Random Variable Control

1 Maximum of i.i.d gaussian

Let ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_k be k independent samples from $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max\left\{\xi_1^2,\dots,\xi_k^2\right\}\right] \le 2\log(2k) \tag{.1}$$

2 Union bound for partial sums

2.1 Etemadi's inequality

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables. For $i \in [n]$, let $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^i X_j$ denote the partial sum up to i. Then for all $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\Pr\left[\max_{i=1}^{n} |Y_i| > 3 \cdot \alpha\right] \le 3 \cdot \max_{i=1}^{n} \Pr[|Y_i| > \alpha]. \tag{.2}$$

【 Proof Sketch. $\mathbb{P}[|Y_i| > \alpha]$ term을 얻기 위해서 $|Y_i - Y_n|$ 과 $|Y_i|$ 사이의 independence를 사용함. 그리고 partial sum의 maximum과 각 partial sum을 연결하기 위해서 i번째 partial sum의 처음으로 3α 보다 큰 event로 분해함. (Detail)

3 Random Singed Summation Bound

3.1 Khintchine inequality

Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^N$ be i.i.d. Rademacher random variables. Let $0 and let <math>x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$A_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \le \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \le B_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{.3}$$

4 Summation Bound

4.1 Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality

If X_i , i = 1, ..., n are independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^p], 1 , then$

$$A_p \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^2\right)^{p/2}\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right|^p\right] \le B_p \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^2\right)^{p/2}\right] \tag{.4}$$

where A_p and B_p are positive constants, which depend only on p. for some constants A_p , B_p depending only on p.

4.2 Latala's inequality

If $p \geq 2$ and X, X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. mean 0 random variables, then we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right\|_{L^p} \sim \sup \left\{ \frac{p}{s} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right)^{1/s} \left\| X \right\|_{L^s} \, \left| \, \max \left\{ 2, \frac{p}{n} \right\} \le s \le p \right\} \right. \tag{.5}$$

5 Concentration inequality

5.1 Bernstein's inequality

Let $X_1, \ldots X_n$ be independent random variables. Assume $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0, \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma_i^2$, and $\Pr[|X_i| \le 1] = 1$ for each $i \in [n]$. Let $\sigma^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$. Then for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ge t\right] \le \exp\left(\frac{-3t^2}{6\sigma^2 + 2t}\right) \tag{.6}$$

Proof Sketch. First bound the MGF of each X_i using taylor expansion. Then use Markov inequality for $\Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \geq t\right]$ with $\exp(\lambda \cdot)$ and minimize the upper bound with λ . Then use the following lemma to finish the proof.

Lemma. Let v > -1. Then $(1+v)\log(1+v) \ge v + \frac{3v^2}{2v+6}$

5.2 Matrix version Bernstein's inequality

Let **B** a fixed $q \times d$ matrix. Construct $q \times d$ matrix **R** such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}] = \mathbf{B}, \quad \|\mathbf{R}\|_{\text{op}} \le L \tag{.7}$$

Form the matrix sampling estimator

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{R}}_{m} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{R}_{i}, \tag{.8}$$

where each R_i is an independent copy of R. Then for every t > 0, the estimator satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\bar{\mathbf{R}}_m - \mathbf{B}\right\|_{\text{op}} \ge t\right] \le (q+d) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-mt^2}{m_2(\mathbf{R}) + 2Lt/3}\right),\tag{.9}$$

where $m_2(R)$ is the second moment $m_2(R) = \max \left\{ \|\mathbb{E}[R^*R]\|_{\text{op}}, \|\mathbb{E}[RR^*]\|_{\text{op}} \right\}$.

5.3 Hoeffding's inequality

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables such that $a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i$ a.s. Then for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n] \ge t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right),\tag{.10}$$

where $S_n = X_1 + \dots X_n$. Also, consider a set of r i.i.d. random variables $X_1, \dots X_r$ such that $-\Delta \leq X_i \leq \Delta$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ for each $i \in [r]$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^r X_i$. Then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$

$$\mathbb{P}[|M| > \alpha] \le 2\exp(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2r\Lambda^2}) \tag{.11}$$

The proof uses the following:

Lemma. Let X be any real-valued random variable such that $a \leq X \leq b$ a.s. Then. for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}] \le \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 (b - a)^2}{8}\right) \tag{.12}$$

Note: conditional expectation also works for the lemma.

5.4 Variance-only form

Consider a set of r independent random variables $X_1, \dots X_r$. Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i$. Then for $\alpha \in (0, 2\text{Var}[M]/(\max_i |X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]|))$

$$\mathbb{P}[|M - \mathbb{E}[M]| > \alpha] \le 2 \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha^2}{4\sum_{i=1}^r \text{Var}[X_i]}\right). \tag{.13}$$

5.5 Paley-Zygmund inequality

If $Z \geq 0$ is a random variable with finite variance, and if $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(Z > \theta \,\mathbb{E}[Z]) \ge (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]^2}{\mathbb{E}[Z^2]} \tag{.14}$$

5.6 Max of independent Gaussians

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\max(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \sqrt{2\log(n)} + o(\sqrt{\log(n)}) \tag{.15}$$

5.7 DKW inequality

DKW inequality provides a bound on the worst-case distance of empirical CDF and the true CDF:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|F_n(x)-F(x)|>\varepsilon\right)\leq Ce^{-2n\varepsilon^2}\quad\text{for every }\varepsilon>0.$$
 (.16)

For multivariate case, let X_1, X_2, \dots, x_n be an i.i.d. sequence of k-dimensional vectors,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^k}|F_n(t)-F(t)|>\varepsilon\right)\leq (n+1)ke^{-2n\varepsilon^2}\tag{.17}$$

for every $\varepsilon, n, k > 0$.

