CSED321 Assignment 4 - Operational Semantics of IMP

Due Tuesday, March 26th

Congratulations on finishing the first three assignments of this course! In this assignment, you will implement the operational semantics of the simple imperative language IMP:

As shown in the above syntax, an IMP program is given by a sequence s; e of statement s and expression e, where e represents the return value of the program. For example, the return value of the IMP program "a := 1; **if** 0 < a **then** b := 2 **fi**; a * b" is the number 2. The operational semantics of IMP discussed in the class is summarized as follows.

$$\frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v}{\langle e_1,e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v} \text{Const} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v}{\langle e_1+e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1+n_2} \text{Add} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_2}{\langle e_1+e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1+n_2} \text{Sub} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_2}{\langle e_1*e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1*n_2} \text{Mul} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow true} \text{EqT} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1+n_2} \text{Less} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n_1+n_2} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \text{EqF} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1=e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow false} \qquad \frac{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_1 \quad \langle e_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1\neq v_2}{\langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad \langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad \langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2 \quad \langle e_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow v_2$$

The goal of this assignment is not just to implement the operational semantics, but to develop the skill of *interpreting inference rules as algorithms*. That is, given a system of inference rules, we wish to extract an algorithm corresponding to the inference rules. Specifically, we introduce two functions eval and exec with the following specification:

- eval takes a state σ and an expression e. eval σ e returns a value v if e evaluates to v in state σ (i.e., $\langle e, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow e'$). Otherwise, eval σ e raises an exception.
- exec takes a state σ and a statement s. exec σ e returns another state σ' if executing s from σ results in σ' (i.e., $\langle s, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$). Otherwise, exec σ s raises an exception.

The operational semantics of IMP can be expressed using these functions. For example, consider the case of the rule Assign where exec takes σ and x := e as arguments. First, eval σ e is called to determine the value that e evaluates to. If eval σ e returns v, we return $\sigma[v/x]$. If eval σ e raises an exception, we propagate it (by not catching the exception). The other rules can be interpreted in a similar way.

Programming Instruction

type program = stmt * exp

Download the zip file hw4.zip from the course webpage or by running the command receive on the server 141.223.163.223, and unzip it on your working directory. It will create a bunch of files. First see imp.ml in which the abstract syntax of IMP is declared as follows (an IML parser that translates a string to the abstract syntax tree is already given).

```
type id = string
type exp = Num of int
        | Bool of bool
        | Var of id
         | Add of exp * exp | Sub of exp * exp
        | Mul of exp * exp | Div of exp * exp
        | Eq of exp * exp | Less of exp * exp
         | And of exp * exp | Or of exp * exp
                                                 | Not of exp
type stmt = Skip
         | Assign of id * exp
          | Seq of stmt * stmt
                 of exp * stmt * stmt
          l If
          | If2
                 of exp * stmt
         | While of exp * stmt
```

The datatype exp corresponds to the syntactic category expression, stmt corresponds to the syntactic category statement, and program corresponds to the syntactic category program. For example, Var "x" denotes a variable x, Bool false denotes the Boolean constant false, Num 1 denotes the integer constant 1, Add(Var "x", Num 1) denotes the expression x+1, and Assign("x", Num 1) denotes the statement x:=1. The abstract syntax of the IMP program "a := 1; if 0 < a then b := 2 fi; a * b" is expressed as the tuple:

```
(Seq(Assign("a", Num 1), If2(Less(Num 0, Var "a"), Assign("b", Num 2))), Mul(Var "a", Var "b"))
```

Next see hw4.ml. The goal of this assignment is to implement two function eval and exec, together with properly defining the type state and the value emptystate for the empty state. The function run, which "runs" an IMP program from the empty state and returns the resulting value, is already given by using eval, exec, and emptystate.

```
open Imp
exception NotImplemented

exception RuntimeError of string

type state = unit   (* dummy type, to be chosen by students *)

(* emptystate: state *)
let emptystate = raise NotImplemented

(* eval : state -> exp -> exp *)
let eval _ _ = raise NotImplemented

(* exec : state -> stmt -> state *)
let exec _ _ = raise NotImplemented

(* run : program -> exp *)
let run (s,e) = eval (exec emptystate s) e
```

As explained, your implementation of eval and exec must raise exceptions if expressions or statements cannot be properly evaluated *according to the operational semantics*. Specifically, RuntimeError must be raised for the following cases:

- a value of a variable x is required but not defined in a given state σ (for the rule Var);
- an integer is expected but a Boolean is given (for the rules Add, Sub, Mul, Div, Less);
- a Boolean is expected but an integer is given (for the rules Not, And, Or, IteT, IteF, ItT, ItF, WhilF, WhilT); and
- division by zero happens (for the rule Div).

A string argument of RuntimeError is to show an informative message and can be arbitrary. It is worth noting that the rules EqT and EqF can compare values of different types. For example, 1 = true is a valid expression that evaluates to false.

Here are some hints for you. First, a state σ can be considered as a dictionary with variable identifiers as keys, and you have implemented various dictionaries in the second assignment. Second, some semantic rules can be simplified using the program equivalences explained in the class. (e.g., why is if e then s fi a syntactic sugar?) Finally, you can test your solution by using the IMP programs in the tests directory.

After implementing the functions eval and exec in hw4.ml, run the command ocambuild to compile the sources files as follows to create an executable main.native:

```
$ ocamlbuild main.native
Finished, 19 targets (0 cached) in 00:00:00.
$ ls
_build hw4.ml imp.ml lexer.mll main.ml main.native parser.mly
```

Now you can run IMP programs in the tests directory (or your own IMP program in a file) using the executable main.native. For example:

```
$ ./main.native tests/test3.imp
true
$ ./main.native tests/test4.imp
2550
$ ./main.native tests/testerr0.imp
Runtime error: unbound identifier y
$ ./main.native tests/testerr1.imp
Runtime error: expected integer but true
```

Alternatively you can load those functions in the interactive mode of OCaml as follows. In this case, you may directly write data types for the IMP abstract syntax without parsing.

```
$ ocaml
       OCaml version 4.05.0
# #mod_use "imp.ml";;
module Imp :
 sig
   type id = string
   type exp =
      Num of int
     | Bool of bool
 end
# #use "hw4.ml";;
exception NotImplemented
exception RuntimeError of string
# open Imp;;
# eval emptystate (Add(Num 1, Num 2));;
-: Imp.exp = Num 3
# eval emptystate (And(Bool true, Num 1));;
Exception: RuntimeError "expected boolean but 1".
# exec emptystate (Assign("a", Num 1));;
```

Submission instruction

- 1. Make sure that you can compile hw4.ml by running ocambuild main.native.
- 2. When you have the file hw4.ml ready for submission, run the handin command in the same directory, and your file will be submitted automatically.