# TTM4205: Weekly Problems Fall 2024

Tjerand Silde and Caroline Sandsbråten

{tjerand.silde, caroline.sandsbraten}@ntnu.no

# Assignment

This is one out of three assignments in the course TTM4205 Secure Cryptographic Implementations (ttm4205.iik.ntnu.no) during fall semester of 2024.

This assignment has to be solved *individually*, and the solutions must be *your* own. It is, however, allowed to discuss the problems with other students and ask for hints or pointers from the course staff.

The assignment contains problems related to most of the main topics from the lectures, requiring both mathematical and coding skills. A selection of the problems is taken from cryptohack.org. We recommend using Python or Sage to implement your solutions.

All problems require detailed answers where you describe and document what you have done to complete the task, e.g., written explanations, calculations, code, graphs, etc. It is *allowed* to rely on external resources; however, these resources must be *clearly* referred to. Otherwise, it will be considered cheating; see i.ntnu.no/wiki/-/wiki/English/Cheating+on+exams.

All submissions must be written in LATEX, and we provide a mandatory template to be used at overleaf.com/read/xxnmbmnpxxfq#6ce4e3.

This assignment counts for at most 40 points, and each topic is marked with how many points it is worth, roughly estimating how much work is expected. Bonus problems are not expected to be solved but can give 2 additional points each to make up for missed points elsewhere in the assignment. We also give full or partial credit if you show that you understand a problem and made an attempt to solve it even if you are not able to solve it entirely.

Submission deadline: December 6th at 23:59 in Ovsys2.

# Contents

1.2 The Next of 1.3 This Destroys 1.4 ElGusto El-1.5 Ron was Word 1.6 No Random 1.7 Lo-Hi Card 1.8 Bonus Prob	random, I promise!"  f Your Kind  oyes the Schnorr Cryptosystem  Gamal	3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6
1.2 The Next of 1.3 This Destroys 1.4 ElGusto El-1.5 Ron was Word 1.6 No Random 1.7 Lo-Hi Card 1.8 Bonus Prob	of Your Kind  oyes the Schnorr Cryptosystem  Gamal  frong, Whit is Right  n, No Bias  Game  olems  st Games  ne and Prejudice  o (4 points)	3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6
1.4 ElGusto Electric Ron was W 1.6 No Randon 1.7 Lo-Hi Card 1.8 Bonus Prob	Gamal Grong, Whit is Right  n, No Bias Game blems st Games ne and Prejudice a vs. RNG  (4 points)	4 5 5 5 6 6
1.4 ElGusto Electric Ron was W 1.6 No Randon 1.7 Lo-Hi Card 1.8 Bonus Prob	Gamal Grong, Whit is Right  n, No Bias Game blems st Games ne and Prejudice a vs. RNG  (4 points)	4 5 5 5 6 6
<ul><li>1.5 Ron was W</li><li>1.6 No Randon</li><li>1.7 Lo-Hi Card</li><li>1.8 Bonus Prob</li></ul>	rong, Whit is Right  n, No Bias  Game  Clems  St Games  ne and Prejudice  A vs. RNG  O (4 points)	5 5 5 6 6
<ul><li>1.6 No Randon</li><li>1.7 Lo-Hi Card</li><li>1.8 Bonus Prob</li></ul>	n, No Bias	5 5 6 6
<ul><li>1.7 Lo-Hi Card</li><li>1.8 Bonus Prob</li></ul>	Game  blems  st Games  ne and Prejudice  vs. RNG  (4 points)	5 5 6 6
1.8 Bonus Prob	olems	5 6 6
	st Games	6 6
1.8.1 Trus	ne and Prejudice	6
	A vs. RNG	
	· · ·	6
2 Legacy Crypto	· · ·	
	«ce	6
•		7
	olems	7
	hing up my Sleeve	7
	Ving Problems	7
3 Protocols API	s (10 points)	8
	nce, Fool Me Twice	8
	Bites the Dust	8
v	e, Parts of You	8
		9
	$_{ m pt}$	9
4 Padding Oracl	es (4 points)	10
	· - /	10
	7er	10
	olems	10
	er Plane	10
5 Commitments	and Zero-Knowledge (4 points)	10
	Backdoor	10
	al an Election	11
6 Protocol Comp	position (2 points)	12
	iac 1:	12
6.2 Bonus Prob		12
	galomaniac 2:	12
	galomaniac 3:	12

# 1 Randomness (16 points)

### 1.1 "It is truly random, I promise!"

Intel published a cryptography library with the following C++ code snippet:

**Question 1**: Give a high-level explanation of each line of code.

Question 2: This code was used to generate cryptographic keys, which are supposed to be of 128 bits entropy. However, there are two *catastrophic failures* in this snippet. What is wrong?

