

# Division Algorithms and Term Orderings for Symbolic Computation

Motivating Gröbner Bases and their computation

Priyank Kalla



Associate Professor  
Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Utah  
kalla@ece.utah.edu  
<http://www.ece.utah.edu/~kalla>

Lectures: Sep 29 - Oct 1, 2014

# Agenda:

- Wish to build a polynomial algebra model for hardware
- Modulo arithmetic model is versatile: can represent both *bit-level* and *word-level* constraints
- To build the algebraic/modulo arithmetic model:
  - Rings, Fields, Modulo arithmetic
  - Polynomials, Polynomial functions, Polynomial Rings
  - Ideals, Varieties, Symbolic Computing and Gröbner Bases
  - Decision procedures in verification

- Ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  generated by any set of polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s$
- $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \{ \sum_{i=1}^s f_i \cdot h_i : h_i \in R \}$
- Many ideal generators:  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \dots = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$ 
  - Given:  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\} \in R$
  - Gröbner basis:  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  a canonical representation of ideal  $J = \langle F \rangle = \langle G \rangle$
  - Buchberger's algorithm computes a Gröbner basis, which we will study soon
- Variety: the set of all solutions to  $f_1 = \dots = f_s = 0$
- Variety depends on the ideal  $J$ , not just on  $f_1, \dots, f_s$
- $V(f_1, \dots, f_s) = V(g_1, \dots, g_t) = V(J)$

# Some facts about ideals and varieties

- When ideal  $J = \langle 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , then  $J = F[x_1, \dots, x_d]$
- $J = \langle 1 \rangle \iff V(J) = \emptyset$ ; as the polynomial  $1 = 0$  has no solutions
- **Variety:** Set of ALL solutions to a given system of polynomial equations:  $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ 
  - $V(x^2 + y^2 - 1) = \{\text{all points on circle : } x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0\}$
  - $V_{\mathbb{R}}(x^2 + 1) = \emptyset$ ;
  - $V_{\mathbb{C}}(x^2 + 1) = \{(\pm i)\}$
- Important to analyze variety over a specific field ( $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  versus  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ )
- Modern algebraic geometry does not **explicitly solve for the varieties**. Rather, it reasons about the Variety by analyzing the Ideals!
  - Solving for varieties is extremely hard
  - Reasoning about their presence, absence, union/intersection is easier
  - We need to do the same for hardware verification

## Formally define a variety

- Let  $R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  be a ring,  $f \in R$  be a polynomial and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{F}^d$  be a point
- We say that  $f$  **vanishes** on  $\mathbf{a}$  when  $f(\mathbf{a}) = 0$

### Definition

For any ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , the *affine variety* of  $J$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  is:

$$V(J) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}^d : \forall f \in J, f(\mathbf{a}) = 0\}.$$

# Algebraically Closed Fields (ACFs)

- A field  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  is algebraically closed, when every non-constant univariate polynomial  $f \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}[x]$  has a root in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$
- Every field is either algebraically closed, or it is contained in an algebraically closed one
- Algebraically closed fields are infinite fields
- Only over algebraically closed fields can one reason (unambiguously) about presence or absence of solutions (varieties)
  - Many famous mathematical results valid (only!) over ACFs
- Examples:  $\mathbb{R}$  is not ACF as  $V_{\mathbb{R}}(x^2 + 1) = \emptyset$ ;
- $\mathbb{C}$  is ACF; in fact  $\mathbb{C}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{R}$  ( $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ )
- Finite (Galois) fields are NOT ACF!
  - But every GF  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_{p^k}}$ , where  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p^k}}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$
- So how will we reason about  $V_{\mathbb{F}_{2^k}}(J)$ ? We will, using some funky Galois field results (Galois fields are awesome!)

