# CS Department Automated Information Timeline Assignment 3.1: Domain Model

Matthew Hays, Pawan Bhandari, Sarah Faron, Tim Klimpel January 29, 2024

## Contents

1	Inti	roduction	3
	1.1	Purpose	3
	1.2	Background from Readings	3
2	Cor	ncepts, Attributes, and Associations (Draft)	3
3	Doi	main Model	4
	3.1	Domain Model (Drafts)	4
	3.2	Getting to the Final Domain Model	5
		3.2.1 Use Case text reference	5
		3.2.2 Points discussed during review of Domain model drafts	6
		3.2.3 Final Domain Model	7
4	Up	dated Use Case Diagram	8
${f L}$	$\mathbf{ist}$	of Figures	
	1	Candidate concepts and associations (Draft)	3
	2	Domain Model - Draft 1	4
	3	Domain Model - Draft 2	5
	4	Final Domain Model	7
	5	Updated Use Case Diagram	8

### 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this assignment is to work as a team and collaboratively develop a final Domain model for the group project. The team met multiple times over the course of a few days to work together and come to the final model presented in the third section of this document (Located here: Final Domain Model).

### 1.2 Background from Readings

According to C. Larman, The three strategies to identify candidate concepts are:

- Noun-Phrase Identification from use cases and requirements
- Conceptual Class Category Lists
- Class Responsibility Collaborators

The concepts on the domain model for CS Department Automated Information Timeline project have been derived by identifying the noun phrases from use cases and the requirements.

### 2 Concepts, Attributes, and Associations (Draft)

Below are the candidate concepts and their attributes identified using the noun phrases from the requirements.

- 1. **Post**: title, content
- 2. DisplayedPost
- 3. Page: title, content
- 4. Event: dateOfEvent
- 5. EventCalender
- 6. **Media**: title, mediatype
- 7. MediaLibrary
- 8. Notification
- 9. Faculty: name
- 10. OfficeManager: name
- 11. Administrator
- 12. DisplayedMedia
- 13. DisplayMonitor




Figure 1: Candidate concepts and associations (Draft)

These candidate concepts are further discussed among the team members and are further refined to create a **FINAL DOMAIN MODEL** with concepts, name associations and multiplicities agreed upon by all team members.

### 3 Domain Model

## 3.1 Domain Model (Drafts)




Figure 2: Domain Model - Draft 1




Figure 3: Domain Model - Draft 2

### 3.2 Getting to the Final Domain Model

#### 3.2.1 Use Case text reference

Below are the summarized use cases. This listing has been updated from the previous design submittal, and an updated use case diagram can be found at the end of this document. New use cases found are flagged in list as well for clarity.

- UC01 View Media Library
- UC02 View All Posts
- UC03 View Event Calendar
- UC04 Create Event
- $\bullet~$  UC05 Edit Event
- UC06 Delete Event
- UC07 View Event
- UC08 Submit for post/media/event review

- UC09 Review for post/media/event approval
- UC10 Create Post
- UC11 Edit Post
- UC12 Delete Post
- UC13 View Post
- UC15 Stage posts for display
- UC16 Stage media for display
- UC17 View Media
- UC18 Add Page to Event (New Use Case)
- UC19 Edit Page of Event (New Use Case)
- UC20 Tag Event for display (New Use Case)
- UC21 Receive notification for approval request (New Use Case)
- UC22 Receive notification for approval completion (New Use Case)
- UC23 Upload new Media (New Use Case)
- UC24 Send Notifications for approval request/completions (New Use Case)

#### 3.2.2 Points discussed during review of Domain model drafts

This section contains items discussed as a group during the review and finalization of the Final Domain Model. Progression was made from Domain Model - Draft 1 to Domain Model - Draft 2, but there was back and forth between the two.

- The system will always display 10 of each of the following items (References **REQ10**)
  - $\circ$  Posts
  - $\circ$  Media
  - \$\phi\$ If there are not 10 items of a particular category within the system that is in the approved state, the system will display all approved items within that category. (Assumption based on implication in problem statement)
- The system will only display the event pages that have been tagged, without limit. (References UC20, REQ18)
- An Office Manager can tag and/or stage up to 10 items for display at any time. (References **Assumption based on implication in problem statement**) (References **REQ10**)
  - $\circ$  In the event of multiple Office Managers, the system will randomly select 10 tagged/staged media for display to maintain a limit of 10 items in a specific category being displayed at any given time on the on-campus television display.
- An aggregate media library should exist so that users of the application may browse all approved media. (References REQ04/REQ05/REQ09)

- Upon creation of any category of item submitted to the application, the new item will be initially set to a state which does not allow for display/inclusion in the application. This state can only be updated through a review by a system administrator. (References REQ02/UC08/UC09)
- DisplayedMedia and DisplayedPosts were removed from the initial draft as these classes were decided to be "software constructs" that were not necessary in the domain model. Additionally, their removal assisted in the discovery that the classes may not be necessary as the intent of the classes, to provide a storage location for displayed items, could be captured through attributes located on the parent class itself. (No associated REQ or UC software design/implementation discussion)

### 3.2.3 Final Domain Model




Figure 4: Final Domain Model

# 4 Updated Use Case Diagram




Figure 5: Updated Use Case Diagram