Journal Report 12 12/9/19-12/13/19 Kevin Fu Computer Systems Research Lab Period 1, White

Daily Log

Monday December 9

Made jupyter notebook to load a Keras model and get its predictions for a single image:

```
data/valid/black_pawn/29_high_angle_8.44.02.jpg
black_pawn: 0.7210708260536194
white_pawn: 0.2649722695350647
[...]
```

Tuesday December 10

Tried different ResNet layer counts (ResNet50, 101, and 152), batch sizes, epochs, and the v2 ResNets. Found ResNet152V2 to be the most effective (93% accurate), though all architectures had problems with being overzealous on "empty" classification. Scp'd models to local machine from snowy. Began working on linking model to board segmentation script.

Thursday December 12

Linked CNN to board segmentation script. Found Keras models take roughly 150 seconds to load on local machine (10 times longer than on snowy). Currently, script takes input chessboard image, allows the user to click on the four corners, then outputs a 2D version of the board, with every piece in SAN. This fulfills my winter goal.

Timeline

Date	Goal	Met
Nov 25	Implement image augmentations, re-	Done
	organize GitHub repo	
Dec 2	Modify ResNet architecture for data	Done
	then begin training	
Dec 9	Either split CNN into three pieces	Done (at 93% accuracy)
	(detailed in Journal 10) or improve	
	piece-recognition CNN to at least	
	93% accuracy	
Dec 16	Write prediction-only script, com-	Done
	bine with board-segmentation script	
	to fulfill winter goal	
Jan 6	Reorganize and comment code,	Not started
	figure out how to load Keras	
	models quicker, consider training	
	empty/not-empty network	
Winter Goal	Have a script that converts a chess-	Done
(Dec 19)	board image to a digital array using	
	a piece-recognition CNN (75% accu-	
	racy)	

Reflection

This week, I improved my neural network's accuracy to 93%. I then combined it with the board segmentation workflow from the piece-labelling script to generate a 2D array of the board state, then display it as a digital chessboard with the display method from my PGN handlers. The result is that after 150 seconds of model loading time, the user can click on the corners of the chessboard in an image and get the digital board state automatically. As seen in Figures 1 and 2, it's not perfect. I also added a verbose option, which if enabled, allows the user to see each segmented square the script identified as potentially piece-containing and the model's predictions on it. (See Fig 1.)

This is pretty impressive. However, there are limitations to the system.

First, it's much too slow to run on live video: the prediction time, not counting the model loading time or the time it takes the user to click on the corners of the board, is around 10 seconds. In slow time controls this might be fine, but generally the openings of games are much faster than 10 seconds a move, regardless of time control.

Second, the network is good at classifying empty squares as empty squares, regardless of the other pieces in frame. But this means it's overzealous on classifying squares with pieces on them as empty, and relatively bad at differentiating between pieces. This is because my dataset has roughly the same number of empty square images as non-empty images, meaning my accuracy metrics are skewed in favor of networks that can classify empty squares well at the expense of non-empty square classification.

I'm not sure how I can fix the first problem. A clever final product that only analyzes regions of a video frame that have significant color changes from the previous frame might be the solution. For the second problem, I'll collect more images of chessboards with pieces on them (sigh) and



Figure 1: Verbose output.

```
a b c d e f g h

8 | r | - | - | - | - | r | k | - | 8

7 | - | p | - | - | b | p | p | - | 7

6 | - | - | P | p | - | - | n | - | 6

5 | - | - | - | P | b | q | - | p | 5

4 | p | - | - | - | - | - | - | P | 4

3 | - | - | - | - | B | - | P | - | 3

2 | P | P | - | - | Q | P | - | - | 2

1 | - | - | R | - | - | - | R | 1

a b c d e f g h
```

Figure 2: Digital board.

then train a pair of neural networks: one to differentiate between empty/non-empty squares, and the second to differentiate between the squares the first classes as non-empty. In tandem, this pair of networks should be more accurate than a single 13-class network. Another idea is training a network on the orthophoto used to approximate if a piece is present, though picking how large of an image to feed for every square could be challenging, and feeding the whole image would make my small dataset even smaller.

Finally, the script performs poorly on low-angle images and on images with poor color contrast. I'll step up the data augmentation to address color contrast, but I think I might have to settle on higher camera angles only for the final product.