

CS Department Automated Information Timeline Iteration 1 Submission

Matthew Hays, Pawan Bhandari, Sarah Faron, Tim Klimpel

February 5, 2024

Contents

1	Vision Statement	4
2	Requirements	4
2.1	Functional Requirements	4
2.2	Non-Functional Requirements	4
3	Glossary	5
4	Domain Model	5
5	Use Cases	7
5.1	Use Case Diagram	7
5.2	Use Cases	8
5.2.1	UC01: View Media Library	8
5.2.2	UC02: View All Posts	8
5.2.3	UC03: View Event Calendar	8
5.2.4	UC04: Create Event	9
5.2.5	UC05: Edit Event	9
5.2.6	UC06: Delete Event	11
5.2.7	UC07: View Event	13
5.2.8	UC08: Submit For Review	15
5.2.9	UC09: Review For Approval	15
5.2.10	UC10: Create Post	17
5.2.11	UC11: Edit Post	19
5.2.12	UC12: Delete Post	21
5.2.13	UC13: View Post	23
5.2.14	UC14: Update HTML on Page	24
5.2.15	UC15: Manage Displayed Posts	25
5.2.16	UC16: Manage Displayed Media	26
6	Activity Diagram: Create Event	27
7	Wireframes	28
7.1	TV	28

7.2 Website	28
-----------------------	----

List of Figures

1 Domain Model for CS Department Automated Information Timeline	5
2 Use Case Diagram for CS Department Automated Information Timeline	7
3 System Sequence Diagram: View Media Library	8
4 System Sequence Diagram: Edit Event	10
5 System Sequence Diagram: Delete Event	12
6 System Sequence Diagram: View Event	14
7 System Sequence Diagram: Submit For Review	15
8 System Sequence Diagram: Review For Approval	17
9 System Sequence Diagram: Edit Post	19
10 System Sequence Diagram: Edit Post	21
11 System Sequence Diagram: Delete Post	23
12 System Sequence Diagram: View Post	24
13 System Sequence Diagram: Manage Displayed Posts	25
14 System Sequence Diagram: Manage Displayed Media	26
15 Activity Diagram: Create Event	27

1 Vision Statement

2 Requirements

2.1 Functional Requirements

REQ01: Faculty members can create a post for review, which will initially default to a proposed state until approved.

REQ02: An admin/reviewer must approve a post before it can be published to the site.

REQ03: A user can add events to an event calendar that can be displayed on screen.

REQ04: A user can add pictures to posts.

REQ05: A user can add videos to posts.

REQ06: A user can add HTML pages to posts.

REQ07: Created posts that will be displayed on a large format screen.

REQ08: The system will allow management of which posts are displayed.

REQ09: The system will allow management of which media is displayed.

REQ10: The system will fall back to the 10 most recent posts if no posts are tagged, or less than 10 posts are tagged.

REQ11: The Office manager will use the WYSIWYG editor to modify static content posts.

REQ12: The Office Manager will use the WYSIWYG editor to tag posts for display on screen.

REQ13: The system will allow audiences to use their mobile devices (e.g., through a QR code that brings them to the website) to browse all content beyond the 10 most recent posts.

REQ14: The system will allow audiences to use their mobile device to browse the calendar.

REQ15: A user will authenticate and be assigned a valid role or view the content as a non-authenticated user.

2.2 Non-Functional Requirements

REQ16: The system will have the following user roles: Faculty, Admin, and Office Manager.

REQ17: Content rolling and updates to content will be completed via WebSockets.

REQ18: The backend will consist of a Spring Framework REST API with a database and appropriate middleware.

REQ19: The system will manage a media library which consists of all posted pictures and videos.

REQ20: The system will consist of a front-end display: a television screen.

REQ21: The system will consist of a front-end display: a web-based display optimized for all screen sizes.

REQ22: The design of the user interface must utilize Baylor's official color scheme.

- Baylor Green: #154734
- University Gold: #FFB81C
- Secondary and accent colors can be chosen from the lists provided here.

REQ23: The system will have a WYSIWYG editor.