Question 3: Describe (in words and/or pseudocode) how to fix this.

### 1.2 The Next of Your Kind

Let nextPrime be a function that takes as input an integer x and outputs the smallest prime p such that p > x. Let the RSA-3072 key generation procedure be implemented using a secure random generator as follows:

- 1. Securely sample a 1536 bit integer x using a TRNG.
- 2. Compute the first prime p = nextPrime(x).
- 3. Compute the second prime  $q = \mathsf{nextPrime}(p)$ .
- 4. Output the RSA-3072 modulus  $n = p \cdot q$ .

**Question 1**: Describe an efficient attack against a RSA-3072 modulus n computed using the above procedure.

Question 2: How can you update the procedure to generate a key securely?

### 1.3 This Destroyes the Schnorr Cryptosystem

Let  $\mathbb{G}_p$  be a group of prime order p and let g be a generator for  $\mathbb{G}_p$ . Denote by pp the public parameters  $(\mathbb{G}_p, g, p)$ . Let the secret key  $sk \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  be sampled uniformly at random, and let the public key be  $pk = g^{sk}$ , where pk is publicly available. Let H be a cryptographic hash function that outputs elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The Schnorr signature of a message m is computed as:

- 1. Sample uniformly random  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  and compute commitment  $R = g^r$ .
- 2. Compute the output hashed challenge c = H(pp, pk, m, R).
- 3. Compute the response  $z = r c \cdot \mathsf{sk} \mod p$ . Output  $\sigma = (c, z)$ .

To verify the signature, one computes  $R'=g^z\cdot\mathsf{pk}^c$  and checks if challenge  $c\stackrel{?}{=} H(\mathsf{pp},\mathsf{pk},m,R')$ . If correct, one accepts and otherwise rejects.

We consider the scheme to be broken if an adversary is able to extract the secret key or forge signatures without knowing the secret key.

Question 1: How can we break the Schnorr signature scheme if the key sk is sampled using a low-entropy randomness source? How can you break it if the randomness r is sampled using a low-entropy randomness source?

Question 2: How can we break the Schnorr signature scheme if randomness r is re-used to produce signatures on different messages m and m'?

Question 3: How can we create a valid Schnorr signature without knowing sk if a weak hash function H outputs easily predictable challenges c?

Question 4: What are possible ways to mitigate the above weaknesses?

### 1.4 ElGusto ElGamal

Let  $\mathbb{G}_p$  be a group of prime order p and let g be a generator for  $\mathbb{G}_p$ . Let the secret key  $\mathsf{sk} \leftarrow \mathbb{S}_p$  be sampled uniformly at random and let the public key be computed as  $\mathsf{pk} = g^{\mathsf{sk}}$ , where  $\mathsf{pk}$  is publicly available. The ElGamal encryption and decryption of a message  $m \in \mathbb{G}_p$  is computed as:

Enc: Sample a random  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  and compute  $X = g^x$  and  $Y = \mathsf{pk}^x \cdot m$ .

Dec: Decrypt the ciphertext (X,Y) to get the messages as  $m = Y \cdot X^{-sk}$ .

We denote  $\mathsf{ctx} = (X, Y)$  and consider the scheme to be broken if an adversary is able to extract the secret key or can learn something about the encrypted messages (breaking the IND-CPA security of the ElGamal scheme).

Question 1: How can you break the ElGamal encryption scheme if the key sk is sampled using a low-entropy randomness source? How can you break it if the randomness x is sampled using a low-entropy randomness source?

Question 2: What can you learn from two ElGamal ciphertexts if the same randomness x is used to encrypt two different messages m and m'?

### 1.5 Ron was Wrong, Whit is Right

In this challenge, you get a bunch of public RSA keys. Can you decrypt any of the messages?