# There's a lot to study about Varieties, but...

- This is a good time to first think in terms of a canonical representation of ideals — i.e. a **Gröbner Bases**
- Recall:
- Given polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  be the given set of polynomials
- Then ideal  $J = \langle F \rangle \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$
- Find another set of polynomials  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  such that:
  - $J = \langle F \rangle = \langle G \rangle$
  - $V(J) = V(\langle F \rangle) = V(\langle G \rangle)$
  - The set  $G$  has some nice properties that  $F$  does not have
  - The set  $G$  is called a **Gröbner basis of ideal  $J$**

# The power of Gröbner bases

- A Gröbner basis  $G$  can help us solve (unambiguously) many polynomial decision questions:

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

## Hilbert's Nullstellensatz: The polynomial SAT/UNSAT problem

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , is  $V(J) = \emptyset$ ?

## The polynomial #SAT problem

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , is  $V(J)$  infinite or finite?  
If finite, then  $|V(J)| = ?$  [i.e. how many solutions to  $V(J)$ ?]

## Elimination ideals: help in solving polynomial equations

Generalize **triangularization** to polynomial equations



# A Gröbner basis example [From Cox/Little/O'Shea]

Solve the system of equations:

$$f_1 : x^2 - y - z - 1 = 0$$

$$f_2 : x - y^2 - z - 1 = 0$$

$$f_3 : x - y - z^2 - 1 = 0$$

Gröbner basis with lex term  
order  $x > y > z$

$$g_1 : x - y - z^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$g_2 : y^2 - y - z^2 - z = 0$$

$$g_3 : 2yz^2 - z^4 - z^2 = 0$$

$$g_4 : z^6 - 4z^4 - 4z^3 - z^2 = 0$$

- Is  $V(\langle G \rangle) = \emptyset$ ? No, because  $G \neq \{1\}$
- $G$  tells me that  $V(\langle G \rangle)$  is finite!
- $G$  is *triangular*: solve  $g_4$  for  $z$ , then  $g_2, g_3$  for  $y$ , and then  $g_1$  for  $x$

To start thinking in terms of Gröbner bases....

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

To start thinking in terms of Gröbner bases....

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

To start thinking in terms of Gröbner bases....

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )

To start thinking in terms of Gröbner bases....

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?

# To start thinking in terms of Gröbner bases....

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$ , obtain quotient and remainder  $(q_1, r_1)$

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$ , obtain quotient and remainder  $(q_1, r_1)$
- Then, divide  $r_1$  by  $f_2$ , obtain  $(q_2, r_2)$

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$ , obtain quotient and remainder  $(q_1, r_1)$
- Then, divide  $r_1$  by  $f_2$ , obtain  $(q_2, r_2)$
- If  $r_2 = 0$ , then  $f = q_1f_1 + q_2f_2$ , so  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ .



## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$ , obtain quotient and remainder  $(q_1, r_1)$
- Then, divide  $r_1$  by  $f_2$ , obtain  $(q_2, r_2)$
- If  $r_2 = 0$ , then  $f = q_1f_1 + q_2f_2$ , so  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ .
- But, what if we divide  $f$  by  $f_2$  first and then by  $f_1$ ?

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$ , obtain quotient and remainder  $(q_1, r_1)$
- Then, divide  $r_1$  by  $f_2$ , obtain  $(q_2, r_2)$
- If  $r_2 = 0$ , then  $f = q_1f_1 + q_2f_2$ , so  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ .
- But, what if we divide  $f$  by  $f_2$  first and then by  $f_1$ ?
- The culprits are: **term ordering issues and the division algorithm**

## Ideal Membership Testing

Given ideal  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and a polynomial  $f$ , is  $f \in J$ ?

Can you think of an approach to decide ideal membership?