3 Glossary

The following terms are important to the development of the project:

- **WYSIWYG Editor:** What You See Is What You Get editor
- **WebSocket:**
- **Post:** A picture, video, or manually created html page.
- **Page:**
- **Event Calendar:** A calendar which is displayed on screen which faculty can add events.
- **Media Library:** A library which stores both picture and video content.
- **Faculty:** Admin, Reviewer, Officer Manager, and other university employee.
- **Admin:** A privileged user that holds all access rights.
- **Reviewer:** A user that acts as a reviewer of posts to approve or reject posts that have been submitted for review.
- **Officer Manager:** A faculty member that holds special privilege over the system under discussion to manage which posts are displayed at any given time.

4 Domain Model




Figure 1: Domain Model for CS Department Automated Information Timeline

5 Use Cases

5.1 Use Case Diagram




Figure 2: Use Case Diagram for CS Department Automated Information Timeline

5.2 Use Cases

There are a total of 16 use cases written at this stage in the project. Each team member was assigned 3 use cases to fully analyze. Each of these 12 use cases are written in fully-dressed form and accompanied by System Sequence Diagrams (SSDs) and Operations Contracts (OCs). The remaining 4 use cases are written in casual/brief form.

The assigned use cases are as follows:

Bhandari	Faron	Hays	Klimpel
UC11	UC05	UC04	UC15
UC12	UC06	UC09	UC16
UC13	UC07	UC10	UC01

5.2.1 UC01: View Media Library




Figure 3: System Sequence Diagram: View Media Library

5.2.2 UC02: View All Posts

Brief.

5.2.3 UC03: View Event Calendar

Brief.

5.2.4 UC04: Create Event

5.2.5 UC05: Edit Event

ID: UC05 (Edit Event)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: user goal

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Audience: Wants to view up-to-date information about events in the CS Department
- Faculty: Wants the ability to provide updated information on events they submitted
- Office Manager: Wants to display accurate event information on the calendar on the Lobby TV
- Admin/Reviewer: Wants to update previously approved events with up-to-date information provided by the Faculty who submitted those events

Preconditions: Faculty created an event (UC04) and submitted it for review (UC08). Admin/Reviewer reviewed the event (UC09) and approved. Admin/Reviewer is identified and authenticated in the system. Faculty is identified and authenticated in the system and is viewing the event calendar (UC03).

Postconditions: Event status is in a proposed state.

Main Success Scenario:

1. Faculty clicks the “View My Events” link on the event calendar page.
2. System returns a list of existing events authored by Faculty that have been approved.
3. Faculty selects the event they wish to edit from the returned list of their previously approved events.
4. System returns the event page for the selected event.
5. Faculty clicks the “Edit This Event” button on the event page.
6. Faculty enters the updated event information into the submission form:
 - Event date
 - Event time
 - Event description
7. Faculty submits the updated event information for Admin/Reviewer review.
8. System provides Faculty with a submission confirmation message.

Extensions (or Alternate Flows):

3-4a. Faculty begins editing the event, then decides not to submit the updates for review:

1. Upon leaving the web page, any changes to event date, time, or description entered into the submission form are erased.
2. Selected event remains unchanged in the system.

3b. Faculty does not see the event they wish to edit in the returned list because the event has not yet been approved by the Admin/Reviewer:

1. Faculty must wait for approval from Admin/Reviewer on the original event before the event is eligible for editing.

Special Requirements: None

Technology and Data Variations List:

4a. Date input is required in the format MM/DD/YYYY.

4b. Time input is required in the Standard Time format in the Central Time Zone.

Frequency of Occurrence: Could be nearly continuous if Admin/Reviewer also reviews edited events and approves them (UC09) nearly continuously.

Open Issues: None




Figure 4: System Sequence Diagram: Edit Event

Operation: getOwnedEvents()

Cross-References: UC05 (Edit Event), UC06 (Delete Event), UC07 (View Event)

Pre-conditions: Event object has been created. Event has been associated with Faculty. Event attribute status is set to approved.

Post-conditions: None.

Operation: editEvent(eventID, date, time, desc)

Cross-References: UC05 (Edit Event)

Pre-conditions:

- Event object has been created.
- Event attribute status is set to approved.
- Event has been associated with Faculty.

Post-conditions: editedEvent has been created.