*Hint:* There is seemingly little wrong with the challenge generation file. However, a quick Google search might provide useful.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Ron was wrong, Whit is right in the RSA category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 1.6 No Random, No Bias

Try your hands on a famous attack we've covered in the lectures! Except, this time, we're using deterministic signatures, to be sure there's no bias in the randomness. Just don't use the solution to steal Bitcoins and become a cyber-criminal... or do, I don't really care...

Hint (ROT13): Abgvpr gung gur bhgchg fvmr bs FUN-1 vf 160 ovgf. Guhf, gur fbyhgvba gb guvf punyyratr jnf pbirerq ol Pnebyvar va gur guveq yrpgher.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge No Random, No Bias in the Elliptic Curve category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 1.7 Lo-Hi Card Game

A Casino is using a homemade PRNG for shuffling their decks. Can you use this to pull off a great heist?

Hint: This challenge (and many future challenges) features interaction with a remote server. If you are using Python to solve this challenge, a good option for easy socket interaction is using the library pwntools.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Lo-Hi Card Game in the Misc category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 1.8 Bonus Problems

#### 1.8.1 Trust Games

Given that you stole all their money, it would only be fair if you would test their new PRNG pro bono.

Question: Find the challenge **Trust Games** in the **Misc** category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 1.8.2 Prime and Prejudice

Can you construct a composite number that the Miller-Rabin test marks as a prime?

Question: Find the challenge **Prime and Prejudice** in the **Mathematics** category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

#### 1.8.3 RSA vs. RNG

Try to break this poorly generated RSA key.

Question: Find the challenge RSA vs. RNG in the Misc category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

# 2 Legacy Crypto (4 points)

### 2.1 Export Grade

Alice and Bob are both supporting lots of parameters for nice, backward compatibility! You've MITM'd their communication. Can you use this to recover the flag?

Hint 1: We know that the flag was encrypted with the following code:

```
import hashlib, os
         from Crypto.Cipher import AES
         def encrypt_flag(FLAG, shared_secret: int):
         # Derive AES key from shared secret
         sha1 = hashlib.sha1()
         sha1.update(str(shared_secret).encode('ascii'))
         key = sha1.digest()[:16]
         # Encrypt flag
         iv = os.urandom(16)
10
         cipher = AES.new(key, AES.MODE_CBC, iv)
11
         ciphertext = cipher.encrypt(FLAG)
         # Prepare data to send
         data = \{\}
14
         data['iv'] = iv.hex()
15
         data['encrypted_flag'] = ciphertext.hex()
16
         return data
```

Hint 2 (ROT13): Fntrzngu pna fbyir qvfpergr ybtf va snveyl ovt svryqf irel dhvpxyl. Hfr 'S = TS(c)' gb vafgnagvngr n svavgr svryq, gura 'ybt(S(l), S(t))' gb pbzchgr k fhpu gung  $t^k = l$ 

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Export Grade in the Diffie-Hellman category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

#### 2.2 Oh SNAP!

Can you break a classic cipher used for years, famously breaking one of Kerckhoffs principles?

Hint (ROT13): Ybbx sbe vzcyrzragngvbaf bs gur "Syhuere, Znagva naq Funzve nggnpx" bayvar.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Oh SNAP! in the Symmetric Ciphers category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 2.3 Bonus Problems

### 2.3.1 Nothing up my Sleeve

The casino is back yet again. This time using a real-world, provably secure PRNG! Surely, you can't swindle them yet again??

Question: Find the challenge Nothing up my Sleeve in the Misc category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 2.3.2 MOVing Problems

The famous MOV-attack prevents the use of supersingular elliptic curves in discrete-log based systems. Luckily, this curve is ordinary, so there should be no problems.

Question: Find the challenge MOVing Problems in the Elliptic Curve category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

# 3 Protocols APIs (10 points)

### 3.1 Fool Me Once, Fool Me Twice

Let the Schnorr signature scheme be defined as earlier but with a slight change: the randomness r is not sampled randomly but deterministically computed as the hash of the secret key and the message, i.e., r = H(sk, m).

