



Todd K. Hartman Lecturer in Quantitative Methods Sheffield Methods Institute


14 February 2017











Consider a dataset with variables for gender, ethnicity, and education

- Consider a dataset with variables for gender, ethnicity, and education
- Would NOT want to specify a common factor "background" because

- Consider a dataset with variables for gender, ethnicity, and education
- Would NOT want to specify a common factor "background" because
 - gender and ethnicity are unrelated; thus, could not measure common domain

- Consider a dataset with variables for gender, ethnicity, and education
- Would NOT want to specify a common factor "background" because
 - gender and ethnicity are unrelated; thus, could not measure common domain
 - none of these indicators is 'caused' by some underlying 'background' factor

- Consider a dataset with variables for gender, ethnicity, and education
- Would NOT want to specify a common factor "background" because
 - gender and ethnicity are unrelated; thus, could not measure common domain
 - none of these indicators is 'caused' by some underlying 'background' factor

How Many Indicators?

 Absolute minimum (with 2 or more factors) is 2 indicators / factor

How Many Indicators?

- Absolute minimum (with 2 or more factors) is 2 indicators / factor
- Advisable to have 3 or more indicators because of problems with identification, and it is difficult to estimate measurement error correlation

How Many Indicators?

- Absolute minimum (with 2 or more factors) is 2 indicators / factor
- Advisable to have 3 or more indicators because of problems with identification, and it is difficult to estimate measurement error correlation
- Kenny's (1979) rule of thumb: "Two *might* be fine, three is better, four is best, and anything more is gravy".

CFA Expectations

 Convergent validity: indicators have relatively high standardized factor loadings
Factor loadings > .70

CFA Expectations

 Convergent validity: indicators have relatively high standardized factor loadings

Factor loadings > .70

 Discriminant validity: estimated correlations between factors not excessively high

 $\mathsf{Correlations} < |.90|$

• Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is not SEM

- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is not SEM
 - Considered an exploratory approach

- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is not SEM
 - Considered an exploratory approach
 - NOT a priori (i.e., does not require specific hypotheses)

- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is not SEM
 - Considered an exploratory approach
 - NOT a priori (i.e., does not require specific hypotheses)
 - All indicators are allowed to load on every factor

- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is not SEM
 - Considered an exploratory approach
 - NOT a priori (i.e., does not require specific hypotheses)
 - All indicators are allowed to load on every factor
 - Identification occurs through infinite number of possible rotations