- editedEvent object has been created.
- editedEvent attributes (date, time, desc) have been updated.
- editedEvent has been associated with Faculty.

Operation: submitEvent(editedEvent)

Cross-References: UC05 (Edit Event)

Pre-conditions:

- editedEvent object has been created.
- editedEvent attributes (date, time, desc) have been updated.
- editedEvent has been associated with Faculty.

Post-conditions:

- Notification object is created.
- Notification object is associated with editedEvent, Faculty, and Admin/Reviewer.

5.2.6 UC06: Delete Event

ID: UC06 (Delete Event)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: user goal

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Audience: Wants to view up-to-date information about events in the CS Department
- Faculty: Wants the ability to delete events that they submitted that are no longer happening
- Office Manager: Wants to display accurate event information on the calendar on the Lobby TV

Preconditions: Faculty created an event (UC04) and submitted it for review (UC08). Admin/Reviewer reviewed the event (UC09) and approved. Faculty is identified and authenticated in the system and is viewing the event calendar (UC03).

Postconditions: Event status is in a deleted state.

Main Success Scenario:

1. Faculty clicks the “View My Events” link on the event calendar page.
2. System returns a list of existing events authored by Faculty that have been approved.
3. Faculty selects the event they wish to delete from the returned list of their previously approved events.
4. System returns the event page for the selected event.
5. Faculty clicks the “Delete This Event” button on the event page.

6. System prompts Faculty to confirm the removal of the event from the event calendar.
7. Faculty confirms the removal of the event from the event calendar by clicking “Yes”.
8. System provides Faculty with a confirmation message.

Extensions (or Alternate Flows):

3a. Faculty does not see the event they wish to delete in the returned list because the event has not yet been approved by the Admin/Reviewer:

1. Faculty must wait for approval from Admin/Reviewer on the original event before the event is eligible for removal from the event calendar.

7a. Faculty decides they do not want to remove the event from the event calendar:

1. Faculty clicks the “No” button and is redirected to the list of existing events authored by Faculty that have been approved.

Special Requirements: None

Technology and Data Variations List: None

Frequency of Occurrence: Maximum once per submitted and approved event.

Open Issues: None




Figure 5: System Sequence Diagram: Delete Event

Operation: requestDelete()

Cross-References: UC06 (Delete Event)

Pre-conditions:

- Event object has been created.
- Event attribute status is set to approved.
- Event has been associated with Faculty.

Post-conditions: None

Operation: deleteEvent(eventID)

Cross-References: UC06 (Delete Event)

Pre-conditions:

- Event object has been created.
- Event attribute status is set to approved.
- Event has been associated with Faculty.

Post-conditions:

- Event attribute status is set to deleted.
- Event associated with Faculty has been broken.

5.2.7 UC07: View Event

ID: UC07 (View Event)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: user goal

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Audience: Wants to view up-to-date information about events in the CS Department
- Faculty: Wants the ability to view events they have submitted
- Office Manager: Wants to display calendar events on the Lobby TV
- Admin/Reviewer: Wants to view events submitted by Faculty so they can review them

Preconditions: Faculty created an event (UC04) and submitted it for review (UC08). Admin/Reviewer reviewed the event (UC09) and approved. Faculty is identified and authenticated in the system and is viewing the event calendar (UC03).

Postconditions: Event status is in an approved state.

Main Success Scenario:

1. Faculty clicks the “View My Events” link on the event calendar page.
2. System returns a list of existing events authored by Faculty that have been approved.

3. Faculty selects the event they wish to view from the returned list of their previously approved events.
4. System returns the event page for the selected event.

Extensions (or Alternate Flows):

3a. Faculty does not see the event they wish to view in the returned list because the event has not yet been approved by the Admin/Reviewer:

1. Faculty must wait for approval from Admin/Reviewer on the original event before the event is eligible for viewing from the event calendar.

Special Requirements: None

Technology and Data Variations List: None

Frequency of Occurrence: Continuous

Open Issues: None




Figure 6: System Sequence Diagram: View Event

Operation: viewEvent(eventID)

Cross-References: UC07 (View Event)

Pre-conditions:

- Event object has been created.
- Event attribute status is set to approved.
- Event has been associated with Faculty.