Let Sign be an API where a client inputs an identity id, a message m and a public key  $pk_{id}$  and the server uses the secret key  $sk_{id}$  corresponding to id (e.g. stored in a hardware security module) and output a signature  $\sigma$ .

Question 1: How can malicious clients use this API to extract secret keys?

Question 2: How can we protect this signing API against such attacks?

### 3.2 Faulty RSA Bites the Dust

Let (n, e) be a public RSA signature verification key and (n, e') a public RSA encryption key for the same user, where  $n = p \cdot q$  for secret prime numbers p, q and corresponding secret signing key d and decryption key d'.

Assume that the signing API Sign is implemented in a faulty way so that the signing key d leaks to malicious clients.

Question 1: How can the knowledge of the signing key d be used to decrypt messages encrypted using the public encryption key (n, e')?

Question 2: Assume that there is a bug in the implementation so that  $\sigma_p \equiv \mu(m)^{d_p} \mod p$  but  $\sigma_q \not\equiv \mu(m)^{d_q} \mod q$ . Show how the faulty signature  $\sigma$ , where  $\mu(m) \not\equiv \sigma^e \mod n$ , can be used to factor n.

Question 3: What are possible ways of avoiding the above RSA issues?

### 3.3 Parts of Me, Parts of You

Let  $E_{a,b}: y^2 = x^3 + a \cdot x + b$  be an elliptic curve over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of prime order p where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and the elliptic curve group  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  consists of the point at infinity  $\mathcal{O}$  and all pairs  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$  that satisfy the curve equation of  $E_{a,b}$ . Denote the number of points in  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  by  $\eta_{a,b}$  and note that  $\eta_{a,b}$  does not necessarily have to be a prime number.

Given two points  $P = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $Q = (x_2, y_2)$  in  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , then we compute the sum P + Q in the following way:

- 1. If  $P = \mathcal{O}$ , output Q. If  $Q = \mathcal{O}$ , output P.
- 2. If  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_2 = -y_1$ , then output  $\mathcal{O}$ .

3. Otherwise, let  $x_3 = \lambda^2 - x_1 - x_2$  and  $y_3 = -y_1 - \lambda \cdot (x_3 - x_1)$ , where

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1} & \text{if } P = Q\\ \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and output  $R = (x_3, y_3)$ .

Let q be a large prime such that  $\eta_{a,b} = q \cdot h$ , then  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  has a subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_q$  of order q such that  $q \cdot P = \mathcal{O}$  if P is in  $\mathbb{G}_q$ . Here, h is called the co-factor, and is often a very small value compared to q.

The double-and-add algorithm can be used to efficiently compute the scalar multiplication  $Q = s \cdot P$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $P \in \mathbb{G}_q$  in at most  $2 \log_2 q$  additions. The discrete logarithm problem says it is hard to find s given P and Q.

Note that for each choice of a and b we get a new elliptic curve group of different size  $\eta_{a,b}$  where  $\eta_{a,b}$  is roughly of the size p. We can efficiently compute  $\eta_{a,b}$  using Schoof's algorithm.

Let sMult be an API that takes a point P as input and outputs a point  $Q = s \cdot P$  for a fixed and secret value s of high entropy.

Question 1: Assume that sMult checks that P is on the curve  $E_{a,b}$  but forget to check if it is the in the correct subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_q$ . How can a malicious client learn something about s in a API query?

Question 2: Describe an attack that completely breaks the sMult API when it is not checking if P is on  $E_{a,b}$  and explain why it works.

## 3.4 Curveball

Can you prove that you own a public ECDSA key, by giving the corresponding private key? This attack is so stupid, it could not have occurred in the real world, right? RIGHT??!

Recommended listening while solving: Aretha Franklin - Chain of Fools

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Curveball in the Elliptic Curve category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 3.5 Let's Decrypt

In this challenge, you should make a given RSA signature verify a different message. Luckily, the RSA signing operation is a bijection from  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  to itself, so this should be impossible.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Let's Decrypt in the RSA category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

# 4 Padding Oracles (4 points)

### 4.1 Endless Emails

Here is a classic example you might have seen before in earlier courses of what can go wrong when using RSA without padding.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Endless Emails in the RSA category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

## 4.2 Null or Never

This time, we padded RSA precisely to avoid something similar to the previous attack. Can you still break it?

