

# String Matching Algorithms

Hariank Muthakana

Corwin de Boer

October 3, 2014

## 1 Introduction

Suppose you want to find all occurrences of some string  $N$  in another string  $M$ . This is known as the *string matching* problem.

One way we could do this is iterating through all possible starting locations. However, this is not very efficient; this naive solution is  $O(nm)$  in the worst case for strings of length  $n$  and  $m$ . Two better string matching methods are the Rabin-Karp hash algorithm and the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm.

## 2 Rabin-Karp

The main issue with our naive approach is that we have to check every character of  $N$  for each starting point in  $M$ . We want improve this comparison between from linear to constant time. This is where the Rabin-Karp hash comes in.

### 2.1 Definition

A *hash* is essentially an operation to convert between data types. Here, we aim to hash strings to integers, because it is much faster to compare numbers than a set of characters. We use the following *hash function*:

$$H(S, j) = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S_i \cdot p^i = S_0 + S_1 \cdot p + S_2 \cdot p^2 + \dots + S_{j-1} \cdot p^{j-1}$$

Here,  $p$  is a prime number and  $S_i$  is the integer value of character  $i$  in the string. The hash values could be very large, so one common modification is taking the result mod some other (large) prime number.

### 2.2 Comparing Strings

The definition accounts for every string beginning at the first character, but how do we compare strings in the middle? We can represent any range within string  $M$  using a prefix-sum approach:

$$H(S, j, k) = \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} S_i \cdot p^i = \frac{1}{p^k} (H(S, j + 1) - H(S, k))$$

Therefore, if we simply precompute  $H(S, j)$  for all indexes  $j$ , we can compare hash values of any substring in constant time. Note that for a very good hash function,  $H(A) = H(B)$  will imply  $A = B$ . However, when we find hash matches, we do need to check character-by-character in case of a *hash collision* - where two different strings have the same hash. Of course, the number of times we would have to do this is still much less than in the naive approach.

Rabin-Karp is  $O(n + m)$  and  $O(nm)$  in the worst case. Because of the precomputation involved, Rabin-Karp is most useful for multiple string matching - searching for many patterns in the same string.

### 3 Knuth-Morris-Pratt

Another other important string matching algorithm is the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm. KMP takes advantage of the way we traverse the string. When a character-character match fails, we don't have to start over with string  $N$  that we are searching for, the needle. Essentially, we learn information about the needle, and we use it when we find a mismatch.

#### 3.1 Definition

We first create a *partial match table*  $T[i]$  by iterating through the needle  $N$ . We set  $T[0] = -1$ . All other elements  $T[i]$  hold the length of the *longest prefix equal to the suffix* of  $N[0..i-1]$ , the substring ending with the current index. Equivalently, this can be thought of as maximizing  $T[i] = j$  such that  $N[0..j-1] = N[i-j..i-1]$ .

The partial match table provides insight into overlaps in the needle. Then, when a mismatch is found, the overlapping portions provide a place to start searching in the needle once again. Using this, we don't have to backtrack all the way to the beginning of  $N$ . Instead, we jump back to  $T[i]$ , the index of the previous overlap.

We iterate through strings  $N$  and  $M$  with  $i$  and  $j$ , respectively (initially 0). If  $N[i] = M[j]$ , increment both variables. If not, first check if  $T[i] = -1$ . If it is, then we are at the beginning of  $N$  and we can't jump back further, so we just move on to the next character in  $M$ . If it is not, then we jump back by setting  $i$  to  $T[i]$  and continue iterating. When we get to the end of  $N$ , a match has been found.

For example, with the haystack  $M = \text{cabababcaa}$  and the needle  $N = \text{ababc}$ :

M		c	a	b	a	b	a	b	c	a	a
j		0	1	2	3	4	5				
								6	7	8	MATCH
N		a	b	a	b	c					
T		-1	0	0	1	2					
i		0									
			1	2	3	4	5				
								6	7	8	MATCH

The variables  $i$  and  $j$  here denote where they point at the numbered iteration step. Notice that both pointers move forward when the corresponding characters in  $N$  and  $M$  match and only one pointer moves when they do not. This can equivalently be written out in the following iteration table.

	$j$	$M[j]$	$i$	$N[i]$	$T[i]$	Notes
0	0	c	0	a	-1	no match
1	1	a	<b>0</b>	a	-1	
2	2	b	1	b	0	
3	3	a	2	a	0	
4	4	b	3	b	1	backtrack to index 2
5	5	a	4	c	<b>2</b>	
6	5	a	<b>2</b>	a	0	
7	6	b	3	b	1	DONE
8	7	c	4	c	2	

Note the bolded cells that highlight the interesting changes in the pointers.

### 3.2 Pseudocode

We use one loop to fill array  $T$  and a second one to iterate through  $M$ :

```
cur = 2, ind = 0
while cur < n:
    if N[cur - 1] = N[ind]:
        ind++
        T[cur] = ind
        cur++
    else if ind > 0:
        ind = T[ind]
    else
        T[cur] = 0
        cur++

while j < m:
    if N[i] == M[j]:
        if i == n - 1:
            return j - i
        i++, j++
    else:
        if T[i] == -1:
            j++
        else
            i = T[i]
```

If we want to find multiple matches, every time we find a match we first record the position. Then, we treat it as a mismatch and continue rather than returning.

Knuth-Morris-Pratt is  $O(n + m)$ ,  $O(n)$  to compute array  $T$  and  $O(m)$  to iterate through  $M$ . This approach avoids the worst-case inefficiency of Rabin-Karp, so KMP is more useful for single string matching.

### 3.3 References

- SCT String Matching 2013
- SCT Cool String Tricks 2011
- Wikipedia