

# String Algorithms

Nick Haliday

2012-04-20

## 1 Intro

Strings are ubiquitous in computing, and one of the most basic problems, often used as a building block in other algorithms, is matching one string or pattern against another. The obvious naïve algorithm is  $O(nm)$ , which is slow. While Rabin-Karp does well in practice and is easy to code, it is also easy to construct test cases that bring out the same  $O(nm)$  worst case performance. This lecture will focus on faster algorithms for the string matching problem.

## 2 Finite Automata

Finite automata are a useful abstraction for problems relating to sequences. They can be visualized as directed graphs where vertices correspond to states and edges to transitions between these states. There is a start state and a set of accepting states though these may be omitted for convenience. Letters from the input alphabet are associated with edges and a string is processed letter by letter. Deterministic finite automata (DFAs) associate at most one out-edge with any given letter.

If we can build an DFA that matches against a pattern string then we already have a linear time algorithm for string matching. The only problem is building the DFA.

Some notation can be useful,  $S_i$  denotes the  $i$ -long prefix of  $S$ .  $S \sqsupset T$  means  $S$  is a suffix of  $T$  and  $S \sqsubset T$  means the same for prefix.  $\delta(q, a)$  is the transition function of our DFA, and  $\phi(x)$  is the final state function.  $\sigma(x)$  denotes for a given pattern  $P$  the longest prefix of  $P$  that is a suffix of  $x$ .

Suppose we have our pattern  $P[1..m]$ . Then our state set is  $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  and our transition function is  $\delta(q, a) = \sigma(P_q a)$ . This can be computed naïvely in  $O(m^3|\Sigma|)$  where  $\Sigma$  is our alphabet. It is actually possible to compute the transition function in  $O(m|\Sigma|)$ , but it is easier to understand how to do that after looking at the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm.

## 3 Knuth-Morris-Pratt

For KMP, we use a different function  $\pi[q] = \max\{k : k < q \text{ and } P_k \sqsupset P_q\}$ , the longest prefix that is a proper suffix of  $P_q$ . Here's the code for the matching algorithm given  $\pi[q]$ .

```
q = 0
for i in range(1, n + 1):
    while q > 0 and P[q + 1] != T[i]:
        q = pi[q] # look for a suffix that works, or start over with the empty
                  # string
    if q == m:
        yield i - m
    q = pi[q]
```

The code to compute  $\pi[q]$  is very similar. Essentially it just runs the matching algorithm on the pattern itself.

```
pi[1] = 0
k = 0
for q in range(2, m + 1):
    while k > 0 and P[k + 1] != P[q]:
        k = pi[k]
    if P[k + 1] == P[q]:
        k = k + 1
    pi[q] = k
```

We can use amortized analysis to prove the  $O(m)$  running time of these algorithms. First, note  $\pi[q] < q$  by definition. So the  $k = \text{pi}[k]$  line always decreases  $k$ . Also, the total decrease is bounded above by the total increase, which is  $O(m)$ , so the line  $k = \text{pi}[k]$  can execute at most  $O(m)$  times.

## 4 Z Algorithm

The Z algorithm computes a useful function of string in linear time. Suppose we have a string  $S$ , then  $Z_i$  is the greatest  $p$  such that  $S_p = S[i..i + p - 1]$ . How could this be useful? One application is string matching. Given the pattern  $P$  and the string to be matched  $S$ , define  $T = P@S$ , where  $@$  is a character that does not appear in either string. Then matching positions are simply places where  $Z_i = |P|$ .

The real problem is finding  $Z_i$ . The algorithm attains linear running time by reusing previous values. We can visualize a string's "Z-boxes," all the intervals  $[i, i + Z_i - 1]$ . As we compute the new Z-boxes, we keep track of the rightmost Z box,  $[L, R]$ . To compute the new  $i$ th Z-box: if  $i > R$ , then we simply use the definition of  $Z_i$  and brute force the value, otherwise we can consider the value  $Z_k$  where  $k = i - L + 1$ . If that Z-box falls strictly within the range  $[L, R]$ , then we can use that as the new value. Otherwise we brute force again and get a new rightmost interval.

This algorithm is  $O(|S|)$  because all operations move the  $L$  and  $R$  pointers right, and they can only move  $O(|S|)$  times.

## 5 Problems

1. (DEC05G) Farmer John has lined up his  $N$  ( $1 \leq N \leq 100,000$ ) cows, looking for a particular substring of  $K$  ( $1 \leq K \leq 25,000$ ) cows. Each cow has some number of spots ( $1..S$ ,  $1 \leq S \leq 25$ ), but he does not remember the exact numbers of the  $K$  cows, only their relative ranking. For example, he might remember the sequence 1 4 4 3 2 1. This means cows 1 and 6 had the same numbers, as did 2 and 3, and 2 had more spots than 4, who had more spots than 5.

Find the number substrings of the  $N$  cows consistent with the  $K$ -long ranking given.

2. (Codeforces, Beta Round 93) Asterix, Obelix, and their new friends Prefix and Suffix want to find a special substring  $t$  of larger string  $s$  ( $1 \leq |s| \leq 10^6$ ). This must be the longest substring that appears as a prefix, as a suffix, and as neither. Print one such substring or say that none exists.
3. Given a string of length  $n$  find the number of palindromes in  $O(n)$  time (try using a similar strategy to that of the Z algorithm).
4. Preprocess an  $m$ -long regular expression using  $O(2^m)$  time and space to match in  $O(n)$  time.
5. (CLRS) Give a linear time algorithm for determining whether one string is a cyclic rotation of another.