### CSED321 Assignment 8 - Abstract Machine K

Due Tuesday, May 21

In this assignment, you will implement the operational semantics of a programming language called Tiny ML (*TML*). TML is essentially a subset of OCaml; that is, every TML program is also a valid OCaml program. TML is powerful enough to support most of the functional features of OCaml. TML supports polymorphic functions, recursive functions, recursive datatypes, and pattern matching. Although TML does not directly support the if-then-else clause and other constructs, it is not difficult to emulate them with those constructs available in TML.

#### 1 Fun with TML

The following TML program "list.tml" reverses an integer list, which demonstrates recursive datatypes, recursive functions, and pattern matching.

```
type list = Nil | Cons of (int * list) ;;

let rec append = fun l ->
  match l with
    Nil -> (fun x -> Cons (x, Nil))
    | Cons (h, t) -> (fun x -> Cons (h, append t x)) in

let rec reverse = fun l ->
  match l with
    Nil -> Nil
    | Cons (h, t) -> append (reverse t) h in

let l = Cons (1, Cons (2, Nil)) in
reverse l
```

The following TML program "array.tml" implements a functional array, which demonstrates mutable references and pattern matching.

```
let create = fun _ -> ref (fun i -> 0) in
let access = fun a -> fun i -> (! a) i in
let update = fun a -> fun i -> fun n ->
  let old = !a in
    a := fun j -> match i = j with true -> n | false -> old j in
let arr = create () in
let _ = update arr 1 3 in
access arr 1
```

The following TML program "poly.tml" takes two functions and returns their composition, which demonstrates polymorphic functions.

```
fun f -> fun g -> fun x -> g (f x)
```

# 2 Syntax

The concrete grammar of TML is defined as follows:

```
sconty::= int | bool | unit
   sconpat ::= num \mid true \mid false \mid ()
      scon::= num \mid true \mid false \mid ()
         op := + | - | * | = | <
         ty := sconty \mid tycon \mid ty * ty \mid ty \rightarrow ty \mid ty \mathbf{ref} \mid (ty)
        mrule ::= pat \rightarrow exp
      mlist ::= mrule \langle | mlist \rangle
        exp::= scon \mid vid \mid cid \mid exp \ exp \mid exp \ op \ exp \mid (exp, exp)
                 ref exp \mid !exp \mid exp := exp \mid fun mrule \mid match exp with mlist
                 let pat = exp in exp \mid let rec pat = exp in exp \mid (exp) \mid (exp : ty)
conbinding ::=
                 cid \langle \mathbf{of} \ ty \rangle
   conbind :=
                 conbinding \langle \mid conbind \rangle
        dec:= type tycon = conbind
      dlist ::= \langle dec \rangle *
  program := \langle dlist; \rangle exp
```

All grammar variables (nonterminals) are written in *italic* (e.g., *pat* and *exp* are grammar variables). The meaning of each nonterminal is defined in a corresponding grammar rule. The only exceptions are *num*, *vid*, *cid*, and *tycon*: (i) *num* denotes an integer constant, a non-empty sequence of decimal digits; and (ii) *vid*, *cid*, and *tycon* denote an identifier, a sequence of letters, digits, apostrophes 'and underscore \_, where *vid* and *tycon* begin with a lowercase letter, and *cid* begins with an uppercase letter. All TML reserved words are written in boldface (e.g., **let**, **ref** and **fun** are reserved words). Each grammar variable is read as follows:

- $\bullet$  *num*: number
- vid: variable identifier
- cid: value constructor
- *tycon*: type constructor
- *sconty*: special constant type
- sconpat: special constant pattern
- scon: special constant
- op: primitive operation on integers
- ty: type
- pat: pattern
- mrule: match rule
- mlist: match rule list
- $\bullet$  exp: expression
- conbinding: constructor binding
- conbind: constructor binding list
- dec: declaration
- dlist: declaration list
- program: TML program

Each grammar rule consists of a grammar variable on the left side and its expansion forms on the right side. Expansion forms are separated by |. For example, scon can be expanded into num, true, false or (). A pair of brackets  $\langle \rangle$  encloses an optional phrase. For example, conbinding is expanded into either cid or cid followed by of ty. Starred brackets  $\langle \rangle *$  represent zero or more repetitions of the enclosed phrase. For example, dlist can have zero or more dec's. Notice that a declaration list and an expression in a top-level program is separated by ;; (for parsing in OCaml). There are further syntactic restrictions:

- No pat can have the same vid twice. E.g., (x, y) is a legal pattern, whereas (x, x) is not.
- 'let rec pat = exp' can only create functions. That is, exp must have the form 'fun mrule'.
- No 'let pat = exp' can bind **true** or **false** as patterns.
- No conbinding can have duplicate cid's. E.g., type  $t = A \mid A$  of int is not valid.