Post-conditions: None

5.2.8 UC08: Submit For Review

Brief.




Figure 7: System Sequence Diagram: Submit For Review

5.2.9 UC09: Review For Approval

ID: UC09 (Review for Approval)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: User Goal

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Faculty: A person that works for the university and is interested in gaining visibility of their post and/or event.
- Administrator/Reviewer: A person that works for the university and is interested in reviewing and approving requests for system content additions.

Preconditions:

- Administrator/Reviewer a has been identified and authenticated.
- Notification n has been created and persisted.
- n.event, n.post, or n.media has been set.

Postconditions:

- Notification n.entity was identified as either a Post, Event, or Media.
- n.entity.status was set to either “Approved” or “Rejected”.
- If the review was favorable, n.entity.status was set to “Approved”.
- If the review was unfavorable, n.entity.status was set to “Rejected”.
- nReviewer was set to a.
- n.entity was persisted.

Main Success Scenario:

1. Administrator/Reviewer, a, gets all notifications.
2. For each Notification, n, in notifications, Administrator/Reviewer, a, performs manual review of the Post, Event, or Media attached to Notification, n.
3. Administrator/Reviewer, a, updates the Post, Event, or Media that was attached to Notification, n, to either “Approved” or “Rejected”.
4. If the review is favorable, n.event.status, n.post.status, or n.media.status is set to “Approved”.
5. If the review is not favorable, n.event.status, n.post.status, or n.media.status is set to “Rejected”.
6. The system persists the updated Post, Event, or Media with the status set by Administrator/Reviewer, a.
7. The system associates Administrator/Reviewer, a, with Notification n.
8. Notification, n, is persisted.
9. The Event, Post, or Media is persisted.

Extensions:

2a. There are multiple objects, Post, Event, or Media, attached to Notification n.

- Administrator/Reviewer, a, deletes Notification, n.

Special Requirements: None

Technology and Data Variation List:

- Notification n.post is set and of Post type; n.event and n.media are null.
- Notification n.event is set and of Event type; n.post and n.media are null.
- Notification n.media is set and of Media type; n.post and n.event are null.

Frequency of Occurrence: Could be nearly continuous.




Figure 8: System Sequence Diagram: Review For Approval

5.2.10 UC10: Create Post

ID: UC10 (Create Post)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: User Goal

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Faculty: A person who works for the university and is interested in gaining visibility of this post and/or event.
- Admin/Reviewer: A person who works for the university and approves and/or removes posts and/or event from the system.

Preconditions:

- Faculty, f, has been identified and authenticated.

Postconditions:

- Post, p, was created.
- p.status was set to "Pending".
- p.createdBy was set to Faculty f.

- Post, p, was persisted.
- Notification, n, was created.
- n.post was set to Post p.
- Notification, n, was persisted.

Main Success Scenario:

1. Faculty writes the post using the post creation tool.
2. The post title is written by the faculty user.
3. The post body is written by the faculty user.
4. Faculty reviews the post draft.
5. Faculty submits the post to the system.
6. A post id is generated by the system.
7. A timestamp is generated by the system.
8. The user id of the faculty user is attached to the post object.
9. The system assigns the status of the post to the proposed state.
10. The system generates a notification object.
11. The post object is attached to the notification object.
12. The post object is persisted.
13. The notification object is persisted.
14. The system returns the persisted post object to the faculty user.

Extensions:

*.a. Anytime the system does not respond.

1. Faculty will notify the Admin/Reviewer.
 2. Admin/Reviewer will restart the system.
 3. Faculty will recreate and submit the post.
- 5.a. Anytime the system does not respond.
1. The system will return an error message to the faculty user.
 2. The faculty user will resubmit the post.
- 6.a. If the post is not persisted.
1. The system will return an error message to the faculty user.

2. The faculty user will reattempt the submission of the post.

Special Requirements: None

Technology and Data Variations List:

1. Date will be of the format “yyyy-MM-ddTHH:mm:ss”.
2. Id will be of the format of a universally unique identifier, UUID.

Frequency of Occurrence: Could be nearly continuous.



