

Internet of Medical Things Wearable Device Security



N/A

Jacson Ott, Trevor Hornsby, Stu Kernstock, & Matthew Chau

Problem Statement

- IoT devices are notoriously insecure.
- Over 50% of IoT devices were vulnerable to medium or high severity attacks in 2020, according to Unit 42 [1].
- Medical data is a high-value target for attackers.
- AT&T Cybersecurity reported that medical data accounted for more than 43% of data breaches in 2021[2].

IoMT encompasses many different sub-categories of devices. Our research limited the scope to the following: • loMT wearables (watches) • Mobile phones • Device specific mobile applications

Devices Tested

Smart Watches

Fitbit Charge 4
Amazon Halo
Apple Watch Series 3
Popglory Smart Watch
Wyze Smart Watch

Phones

Motorola Droid XT1585
Samsung Galaxy A11
Motorola E
Apple iPhone 6

Tools Used

Several open-source tools were used during this project, some of which require special hardware:

- L2ping
- Btlejack
- Sniffle
- Wireshark
- Crackle
- Bettercap
- Spooftooph
- Jadx

*Links to tools can be found in the paper



Attacks Sniffing IoMT Device Smartphone **Bad Actor** Bluetooth Communication Denial of Service IoMT Device Smartphone **Bad Actor** Bluetooth Communication Spoofing Legitimate Smartwatch Spoofed "Smartwatch" [Malicious Laptop] **Victim Phone** Original Address: FF:FF:FF poofed Address [Adapter 1]:

Man in the Middle Spoofed "Smartwatch" [Malicious Laptop] Original Address: FF:FF:FF: Spoofed Address [Adapter 1]: BB:BB:BB:BB Spoofed Address [Adapter 2]: AA:AA:AA:AA Address: AA:AA:AA AA:AA:AA Address: BB:BB:BB:BB:BB

BB:BB:BB:BB

Results Matrix Wyze **Fitbit** Apple Popglory Amazon Watch 3 Watch Halo Charge 4

Findings

N/A

- **Sniffing attacks** permitted viewing of sensitive health information, such as heart rate and blood pressure in real time.
- **Spoofed connections** forged between a malicious laptop and victim phone was conducted with minimal effort.
- **Hijacking attacks** demonstrated the ability to fully disrupt the confidentiality, availability, and integrity of wearable devices and can be launched against active connections.
- DoS attacks were found to have limited success through hardware limitations and improved protocol functionality.
- **Not all devices** implemented important security features such as encryption.

Recommendations & Future Work

Recommendations

For Developers/ Manufacturers:

- 1. Implement encryption for connections.
- Use Bluetooth 5.0 or higher.
- 3. Implement random MAC addresses.

For users:

Attack/

Device

DoS

Fuzzing

Hijacking

Physical

Reverse

Engineering

Sniffing

Spoofing

N/A

- Avoid unnecessary pairing and unpairing.
- 2. Opt for reputable brands.

Continued research

- Sending false SMS messages to hijacked devices.
- Fuzzing attacks using BluetoothStackSmasher.
- 3. Man in the Middle attacks utilizing rfcomm for socket forwarding.
- 4. Random MAC addresses.
- 5. Malicious Android applications.

Scan the QR code to view our paper or visit: https://www.overleaf.com/read/xgkgjqdnkzcd

[1] https://unit42.paloaltonetworks.com/iot-threat-report-2020/
[2] https://cybersecurity.att.com/blogs/security-essentials/
securing-iomt-devices-to-protect-the-future-of-healthcare-from-rising-attacks

