

# STAT 778: Homework 2

Tom Wallace

March 13, 2018

## 1 Program Organization

Code for **Problem 1** is contained in `hw2.1.c`. It relies upon the `math.h` library and must be compiled with the `-lm` flag. An example compilation command is:

```
gcc hw2.1.c -o hw2.1 -lm
```

Once compiled, execution of `hw2.1` requires one mandatory argument: the name of the data file to be read. An example command is:

```
./hw2.1 HW2.2018.dat
```

Code for **Problem 2** is contained in `hw2.2.c`. The program requires the GNU Scientific Library (GSL), an open-source numerical library. It can be obtained from [www.gnu.org/software/gsl](http://www.gnu.org/software/gsl); or, it can be installed from most standard Linux package managers. An example command of this is:

```
sudo apt-get install gsl-bin libgsl-dev
```

Compilation of `hw2.2.c` is best achieved in two steps. First, use the below command to compile the program but not link it. You may need to change the argument passed to the `-I` flag to wherever the `gsl` header files live on your computer.

```
gcc -I/usr/include -c hw2.2.c
```

This command should create an object file `hw2.2.o`. Link this object file to relevant libraries with the following command. You may need to change the argument passed to the `-L` flag to wherever `libgsl` lives on your computer.

```
gcc -L/usr/lib hw2.2.o -o hw2.2 -lgsl -lgslcblas -lm
```

Once successfully compiled, the program can be executed. It does not require any arguments. Output is comma-separated text printed to `stdout`. You likely want to pipe this output to a text file, as per the following command:

```
./hw2.2 > output.csv
```

## 2 Writeup of Problem 2

### 2.1 Introduction

This document describes a simulation study. The study consisted of generating statistics based on normal random variables and comparing the accuracy of these statistics to their theoretical expectation.

### 2.2 Methodology

Consider the normal random variable  $X \sim N(-0.5, 2)$ . For each simulation run,  $n$  of such variables were randomly generated. Three different  $n$  were used:  $n = 50, 100, 200$ . For each  $n$ , 1000 runs were conducted. On each run, the sample mean and sample variance were computed, as well as their respective standard errors and 95% confidence interval. These statistics were averaged over the 1000 runs conducted for each  $n$ . The empirical coverage probability also was computed.

### 2.3 Results

Simulation results are presented in Table 1. The point estimates closely match the true parameter values. The standard errors of the point estimates decrease as  $n$  increases. The empirical coverage probabilities are very near 95%.

Table 1: Simulation results, average of 1000 runs

$n$	Parameter	True Value	Estimate	SE	95% CI	CP(%)
50	$\mu$	-0.5	-0.487	0.199	(-0.877, -0.097)	95.6
	$\sigma^2$	2	1.999	0.404	(1.207, 2.790)	95.0
100	$\mu$	-0.5	-0.496	0.141	(-0.771, -0.220)	94.3
	$\sigma^2$	2	1.990	0.283	(1.435, 2.544)	95.1
200	$\mu$	-0.5	-0.498	0.100	(-0.693, -0.302)	94.9
	$\sigma^2$	2	1.991	0.200	(1.600, 2.382)	94.4