- Let  $f = y^2x - x$ ,  $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$ ; ( $y > x$ )
- Is  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$ , obtain quotient and remainder  $(q_1, r_1)$
- Then, divide  $r_1$  by  $f_2$ , obtain  $(q_2, r_2)$
- If  $r_2 = 0$ , then  $f = q_1f_1 + q_2f_2$ , so  $f \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ .
- But, what if we divide  $f$  by  $f_2$  first and then by  $f_1$ ?
- The culprits are: **term ordering issues and the division algorithm**
- Let us study these in detail

# The one variable case of $\mathbb{F}[x]$

- $f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$
- The terms of  $f$  are ordered according to (descending) degrees
- $\deg(f) = n$  is the degree of  $f$
- $lt(f) = a_n x^n$  is the **leading term** of  $f$
- $lm(f) = x^n$  is the **leading monomial** of  $f$  [often also called the leading power of  $f(lp(f))$ ]
- $lc(f) = a_n$  is the **leading coefficient** of  $f$
- $lt, lm, lc$  are the main tools of the division algorithm

## Polynomial Long Division (say, in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ )

Divide  $f$  by  $g$ , get  $q, r$  s.t.  $f = qg + r$ , with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

## Polynomial Long Division (say, in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ )

Divide  $f$  by  $g$ , get  $q, r$  s.t.  $f = qg + r$ , with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

Divide  $f = x^3 - 2x^2 + 2x + 8$  by  $g = 2x^2 + 3x + 1$

## Polynomial Long Division (say, in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ )

Divide  $f$  by  $g$ , get  $q, r$  s.t.  $f = qg + r$ , with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

Divide  $f = x^3 - 2x^2 + 2x + 8$  by  $g = 2x^2 + 3x + 1$

[illegible]

## Polynomial Long Division (say, in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ )

Divide  $f$  by  $g$ , get  $q, r$  s.t.  $f = qg + r$ , with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

Divide  $f = x^3 - 2x^2 + 2x + 8$  by  $g = 2x^2 + 3x + 1$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 2x^2 + 3x + 1) \quad x^3 - 2x^2 + 2x + 8 \\
 \underline{-x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x} \\
 -\frac{7}{2}x^2 + \frac{3}{2}x + 8 \\
 \underline{\frac{7}{2}x^2 + \frac{21}{4}x + \frac{7}{4}} \\
 \frac{27}{4}x + \frac{39}{4}
 \end{array}$$

- Multiply  $g$  by  $\frac{1}{2}x$  and then compute:  $r = f - \frac{1}{2}xg$
- The key step in division:  $r = f - \frac{lt(f)}{lt(g)}g$
- One-step reduction of  $f$  by  $g$  to  $r$ :  $f \xrightarrow{g} r$
- Repeatedly apply reduction:  $f$  reduces to  $g$  modulo  $r$ :  $f \xrightarrow{g}_+ r$



## Division Algorithm is so Simple...

**Inputs:**  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x], g \neq 0$

**Outputs:**  $q, r$  s.t.  $f = qg + r$  with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

1:  $q \leftarrow 0; r \leftarrow f$

2: **while**  $(r \neq 0 \text{ AND } \deg(g) \leq \deg(r))$  **do**

3:  $q \leftarrow q + \frac{\text{lt}(r)}{\text{lt}(g)}$

4:  $r \leftarrow r - \frac{\text{lt}(r)}{\text{lt}(g)} \cdot g$

5: **end while**

6: return  $q, r$ ;

**Algorithm 1:** Univariate Division of  $f$  by  $g$

Run the algorithm on the previous example

Does this algorithm run on  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  as is? Say, over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}[x]$  for the previous example? What about over  $\mathbb{Z}_8[x]$ ?

- Remember: Division is modeled as cancellation of leading terms ( $lt(f)$ ) by leading terms ( $lt(g)$ )
- For  $r = f - \frac{lt(f)}{lt(g)}g = f - \frac{lc(f)}{lc(g)} \cdot \frac{lm(f)}{lm(g)} \cdot g$
- Requires computation of inverse of  $lc(g)$
- This division algorithm works over fields  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ , etc.
- This division algorithm does not always work over  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_n, n \neq p$ .

## Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

## Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?

## Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- $f = f_1 - f_2$ , so surely  $f \in J$ ?

## Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- $f = f_1 - f_2$ , so surely  $f \in J$ ?
- $f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \neq 0$

## Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- $f = f_1 - f_2$ , so surely  $f \in J$ ?
- $f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \neq 0$
- $f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \neq 0$

## Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- $f = f_1 - f_2$ , so surely  $f \in J$ ?
- $f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \neq 0$
- $f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \neq 0$
- What's happening?



# Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- $f = f_1 - f_2$ , so surely  $f \in J$ ?
- $f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \neq 0$
- $f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \neq 0$
- What's happening?
- $F = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is not a Gröbner basis of  $J$

# Application to Ideal Membership Test

- Let  $f = x$ ;  $f_1 = x^2$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
- Is  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ ?
- $f = f_1 - f_2$ , so surely  $f \in J$ ?
- $f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \neq 0$
- $f \xrightarrow{f_2} f \xrightarrow{f_1} f \neq 0$
- What's happening?
- $F = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is not a Gröbner basis of  $J$
- Cannot decide ideal membership without Gröbner basis!

# Gröbner Bases over Univariate Polynomial Rings $\mathbb{F}[x]$

- When  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, **Every ideal**  $J$  of  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  is generated by only one element (polynomial).
  - These rings  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  are **principal ideal domains (PID)**
  - E.g.  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x] = \text{PID}$ , but multivariate rings are not PIDs (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, x_2] \neq \text{PID}$ )
  - Ideal of vanishing polynomials is a good example:  $\langle x^p - x \rangle$  versus  $\langle x_1^p - x_1, x_2^p - x_2 \rangle$
- Gröbner Basis of  $\{f_1, f_2\} = \text{GCD}(f_1, f_2)$
- Gröbner Basis of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\} = \text{GCD}(f_1, \text{GCD}(f_2, \dots, f_s))$
- The Euclidean Algorithm computes the GCD of two polynomials
- The algorithm is given in any math textbook, and can also be found on wikipedia (Internet)
- Homework assignment for you..... Euclidean algorithm
- Univariate rings are of not much use in hardware verification

- Divide  $f = y^2x + 4yx - 3x^2$  by  $g = 2y + x + 1$

- Divide  $f = y^2x + 4yx - 3x^2$  by  $g = 2y + x + 1$
- Recall: Division is cancellation by leading terms

- Divide  $f = y^2x + 4yx - 3x^2$  by  $g = 2y + x + 1$
- Recall: Division is cancellation by leading terms
- What are  $lt(f)$ ,  $lt(g)$ ?

# Division in Multivariate Rings $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

- Divide  $f = y^2x + 4yx - 3x^2$  by  $g = 2y + x + 1$
- Recall: Division is cancellation by leading terms
- What are  $lt(f)$ ,  $lt(g)$ ?
- We need to figure out how to order the terms of  $f, g$

# Monomial (Term) Orderings

Power product:  $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

For simplicity:  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d} = \mathbf{x}^\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ .

- Term =  $a \cdot \mathbf{x}^\alpha$  = coeff. times a power product
- $\mathbb{T}^d = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d\}$  is the set of all power products
- A multivariate polynomial is a sum of terms



# Impose a Monomial Ordering on $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

A total order  $<$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , and it should be a well-ordering:

- Total order: One and only one of the following should be true:  
 $x^\alpha > x^\beta$  or  $x^\alpha = x^\beta$  or  $x^\alpha < x^\beta$ .
- $1 < x^\alpha$ ,  $\forall x^\alpha$  ( $x^\alpha \neq 1$ )
- $x^\alpha < x^\beta \implies x^\alpha \cdot x^\gamma < x^\beta \cdot x^\gamma$ .