The file tml.ml defines types for the grammar variables in the structure Tml. The file validate.ml defines functions for syntactic validation. Specifically, the function vprogram takes a Tml.program value, checks whether the Tml.program value obeys the syntactic restrictions, and returns the Tml.program value. It raises AstValidateError if the Tml.program value violates the syntactic restrictions. Finally, the file print.ml defines functions for printing the content of grammar variables in the structure Print. The meaning of each function in the structure should be clear; for example, exp2str exp returns a string representation of the Tml.exp value exp. These functions can be useful when debugging your code.

### 3 Semantics

The goal of this assignment is to define the operational semantics of TML in the K framework. More precisely, we want to design and implement the abstract machine K with eager evaluation (i.e., call-by-value) strategies for TML. Because OCaml uses eager evaluation and any TML program is an OCaml program, you can use OCaml to execute TML programs, and your abstract machine K should give the same result as OCaml!

We show a partial definition of the abstract machine K below. A configuration consists of a computation k, an environment  $\eta$ , and a store  $\psi$ . A computation is a list of computation labels  $\phi$ , which include expressions and values, evaluation contexts, and special purpose labels such as  $restore(\eta)$ . An environment  $\eta$  is a map from variables x to locations l, and a store  $\psi$  is a map from locations l to values v, where locations and closures are also values.

```
value v ::= scon \mid \dots to \ be \ filled \ by \ students \dots \mid l \mid closure(mrule, \eta) environment \eta ::= \cdot \mid x \hookrightarrow l, \eta store \psi ::= \cdot \mid l \hookrightarrow v, \psi label \phi ::= \square \ e \mid v \square \mid \dots to \ be \ filled \ by \ students \dots \mid restore(\eta) computation k ::= e \mid k \curvearrowright \phi configuration \chi ::= \langle k \rangle_c \ \langle \eta \rangle_e \ \langle \psi \rangle_s
```

The definition of environments has changed, compared to one presented in the class. The range of environment  $\eta$  is no longer values v, but locations l. Therefore, in order to find a value of variable x, you need both environment  $\eta$  and store  $\phi$ . Also, the closure of a function involves only environments, not stores. The corresponding rules are as follows.

$$\langle \frac{x \cap k}{v \cap k} \rangle_c \langle x \hookrightarrow l, \eta \rangle_e \langle l \hookrightarrow v, \psi \rangle_s \qquad \langle \frac{\text{fun } \textit{mrule} \cap k}{\textit{closure}(\textit{mrule}, \eta) \cap k} \rangle_c \langle \eta \rangle_e$$

For pattern matching, we consider a pattern matching judgement of the form  $\sigma \vdash v \leq p$ , meaning that pattern p matches value v by a set of substitutions  $\sigma$ . The inference rules for the pattern matching judgement are defined as follows,

$$\frac{\sigma \vdash v \preceq \_}{\sigma \vdash v \preceq \_} Any \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash n \preceq n}{\sigma \vdash n \preceq n} Num \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash b \preceq b}{\sigma \vdash b \preceq b} Bool \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash () \preceq ()}{\sigma \vdash () \preceq ()} Unit$$

$$\frac{v/x \in \sigma}{\sigma \vdash v \preceq x} Var \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash v \preceq p}{\sigma \vdash c \preceq c} Con \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash v \preceq p}{\sigma \vdash c \ v \preceq c \ p} Capp$$

$$\frac{\sigma \vdash v_1 \preceq p_1 \quad \sigma \vdash v_2 \preceq p_2}{\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \preceq (p_1, p_2)} Pair \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash v \preceq p}{\sigma \vdash v \preceq (p : ty)} Pty$$

where n denotes a number, b denotes a Boolean value, x denotes a variable identifier, c denotes a value constructor, and ty denotes a type.

Remark. The above inference rules do not consider type declarations **type** tycon = conbind. A type system of TML—which will be implemented in the next assignment—will deal with whether patterns and values have valid types according to the type declarations.

Suppose that the computation label  $matcher(v, p \to e)$  reduces to  $(\sigma, e)$  if  $\sigma \vdash v \leq p$ , and  $\bot$  othwerwise. The transition rules for pattern matching are straightforward as follows:

$$\langle \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ p \to e \ | \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline matcher(v,p \to e) \ \curvearrowright \ \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \\ \langle \ \underline{\bot} \ \curvearrowright \ \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline \langle \ \underline{(\sigma,e)} \ \curvearrowright \ \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline \langle \ \underline{(\sigma,e)} \ \curvearrowright \ \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline \langle \ \underline{(\sigma,e)} \ \curvearrowright \ \mathbf{match} \ v \ \mathbf{with} \ ml \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline \rangle_c \ \langle \ \eta \ \rangle_e \\ \hline bind(\sigma) \ \curvearrowright \ e \ \curvearrowright \ restore(\eta) \ \curvearrowright \ k \\ \hline$$

The label  $bind(\sigma)$  allocates new locations for the values in  $\sigma$  and binds the variables in  $\sigma$  to the corresponding locations. The rules for function applications can be defined in a similar way.

