Freedom Vs Security

freedom and security, which I am going to prove below.

Khai Nguyen

Teacher: Mr Tallman

Due date:2/20/17

There are many problems in the world that requires years, critical peoples in order to solve them, and yet they haven't such as famine, inequality, etc, Freedom and security long has been topic for many political leaders and thinkers throughout centuries, especially for big ones such as United States, which was founded by immigrants from many parts the world. In Western culture, it is often this or another while in many Asian cultures, Yin and Yang represents our thoughts. Being raised in Viet Nam, I believe that the best result is when we achieve the balance of

Firsty, I will explain why having infinite freedom and no security can led to no more freedom. If anyone has so much freedom, he/she will do anything they want and stealing, vandalizing, especially killing is inevitable. In addition, there is no security, so how can one can ensure his/her life? Everyone has to fight for their own life whether they like it or not, as a consequence, less people appear in public, so where is freedom when they cannot go outside freely, while at first this is a world of full freedom and no laws? Let's have a brief look at history, we will examine The-Warring-States Period as an example of extreme freedom. It was a time when there were no rulers, no laws to ensure citizen's life, each men thrived for his glory and power, one may die at any time if he was reckless. In conclusion, having too much freedom without any security will lead to less freedom and, also, no security eventually.

Secondly, having too much securities, too many laws in our lives will limits our freedom to enjoy a true life. In a society that has too many laws, what we do will be strictly examined and even any small things will be considered against it. Therefore, how can we enjoy a true life when there are so few things we are allow to do? Shi Huangdi unified China by harsh rules and punishments after The-Warring-States Period, I think it works because it was no rule after all before Shi Huangdi applies Legalism. However, we can see that the emperor was unpopular, punishment was more than just an eye for an eye, but rather dead even if you commit small things in modern respective. In addition, people had to work had but paid little wage. The regime was overthrown by Han Dynasty, a longest empire ever in China history.

Nowadays, there are many issues that involves in this topic. One of them is Trump's immigration bans on several countries like Iraq, Iran, Syria, Yemen, Sudan, etc. He is tightening the rules in order to reduces terorrism from entering the US while allow others countries to remain the same. Banning gun versus shooting rate is always a controversial topic, Americans are always disagree on this subject and many people had been killed. If the government ban gun, many people will argue that there are no Freedom and if gun are freely sold and sell on the market, where is security? These are not examples that freedom and security are balanced out each other, although it seems like that. Trump bans countries that have no terorrism records on United States for several years and the gun industry is backed up by some big boys who are sitting on the Government rooms, these problems are complexed than it seems to be. However, it is still better if one side is bigger than other.

As many examples above, I believe that if you have too much security, it will leads to limit

freedom and vice versa. Not only that, it can also limits itself, as proved above from painful history examples.. History had shown that this is unachieveable, as Communism Theory created by Karl and Max. True Communism was never achieved because it is where people can work anytime they like, anything they want but still have a balance social life. Extreme security/freedom can only be achieved if we all not commit anything bad and it goes against human nature. I born at Viet Nam and know that although our country is stated as a communism, but we mixed with democracy and others forms of governments.

In conclusion, I don't believe there are any black or white solutions to any social problems. Rather, the most stable solutions may be in the middle, gray, with some risks hiding everywhere, like the classic supply and demand graphs, the equilibrium may fluctuates but it is still stable. In modern societies, especially in democracy one, we have laws but people still have freedom, free speech. However, violences still appear where laws are not covered, guns fight happen across the country, they are risks we have to face, they are prices we have to pay to have this balanced society, rather than societies in the past where one side is extreme and everything collapse.