Also see local DKW inequality.

Steinke version. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables with CDF $f(v) := \mathbb{P}[X_i \leq v]$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Let the empirical CDF be $F_x(v) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}[X_i \leq v]$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $\beta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_X \left[\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}} F_X(v) - f(v) \le \sqrt{\frac{2\log(1/\beta)}{n}} + \frac{\log(1/\beta)}{2n} \right] \ge 1 - \beta. \tag{.18}$$

Lemma. For all $t, \lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{v\in\mathbb{R}} F_x(v)\log\left(1+\frac{t}{f(v)}\right) > \frac{\lambda}{n}\right] \le (1+t)^n e^{-\lambda} \le e^{tn-\lambda}.$$
 (.19)

Note: maximum이 bound되는 event 확률 구할 때는 martingale construction해서 optional stopping theorem적용하는 것도 좋음 ㅋ Lemma에서는 bionamial exponent에 놓아서 martingale 만듬.

5.8 Quadratic form

Definition (Subgaussian random variable). A centered random variable X is said to be v-subgaussian if its cumulant generating function is subquadratic:

$$\xi_X(t) \le \frac{1}{2}vt^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{.20}$$

5.9 Hanson-Wright tail bound

Let x be a random vector with independent centered v-subgaussian entries and let A be a square matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}]\right| \ge t\right) \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{c \cdot t^{2}}{v^{2} \left\|\boldsymbol{A}\right\|_{F}^{2} + v\left\|\boldsymbol{A}\right\| t}\right),\tag{.21}$$

where c > 0 is a constant independent of v, x, t or A.

5.10 Gaussian CCDF bound

$$1 - \Phi(w) \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{w\sqrt{2\pi}}\right\} e^{-w^2/2}, \quad w > 0$$
 (.22)

5.11 McDiarmid's inequality

A function $f: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \dots \mathcal{X}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the bounded differences property if substituting the value of the *i*th coordinate x_i changes the value of f by at most c_i . More formally, if there are constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n such that for all $i \in [n]$, and all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}_3$,

$$\sup_{x_i' \in \mathcal{X}_i} |f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)| \le c_i$$
 (.23)

Let $f: \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the bounded differences property with bounds c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n .

Consider independent random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n where $X_i \in C_i$ for all i. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \ge \varepsilon) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right), \quad (.24)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \le -\varepsilon) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right) \tag{.25}$$

and as an immediate consequence,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots X_n)]| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right) \tag{.26}$$

6 Decoupling lemma

6.1 Quadratic form

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Let $X_1, \dots X_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be independent mean-zero random variables. For $i, j \in [n]$, let $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\sum_{i\neq j}a_{ij}X_iX_j\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(4\sum_{i\neq j}a_{ij}X_iX_j'\right)\right],\tag{.27}$$

where X'_1, \ldots, X'_n are independent copies of X_1, \ldots, X_n .

Note: We can analyze x^*Ax by x^*Ax' with independent x'.

7 Global variance control

7.1 Efron-Stein inequality

For $i \in [n]$ and tuple $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$, let $Z^{(i)}$ denote the tuple $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{i-1}, \tilde{Z}_i, Z_{i+1}, \ldots, Z_n)$, where \tilde{Z}_i is an independent copy of Z_i . For a scalar function f(Z), the Efron-Stein inequality states that

$$\operatorname{Var}[f(Z)] = \mathbb{E}[(f(Z) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)])^{2}] \le \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(Z) - f(Z^{(i)})\right)^{2}\right]$$
(.28)

$$\stackrel{\dagger}{=} \underbrace{\sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(Z) - E_i[f(Z^{(i)}])\right)^2\right]}_{\text{sum of conditional variance}} \tag{.29}$$

† : Note that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_{-i} \mathbb{E}_i, \mathbb{E}[f(Z^{(i)}) \mid Z] = \mathbb{E}_i[f(Z^{(i)})]$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[(Z - \mathbb{E}[Z])^2] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(Z - Z^{(i)})^2]$$
 (.30)

8 Information

8.1 Fano's inequality

Let $X \in \{0,1\}^d$ be uniformly random and let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random variable that depends on X.

If $\mathbb{E}[\|X - Y\|_1] \leq \alpha \cdot d$ for $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$I(X;Y) \ge d \cdot D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\mathrm{Ber}(\alpha)||\mathrm{Ber}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right).$$
 (.31)

9 Do you like martingale?

9.1 Tail Distribution

Let X be a nonnegative cadlag submartingale. Then, for each K, t > 0,

$$K\mathbb{P}(X_t^* \ge K) \le \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_t^* > K\}} X_t] \tag{.32}$$

10 Stochastic Dominance

10.1 Definition

Let $X,Y \in \mathbb{R}$ be random variables. We say X is stochastically dominated by Y if $\mathbb{P}[X > t] \leq \mathbb{P}[Y > t]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, X is stochastically dominated by Y if there exists a coupling such that $\mathbb{P}[X \leq Y] = 1$.

10.2 SD is preserved under sums/convolutions

Lemma. Suppose X_1 is stochastically dominate by Y_1 . Suppose that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the conditional distribution $X_2|X_1 = x$ is stochastically dominated by Y_2 . Assume that Y_1 and Y_2 are independent. Then $X_1 + X_2$ is stochastically dominated by $Y_1 + Y_2$.