conditional Expectation

What is $\mathbb{E} X|\mathcal{G}$? It is the \mathcal{G} -measurable r.v. that is closest to X

$$\|X - \mathbb{E} X|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^2} = \min_{Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})} \|X - Y\|$$

I.e. it is the best guess for X , using only the information in \mathcal{G} .

Question:: does it only make sense for L^2 ?

Conditional Expectation

What is $\mathbb{E} X|\mathcal{G}$? It is the \mathcal{G} -measurable r.v. that is closest to X

$$\|X - \mathbb{E} X|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^2} = \min_{Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})} \|X - Y\|$$

I.e. it is the best guess for X , using only the information in \mathcal{G} .

Question:: does it only make sense for L^2 ?

Lemma 1

If $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, then $\mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]| \leq \mathbb{E} |X|$

Proof. Use that $Z = \text{sgn} Y$, where $Y = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable.

Note that $L^2 \subseteq L^1$ is dense; given $X \in L^1$, $X \mathbf{1}_{|X| \leq n}$ is bounded and in L^2 , and $\|X - X \mathbf{1}_{|X| \leq n}\|_{L^1} = \mathbb{E} |X| \mathbf{1}_{|X| > n} \rightarrow 0$ by DCT.

Extension to L^1 r.v.

Definition 2

If $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]$ as follows:

Take any sequence $X_n \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. $\|X_n - X\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X] := L^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X_n]$

- Note that $\{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X_n]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is L^1 -Cauchy.
- if $X_n, Y_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1 , then

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X_n] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[Y_n]\|_{L^1} \leq \|X_n - Y_n\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

therefore, it is well-defined.

Averaging property

Proposition 4

For $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]$ is the unique $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ random variable with the property:

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X] Y = \mathbb{E} X Y \quad \forall Y \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{G}) \cdots (\dagger)$$

Proof. For the converse, take $Y = \text{sgn}(Z_1 - Z_2) \mathbf{1}_{|Z_1 - Z_2| \leq n} \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$

Averaging property

Proposition 4

For $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]$ is the unique $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ random variable with the property:

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X] Y = \mathbb{E} X Y \quad \forall Y \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{G}) \cdots (\dagger)$$

Proof. For the converse, take $Y = \text{sgn}(Z_1 - Z_2) \mathbf{1}_{|Z_1 - Z_2| \leq n} \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$

Theorem 2 (Properties of Conditional Expectation)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ a sub σ -field. The linear transformation $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies:

- 1 (Monotonicity) if $X \leq Y$ a.s. then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[Y]$ a.s.
- 2 (\triangle -ineq) $|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]| \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[|X|]$
- 3 (Averaging) $\mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X] Y = \mathbb{E} X Y \quad \forall Y \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$
- 4 (Product Rule) If $Y \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[XY] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X] Y$
- 5 (Tower Property) If $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ are all σ -fields, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}[X]] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}[X]$$

Averaging property

Lemma 2

$Z = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]$ if and only if $Z \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\mathbb{E} Z : B = \mathbb{E} X : B \forall B \in \mathcal{G}$

Proof. For the converse, use the Dynkin's Multiplicative System theorem.

Conditional Expectation

Theorem 3

$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies the standard integral convergence results:

- (cMCT) If $0 \leq X_n \leq X_{n+1}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X_n] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n]$ a.s.
- (CFatou) If $X_n \geq 0$ a.s, then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X_n]$ a.s
- (cDCT) If $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s. and $|X_n| \leq Y \in L^1$ a.s., then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]$ a.s. and L^1 .

Theorem 4 (Conditional Jensen's Inequality)

Let $X_1 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is sub- σ -field. If $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, and $\varphi(X) \in L^1$, then

$\varphi(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\varphi(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [\varphi(X)]$ a.s.

Corollary 1

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} : L^p \rightarrow L^p$ is a contraction.

Proof. Given convex $\varphi_p(x) = |x|^p$, $\varphi_p(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [\varphi_p(X)]$

$$\implies \|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}} [X]\|_p \leq \|X\|_p$$

Conditional Expectation and Independence

$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[X]$ is the best guess at X using only information in \mathcal{G} . What if \mathcal{G} has no information about X ?

Proposition 5

Let $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B})$ be a random variable, and let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ -field. If $\sigma(X), \mathcal{G}$ are independent, and $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $f(X) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E} f(X) \text{ a.s. } \cdots (\dagger)$$

Conversely, if \dagger holds for all $f \in \mathbb{B}(S, \mathcal{B})$, then $\sigma(X), \mathcal{G}$ are independent.

Conditional expectation and independence

If X, Y are independent, Y is constant w.r.t X . We can make this precise as follows:

Proposition 6

Let $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}), Y : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (T, \mathcal{C})$ be random variables. let \mathbb{P} be a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . If X, Y are independent, and $f \in \mathbb{B}(S \times T, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C})$, then

$$\mathbb{E} f(X, Y) | X = x = \mathbb{E} f(x, Y)$$

$$\mathbb{E} f(X, Y) | X = \mathbb{E} f(x, Y) |_{x=X}$$

Question: What form the conditional expectation has w.r.t. the joint density $\rho(x, y)$?

Conditional expectation and density

Proposition 7

Let (X, Y) have density $\rho = \rho_{X,Y}$. Let $\rho_X(x) = \int \rho_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dy$ be the marginal density of X . Define

$$\rho_{Y|X}(y|x) := \mathbf{1}_{0 < \rho_X < \infty} \frac{\rho_{X,Y}(x, y)}{\rho_X(x)}$$

Then for $f \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\mathbb{E} f(X, Y) | X = g(X), \quad g(x) = \int f(x, y) \rho_{Y|X}(y|x) \, dy$$

Proof. Note that we see that g satisfies

$$g(x) \rho_X(x) = \int f(x, y) \rho_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dy \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}$$