We will not provide complete transition rules in this assignments. You will have to design and implement your own K transition rules, including rules for  $matcher(v, p \to e)$ ,  $bind(\sigma)$ , function applications, let and let rec expressions, arithmetic and relational operators, etc.

Remark. You can use the same rules presented in the class for mutable references. However, the rules for function applications and recursive functions cannot be the same, since the definition of environments has changed. Specifically, the closure of a recursive function f is  $closure(mrule, \eta)$ , which has exactly the same form as normal functions, where  $\eta$  itself contains a reference to f. Unlike the previous assignments, let rec is not a syntactic sugar in TML.

Before starting to write code, you will have to complete the definition of the abstract machine K and design your own transition rules for TML as follows.

- Complete the definition of values v.
- Complete the definition of computation labels  $\phi$ .
- Give the transition rules for the abstract machine K, including pattern matching.

TML is a subset of OCaml, and we adopt the relevant part of the operational semantics of OCaml, which we assume that you know exactly. This helps you design your own abstract machine K for TML. Now it's time to have fun!

# 4 Programming Instruction

Download the zip file hw8.zip from the course webpage or by running receive on the server 141.223.163.223, and unzip it on your working directory.

First, see the file store.ml that implements a map from integer locations to 'a values.

```
type 'a store
val empty : 'a store
val alloc : 'a -> 'a store -> int * 'a store
val deref : int -> 'a store -> 'a
val update : int -> 'a -> 'a store -> 'a store
```

The function empty returns an empty store  $\cdot$ ; alloc v s stores a given value v in a fresh location l and returns the pair (l, s') with the updated store s'; deref l s fetches the value v stored in s at location l; and update l v s updates the store at location l with the given value v and returns the updated store s'. Not\_found is raised if the location l is not available in s.

Second, see the file env.ml that implements a map from string identifiers to 'a locations.

```
type 'a env
val empty : 'a env
val lookup : string -> 'a env -> 'a
val insert : string -> 'a -> 'a env -> 'a env
val singleton : string -> 'a -> 'a env
```

The function empty returns an empty environment  $\cdot$ ; lookup x env returns the location related to x in env, and raises Not\_found if  $x \notin dom(env)$ ; insert x l env returns a environment with the same set of bindings as env plus a new binding  $x \hookrightarrow l$ ; and singleton x l returns an environment with a single binding  $x \hookrightarrow l$ .

Next, see the file hw8.ml. The types env, store, and config correspond to the syntactic categories environment, store, and configuration, respectively, and are already defined in hw8.ml.

First, complete the definitions of types value and label, which correspond to the syntactic categories value and label, respectively. A closure value  $CLOSURE(mrule, \eta)$ , and an expression label E(e) and a value label V(v) are already given. The extra labels E(e) and V(v) are needed, because a computation is implemented as a list of labels of the same type. As a consequence, you may need auxiliary rules to transform E(v) to V(v) for some value v as follows:

$$\langle \frac{\mathrm{E}(v) \curvearrowright k}{\mathrm{V}(v) \curvearrowright k} \rangle_c$$

Second, implement the function value2exp : value  $\rightarrow$  Tml.exp. Given a primitive value  $v_p$  as defined below, value2exp returns a corresponding expression of type Tml.exp. For all other kinds of values, value2exp raises an exception NotConvertible.

```
primitive value v_p ::= num \mid \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid () \mid op \mid cid \mid cid \mid v_p \mid (v_p, v_p)
```

Remark. It is absolutely important to give a correct implementation of this function, since our test module assumes the correctness of your implementation of value2exp. If you give a wrong implementation of value2exp, you will receive no credit for the entire assignment, because the test program will judge that your step function is faulty!

Third, implement the function config2str. There is no strict specification for this function, but you might find this function useful for debugging your code. Feel free to choose whatever specification you like, as we will not test this function. The default implementation returns just an empty string, and leave it as is if you want!

The final goal of this part is to implement the function step: config  $\rightarrow$  config, which takes a configuration of the abstract machine K, and returns the next configuration. It raises an exception Stuck if no progress can be made. We will test your implementation of step by examining the result v in the final configuration using your implementation of value2exp.

# 5 Testing and Submission

After implementing the function step in hw8.ml, run the command ocamlbuild to compile the sources files as follows to create an executable main.native:

```
$ ocamlbuild main.native
+ ocamlyacc parser.mly
19 shift/reduce conflicts.
Finished, 32 targets (0 cached) in 00:00:01.
```

Now you can run TML programs in the example directory (or your own TML program in a file) using the executable main.native. For example:

```
$ ./main.native example/fib.tml
21
$ ./main.native example/list.tml
(Cons (2, (Cons (1, Nil))))
```

You can use the command line argument -debug to print out the complete sequence of transitions using *your* implementation of config2str.

```
$ ./main.native example/test.tml -debug
```

Make sure that you can compile hw8.ml by running ocamlbuild main.native. When you have the file hw8.ml ready for submission, run the handin command in the same directory, and your file will be submitted automatically.