## Definition (LEX)

**Lexicographic order:** Let  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_d$  lexicographically. Also let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ ;  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ . Then we have:

$$x^\alpha < x^\beta \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Starting from the left, the first co-ordinates of } \alpha_i, \beta_i \\ \text{that are different satisfy } \alpha_i < \beta_i \end{array} \right.$$

For 2-variables:  $1 < x_2 < x_2^2 < \dots < x_1 < \dots < x_2 x_1 < \dots < x_1^2 < \dots$

## Definition (DEGLEX)

**Degree Lexicographic order:** Let  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_d$  lexicographically. Also let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d); \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ . Then we have:

$$x^\alpha < x^\beta \iff \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i < \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \text{ AND } x^\alpha < x^\beta \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{OR} \\ \text{w.r.t. LEX order} \end{matrix}$$

## Definition (DEGREVLEX)

**Degree Reverse Lexicographic order:** Let  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_d$  lexicographically. Also let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d); \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ . Then we have:

$$x^\alpha < x^\beta \iff \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i < \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \text{ or} \\ \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \text{ AND the first co-ordinates} \\ \alpha_i, \beta_i \text{ from the RIGHT, which are different, satisfy } \alpha_i > \beta_i \end{cases}$$

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$
- DEGREVLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$
- DEGREVLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 3xy^3 + 2x^2yz - 2x^3$



# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$
- DEGREVLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 3xy^3 + 2x^2yz - 2x^3$

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$
- DEGREVLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 3xy^3 + 2x^2yz - 2x^3$

Always fix a term order over a ring, and stick to it!

# Term Ordering Examples

$$f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$$

- LEX with  $x > y > z$ ,  $f$  is:
- $f = -2x^3 + 2x^2yz + 3xy^3$
- DEGLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 2x^2yz + 3xy^3 - 2x^3$
- DEGREVLEX  $x > y > z$ :  $f$  is:
- $f = 3xy^3 + 2x^2yz - 2x^3$

Always fix a term order over a ring, and stick to it!

$$f = c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 + \cdots + c_tX_t \text{ implies } X_1 > \cdots > X_t$$

Divide  $f = y^2x + 4yx - 3x^2$  by  $g = 2y + x + 1$  with DEGLEX  $y > x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$

Divide  $f = y^2x + 4yx - 3x^2$  by  $g = 2y + x + 1$  with DEGLEX  $y > x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$

Solved on the board in the classroom

Divide  $f$  by  $g$ : denoted  $f \xrightarrow{g} h$ , where  $h = f - \frac{X}{\text{lt}(g)}g$ . Here,  $X$  may not be the leading term.

## Definition

Let  $f, f_1, \dots, f_s, h \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $f_i \neq 0$ ;  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ . Then  $f$  reduces to  $h$  modulo  $F$ :

$$f \xrightarrow{F}_+ h$$

if and only if there exists a sequence of indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  and a sequence of polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_{t-1} \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$f \xrightarrow{f_{i_1}} h_1 \xrightarrow{f_{i_2}} h_2 \xrightarrow{f_{i_3}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i_{t-1}}} h_{t-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i_t}} h$$

$f_1 = yx - y, f_2 = y^2 - x, f = y^2x$ ; DEGREX  $y > x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Divide  $f \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2}_+ h$ :

- To divide  $f$  by  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_3\}$  (say)
- Impose term order on the ring
- Impose the given order on polynomials of  $F$ :  $f_1 > f_2 > f_3$
- Divide  $f$  by  $f_1$  first:
  - Analyze terms of  $f = c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 + \dots + c_tX_t$  in order
  - Does  $lt(f_1) \mid c_1X_1$ ? If so, divide (or cancel  $lt(f)$ ), update  $f$ , and check if  $lt(f_1) \mid$  the new  $lt(f)$  (in updated  $f$ )?
  - Otherwise, does  $lt(f_2) \mid c_1X_1$ ? And so on...
- If  $lt(f)$  is not divisible by any  $lt(f_i)$ , then move  $lt(f)$  into the remainder ( $r = r + lt(f)$ ), and update  $f$  ( $f = f - lt(f)$ )
- Repeat... [See the algorithm in the next slides]

## Definition

If  $f \xrightarrow{F}_+ r$ , then no term in  $r$  is divisible by  $\text{LT}(f_i)$ ,  $\forall f_i \in F$ . Then  $r$  is reduced w.r.t.  $F$  and it is called the remainder.

