Karl Warburton – Questions, Corrections etc

Chapter 1

- p. 9 Should there be a double summation in (1.18)?
- p. 13 Octant, rather than quadrant?

Chapter 2

- p. 19 20 Confusion between neutrino beam power and proton beam power?
- p. 20 Is "luminosity" really the right term?
- p. 25 What are ellipses on fig 2.3?
- p. 26 Luminosity (?) measured in MW?
- p. 27 Looks as if "two beam designs" refer to neutrino and antineutrino. Also see figure captions.
- p. 48 Fuller description of PMTrack and Pandora needed, either here or in chapter 4. More explanation needed of Box and Birks models.
- p. 49 Is it clear what "by the user" means?

Chapter 3

- p. 51 Explain time resolution of 20 ns.

 Is camera really triggered, or only recording? Clarify this.
- p. 52 "elevated, though cryogenic" is vague.

Chapter 4

- p. 61 Isn't the source a line, rather than a "3D position"?

 Position of muons specified, but not direction.
- p. 62 Perhaps some explanation of terms "predicted", "expected, "reconstructed" is needed? "the metric"—which one?
- p. 62-5 What does "calculated by the photon detectors" mean? "measured by the photon detectors"? "calculated from the photon detectors"?
- p. 63 Fig 4.3 Why is the gradient $\neq 1$? Which axis is which?
- p. 64 Fig 4.4 is ΔYZ defined anywhere? Strange caption aren't both Monte Carlo?
- p. 65 Does "each ADC" mean ADC bin (or count)? Reference for 1.8 MeV/cm?
- p.66 Why Gaussian, not Landau, or Landau convolved with Gaussian? Is this reasonable? Muon direction again unspecified.
- p. 67 MPV not actually indicated (figure caption).
- p. 68 "no MC information" not really, as these are entirely MC-generated tracks!
- p. 74 30% of short tracks <1 cm even for muons? What energy?
- p. 76 What is meant by "This relationship"? How does it depend on particle? Is it an increase, or *decrease* in *dE/dx*? This could be explained more clearly.
- p. 77 Units of A are not correct (see (4.4) and remember b is negative).
- p. 81 Definitions of θxz , θyz not very clear.
- p. 85 Understand why (most) points are below the lines in fig 4.17?
- p. 86 Not true that PIDA ranges are "centred on the peaks"!
- p. 92 Fig. caption has ratio given in cm.
- p. 94 (Huge loss in muons, going from fig 4.21 to 4.22.)

Chapter 5

- p. 97 What are the two lines on fig 5.1?
- p. 98 I am not sure the sentence containing "in order to be separated ... one drift window before and after" really says what you want to say!

Have channels been defined? Wires?

- p. 101 Not sure what "centre them around their chosen conditions" means!
- p. 108 (Not clear whether counter shadowing is used or not! What is MLESAC method?)
- p. 111 Not clear why the yz plane, rather than xz. Aren't all EW counter centres (on one side) at fixed y? (Explained in viva, but could be clearer.)
- p. 113 Is caption of table 5.1 consistent with fig 2.6?
- p. 114 Axes: non-integer counter differences in fig 5.12. Are a) and b) consistent?
- p. 118-9 Constant added to signal? Apparent increase in width is an artefact of (invalid) fit, not real.
- p. 119 RMS in time (ticks)? So why *transverse* diffusion? (How is RMS calculated? One value per track? But *charge* is per hit, not per track?)

Evidently not true that Gaussian gives most probable values – fig 5.16. Why should it be a Gaussian, especially for RMS/charge?

- p. 121 Why is width of RMS significant, rather than mean?
 - Fig 5.17 Do you expect linear dependence, or square root?
- p. 122 Confusion of "normalised plot of hit charge" and "plot of normalised hit charge", in multiple places.

(Explain *predicted* and *reconstructed*.) Why "centred about the interaction time" rather than about zero?

- p. 125 and many other places: Surely what is called *accuracy* here is really an offset in the mean or peak?
- p. 127 (Again, why fit *Gaussian* to these distributions?)
- p. 130 Fig 5.24 Again why expect linear dependence, not square root?
 Surely choosing drift distance = 0 cm eliminates diffusion. Width (RMS) is angle dependent, but why due to diffusion?
- p. 135 ("accuracy" used in a more sensible way here, but not consistent with previous usage!)
- p. 136 Define coefficient of longitudinal diffusion. Reference needed for value.
- p. 147 (Would the 2-pass method have an effect on efficiency?)

Chapter 6

- p. 149 Origin in z does not agree with fig 6.1.
- p. 150 Does "muons are initially sampled" mean "generated"??
- p. 151 "inelastic scattering" means CC?
- p. 152 Contradictory definition of POCA?

Confusion between *point* and *distance* of closest approach?

Not clear what topology is being searched for. Explain lower limit on cut etc.

- p. 153 Text refers to photon, figure 6.2 refers to electron.
- p. 154 Sudden change from "photon" meaning gamma ray to meaning scintillation light!
- p. 155 (Confusion between 2100 m, 1505 m and sea-level. Is 70% due to height?) Is scaling adequate? Are the properties of lower energy the same?

- p. 157 Is $\theta_{\text{beam}}(E)$ cut described somewhere? What are the errors on 1/10 and 1/140? What about systematic errors? Only statistical errors quoted. Explain "Ext $\rightarrow \gamma$ ".
- p. 158 "The same muons"? Hit or miss?? (Explained in viva, but could clarify.) Where does 10700±300 come from? Richardson thesis?
- p. 159 Why different order of cuts?
- p. 162 (5.18×10^{-9}) at what depth?)
- p. 164 Define 2 cm fiducial cut. Vertex more than 2 cm from edge? No energy within 2 cm from edge?
- p. 168 Safe to reject events without true K? How many π will fake K? "external muon track length" means muon which *starts* externally? Is this defined somewhere?
- p. 169 Does "as the kaon interacts" mean "if the kaon interacts"?
- p. 170 "this level of reconstruction" is imprecise.
- p. 173 Have the energy deposition cuts been defined? (Just existence cuts on K and e?) Is there any information in fig 6.7 not also in fig 6.8? (Also for later pairs of plots.) Vertical axis of fig 6.7 is a bit odd, as it is "number of events per bin" but the size of bin is not constant.

(Are double-sided errors appropriate for a limit like this?)

- p. 177-8 (Are dotted lines really neutrons, not neutrinos?)
- p. 180 Is it reasonable to assume K decays at rest?
- p. 182 Can you conclude the cut is too strict without looking at background?
- p. 185 Can't actually see <0.1 cm in fig 6.16!
- p. 188 Errors in equations for momentum.

"This also takes into account the energy resolution" is an unjustified assertion!

- p. 190 How is "the expected energy region" defined?
 - (Do the events include Fermi motion?)
- p. 199 In conclusions, is it really reasonable to assume that background rejection with perfect particle ID is realistic? Couldn't there be a much bigger background due to misidentified pions (or other particles)?

Chapter 7

p. 201 (As in chapter 5, I am unconvinced by the use of the word "accuracy" here!)