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Null or Never in the RSA category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 4.3 Bonus Problems

#### 4.3.1 Paper Plane

Can you solve this, using what you have learned?

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Paper Plane in the Symmetric Crypto category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

# 5 Commitments and Zero-Knowledge (4 points)

### 5.1 Trapdoor Backdoor

Let  $\mathbb{G}_p$  be a group of prime order p and let g and h be independent generators for  $\mathbb{G}_p$ . Let m be a message and w be uniform randomness, both elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . A Pedersen commitment is computed as  $\mathsf{com} = g^m h^w$ .

A commitment is *hiding* if it is hard to decide if **com** is a commitment to m or if **com** is sampled uniformly at random from  $\mathbb{G}_p$ . A commitment is *binding* if it is hard to find to valid openings (m, w) and (m', w') such that  $\mathsf{com} = q^m h^w = q^{m'} h^{w'}$  and  $m \neq m'$ .

Question 1: Provide some simple or high-level arguments to explain why the Pedersen commitment is both hiding and binding.

Question 2: Let  $g = h^t$ . Show how the knowledge of t can break binding.

**Question 3**: How can we ensure that g and h are independently sampled so that no one knows a value t such that  $g = h^t$ ?

#### 5.2 How to Steal an Election

Let the ElGamal encryption scheme be defined as earlier. A prover has the secret key sk corresponding to the public key pk and wants to prove in zero-knowledge that m is the correct decryption of a ciphertext  $\mathsf{ctx} = (X, Y)$ .

This can be used in an electronic voting scheme where we want to prove that the tally is correct without making the decryption key publicly available.

The decryption proof is computed as follows, where  $pp = (\mathbb{G}_p, g, p, pk)$  is public information (the public key pk is fixed but the ciphertext ctx is not):

- 1. Compute  $T = X^{sk}$ , such that the decrypted message is  $m = Y \cdot T^{-1}$ .
- 2. Sample a uniformly random  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  and compute  $R = g^r$  and  $S = X^r$ .
- 3. Compute the hashed challenge as c = H(pp, X, Y, R, S, T).
- 4. Compute the response  $z = r c \cdot \mathsf{sk} \mod p$ . Define proof  $\pi = (T, c, z)$ .
- 5. The prover outputs ctx and  $\pi$  for anyone to verify the correctness.

To verify the proof  $\pi$  one computes  $R'=g^z\cdot\operatorname{pk}^c$  and  $S'=X^z\cdot T^c$  and checks if  $c=\operatorname{H}(\operatorname{pp},X,Y,R',S',T)$ . If correct, one outputs  $m=Y\cdot T^{-1}$  and otherwise rejects. The proof is similar to Schnorr signatures, proving that  $\log_g\operatorname{pk}=\log_XT$ , and then  $m=Y\cdot T^{-1}$  is the correctly decrypted message.

In this problem we assume that the malicious prover knows the secret key sk, but want to provide a seemingly valid proof that the ElGamal ciphertext ctx = (X, Y) decrypts to a different message m' than the real plaintext m.

Question 1: Assume  $c = \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{pp}, Y, R, S, T)$ , where the ciphertext component X is not included. How can a malicious prover change the ciphertext and create an accepting proof that it decrypts to a chosen message  $m' \neq m$ ?

Question 2: Assume that  $c = \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{pp}, X, Y, R, S)$ , where the decryption component T is not included. How can a malicious prover create an accepting proof where the given ciphertext decrypts to a random message  $m' \neq m$ ?

# 6 Protocol Composition (2 points)

# 6.1 Megalomaniac 1:

The first challenge, introducing a simplified Mega vulnerability.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Megalomaniac 1 in the Crypto on the web category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

#### 6.2 Bonus Problems

# 6.2.1 Megalomaniac 2:

The second challenge is also related to the Mega vulnerability.

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Megalomaniac 2 in the Crypto on the web category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.

### 6.2.2 Megalomaniac 3:

The final challenge, forcing you to limit your number of queries to steal all the data!

Question: Go to cryptohack.org, and find the challenge Megalomaniac 3 in the Crypto on the web category. Solve the challenge and give the flag. How did you solve the challenge? Provide a short write-up, including the main mathematical concepts, and some relevant code snippets.