## Definition

Let  $f, f_1, \dots, f_s, r \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $f_i \neq 0$ ;  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ . Then  $f$  reduces to  $r$  modulo  $F$ :

$$f \xrightarrow{F}_+ r$$

then

$$f = u_1 f_1 + \dots + u_s f_s + r$$

and we have that:

- $r$  is reduced w.r.t.  $F$
- $u_1, \dots, u_s \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
- $\text{LP}(f) = \text{MAX}(\text{LP}(f_1)\text{LP}(u_1), \dots, \text{LP}(f_s)\text{LP}(u_s), r)$



# Multivariate Division Algorithm

**Inputs:**  $f, f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n], f_i \neq 0$

**Outputs:**  $u_1, \dots, u_s, r$  s.t.  $f = \sum f_i u_i + r$  where  $r$  is reduced w.r.t.  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  and  $\max(lp(u_1)lp(f_1), \dots, lp(u_s)lp(f_s), lp(r)) = lp(f)$

```
1:  $u_i \leftarrow 0; r \leftarrow 0, h \leftarrow f$ 
2: while ( $h \neq 0$ ) do
3:   if  $\exists i$  s.t.  $lm(f_i) \mid lm(h)$  then
4:     choose  $i$  least s.t.  $lm(f_i) \mid lm(h)$ 
5:      $u_i = u_i + \frac{lt(h)}{lt(f_i)}$ 
6:      $h = h - \frac{lt(h)}{lt(f_i)} f_i$ 
7:   else
8:      $r = r + lt(h)$ 
9:      $h = h - lt(h)$ 
10:  end if
11: end while
```

**Algorithm 2:** Multivariate Division of  $f$  by  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$

## Motivate Gröbner basis

Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ ;  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$  and let  $f \in J$ . Then we should be able to represent  $f = u_1 f_1 + \dots + u_s f_s + r$  where  $r = 0$ . If we were to divide  $f$  by  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ , then we will obtain an intermediate remainder (say,  $h$ ) after every one-step reduction. The leading term of every such remainder ( $\text{LT}(h)$ ) should be divisible by the leading term of at least one of the polynomials in  $F$ . Only then we will have  $r = 0$ .

### Definition

Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ ;  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ ;  
 $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$ . Then  $G$  is a **Gröbner Basis** of  $J$



$$\forall f \in J \ (f \neq 0), \quad \exists i : \text{LM}(g_i) \mid \text{lm}(f)$$

## Definition

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} = GB(J) \iff \forall f \in J, \exists g_i \text{ s.t. } \text{lm}(g_i) \mid \text{lm}(f)$$

## Definition

$$G = GB(J) \iff \forall f \in J, f \xrightarrow{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t} + 0$$

Implies a “decision procedure” for ideal membership

## Buchberger's Algorithm

INPUT :  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$

OUTPUT :  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$

$G := F;$

REPEAT

$G' := G$

    For each pair  $\{f, g\}, f \neq g$  in  $G'$  DO

$S(f, g) \xrightarrow{G'}_+ r$

        IF  $r \neq 0$  THEN  $G := G \cup \{r\}$

UNTIL  $G = G'$

$$S(f, g) = \frac{L}{lt(f)} \cdot f - \frac{L}{lt(g)} \cdot g$$

$L = \text{LCM}(lm(f), lm(g)), \quad lm(f): \text{leading monomial of } f$