Figure 9: System Sequence Diagram: Edit Post

5.2.11 UC11: Edit Post

ID: UC11 (Edit Post)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: User goal

Primary Actor: Faculty or Admin/Reviewer

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Audience: A person that is interested in viewing all approved content on the system using their mobile device.
- Faculty: A person that works for the university and is interested in gaining visibility of their post and/or event.
- Office Manager: A person that works for the university and is interested in prioritizing the order of posts and/or events.
- Admin/Reviewer: A person that works for the university and approves and/or removes posts and/or events from the system.

Preconditions:

- Faculty has been identified and authenticated.
- A post has been created.

Postconditions:

- Post has been updated and saved to database.

- Media library has been updated with new images and videos from the updated post.
- The display board reflects the updated post flagged for display.

Main Success Scenario:

1. User selects a post to edit
2. System opens the editable view for the selected post with options to edit the text, delete the photos and videos, if present, on the post, upload photos and videos on the post and update the display flag.
3. User saves the updated post.
4. System presents the confirmation that the post is updated

Alternative Flows:

- a. At any time, system fails or becomes unresponsive and does not provide an error message
 1. User performs a hard refresh on the browser (ctrl + f5 or shift + reload)
 2. System reloads the editable view for the post
- b. (3.a) Uploaded media is in unsupported format or exceeds the file size limit
 1. System prompts the user with the appropriate error message
 2. User acknowledges the error and reuploads the media in supported format and size.




Figure 10: System Sequence Diagram: Edit Post

5.2.12 UC12: Delete Post

ID: UC12 (Delete Post)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: User goal

Primary Actor: Faculty or Admin/Reviewer

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Audience: A person that is interested in viewing all approved content on the system using their mobile device.
- Faculty: A person that works for the university and is interested in gaining visibility of their post and/or event.
- Office Manager: A person that works for the university and is interested in prioritizing the order of posts and/or events.
- Admin/Reviewer: A person that works for the university and approves and/or removes posts and/or events from the system.

Preconditions:

- Faculty has been identified and authenticated.
- A post to be deleted exists.

Postconditions:

- The post has been deleted from the database.
- Media library has been updated.
- The display board no longer displays the deleted post.

Main Success Scenario:

1. User navigates to the admin dashboard and accesses the manage post view.
2. User selects the post to be managed and clicks the delete post button.
3. System presents the confirmation window prompting the user if they are sure about deleting the post
4. User confirms deletion.
5. The system deletes the selected post from the database and updates the display board contents.
6. System presents the confirmation that the post is deleted

Alternative Flows:

a. At any time, system fails or becomes unresponsive and does not provide an error message

1. User performs a hard refresh on the browser (ctrl + f5 or shift + reload)
2. System reloads the editable view for the post

b. (4.a) User does not confirm post deletion (clicks no)

1. No action is taken, and the post is displayed in manage post view




Figure 11: System Sequence Diagram: Delete Post

5.2.13 UC13: View Post

ID: UC13 (View Post)

Scope: CS Automated Information Timeline

Level: User goal

Primary Actor: Audience

Stakeholders and Interests:

- Audience: A person that is interested in viewing all approved content on the system using their mobile device.
- Faculty: A person that works for the university and is interested in gaining visibility of their post and/or event.
- Office Manager: A person that works for the university and is interested in prioritizing the order of posts and/or events.
- Admin/Reviewer: A person that works for the university and approves and/or removes posts and/or events from the system.

Preconditions:

- A post has been created and staged for display.

Postconditions: None

Main Success Scenario:

1. User accesses the web application UI by scanning the QR code on the display board.
2. System presents the web view with posts staged for display

Alternative Flows:

- a. At any time, system fails or becomes unresponsive and does not provide an error message
1. User performs a hard refresh on the browser (ctrl + f5 or shift + reload)
 2. System reloads the editable view for the post




Figure 12: System Sequence Diagram: View Post

5.2.14 UC14: Update HTML on Page

Brief.

5.2.15 UC15: Manage Displayed Posts




Figure 13: System Sequence Diagram: Manage Displayed Posts

5.2.16 UC16: Manage Displayed Media




Figure 14: System Sequence Diagram: Manage Displayed Media

6 Activity Diagram: Create Event




Figure 15: Activity Diagram: Create Event

7 Wireframes

7.1 TV

7.2 Website