

User: April Reeves

Statistics for Case 97118773						
#	Search	Total Marks	Dead Marks	Live Viewed Docs	Live Viewed Images	Status/Search Duration
1	97123312[sn]	1	0	0	0	0:00
2	*pur{"gj"}*[bi,ti]	528	0	0	0	0:02
3	2 and live[ld]	212	0	212	212	0:01
4	2 and live[ld] and "NINGBO UNISUN TRADE CO"[on]	15	0	15	15	0:01
5	2 and live[ld] not 4	197	0	197	197	0:01

Session started 08/22/2022 2:14 pm

Session ended 08/22/2022 2:34 pm

Total search duration 5.00

Session duration 20 minutes 33 seconds

Adjacency Level 1

Near Level 1

To: Adedamola Itoro Fakunle(dfakunle@damolalegal.com)
Subject: U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 97118773 - SPURGEHOM
Sent: August 22, 2022 11:52:19 AM EDT
Sent As: tmng.notices@uspto.gov

Attachments

**United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)
Office Action (Official Letter) About Applicant's Trademark Application**

U.S. Application Serial No. 97118773

Mark: SPURGEHOM

Correspondence Address:

ADEDAMOLA ITORO FAKUNLE
12234 Shadow Creek Pkwy Bldg 5 Unit 110
PEARLAND TX 77584 UNITED STATES

Applicant: NINGBO UNISUN TRADE CO., LTD

Reference/Docket No. N/A

Correspondence Email Address: dfakunle@damolalegal.com

NONFINAL OFFICE ACTION

The USPTO must receive applicant's response to this letter within six months of the issue date below or the application will be abandoned. Respond using the Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS). A link to the appropriate TEAS response form appears at the end of this Office action.

Issue date: August 22, 2022

The referenced application has been reviewed by the assigned trademark examining attorney. Applicant must respond timely and completely to the issue(s) below. 15 U.S.C. §1062(b); 37 C.F.R. §§2.62(a), 2.65(a); TMEP §§711, 718.03.

SUMMARY OF ISSUES:

- Specimen Not Acceptable - Mock-up Specimen, Information Requirement
- Requirement for Additional Specimen Submissions

SEARCH RESULTS

The trademark examining attorney has searched the USPTO database of registered and pending marks and has found no conflicting marks that would bar registration under Trademark Act Section 2(d). 15 U.S.C. §1052(d); TMEP §704.02.

SPECIMEN NOT ACCEPTABLE - MOCK-UP SPECIMEN, INFORMATION REQUIREMENT

Digitally created or altered image or mockup is not an acceptable specimen. Registration is refused because the specimen appears to consist of a digitally created or altered image or a mockup of a depiction of the mark on the goods or their packaging and does not show the applied-for mark as actually used in commerce in International Class(es) 28. Trademark Act Sections 1 and 45, 15 U.S.C. §§1051, 1127; 37 C.F.R. §§2.34(a)(1)(iv), 2.56(a), (c); TMEP §§904.04(a)(iii), 904.07(a).

An application based on Trademark Act Section 1(a) must include a specimen showing the applied-for mark as actually used in commerce for each international class of goods identified in the application or amendment to allege use. 15 U.S.C. §1051(a)(1); 37 C.F.R. §§2.34(a)(1)(iv), 2.56(a); TMEP §§904, 904.07(a). “Use in commerce” means (1) a bona fide use of the applied-for mark in the ordinary course of trade (and not merely to reserve a right in the mark), (2) the mark is placed in any manner on the goods, packaging, tags or labels affixed to the goods, or displays that directly associate the mark with the goods and have a point-of-sale nature, and (3) the goods are actually sold or transported in commerce. *See* 15 U.S.C. §1127.

An image of a product or packaging that has been digitally created or altered to include the mark or a mockup of how the mark may be displayed on the product or packaging is not a proper specimen for goods because it does not show actual use of the mark in commerce. *See* 15 U.S.C. §1127; 37 C.F.R. §2.56(c); TMEP §904.04(a)(i).

In this case, the specimen shows the mark on a tag that is not attached to a dog toy, and photographs of a toy camera with the mark digitally superimposed onto the image. These specimens show the mark intentionally placed (both digitally and physically) on or by the goods to create a specimen for submission to the USPTO; they do not reflect how consumers see the mark with the goods in commerce. Therefore, the specimen does not show actual use of the mark in commerce.

Response options. Applicant may respond to the specimen refusal by satisfying **one** of the following options for each applicable international class:

- (1) **Submit a different specimen** (a verified “[substitute](#)” [specimen](#)) that (a) was in actual use in commerce at least as early as the filing date of the application or prior to the filing of an amendment to allege use and (b) shows the mark in actual use in commerce for the goods identified in the application or amendment to allege use. A “verified substitute specimen” is a specimen that is accompanied by the following statement made in a signed affidavit or supported

by a declaration under 37 C.F.R. §2.20: “The substitute (or new, or originally submitted, if appropriate) specimen(s) was/were in use in commerce at least as early as the filing date of the application or prior to the filing of the amendment to allege use.” The substitute specimen cannot be accepted without this statement. For instructions on how to submit a different specimen using the online Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS) form, see the [Specimen webpage](#).

Applicants submitting a webpage as a specimen for goods must include the URL and the date the page was accessed or printed either directly on the specimen itself or in a separate statement, supported by an affidavit or declaration under 37 C.F.R. §2.20 verifying such information. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.56(c); TMEP §§904.03(i), 1301.04(a).

(2) **Amend the filing basis to [intent to use under Section 1\(b\)](#)** (which includes withdrawing an amendment to allege use, if one was filed), as no specimen is required before publication. This option will later necessitate additional fee(s) and filing requirements, including a specimen.

If applicant submits an acceptable verified substitute specimen or amends to Section 1(b), the requirement below for additional information/documentation about the original specimen will be withdrawn. The requirement below as to the original specimen will be made final if applicant submits a substitute specimen that is not acceptable or does not amend to Section 1(b), and does not also respond completely to the requirement below.

Additional information/documentation about original specimen(s) required. To permit proper examination of the application record for compliance with use in commerce requirements, applicant must respond to the following requirement for information and documentation about the specimen(s). *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.61(b); TMEP §§814, 904.04(a)(iii). A specimen must show the mark as actually used in commerce, which means use in the ordinary course of trade, and not merely to reserve a right in the trademark. 15 U.S.C. §§1051, 1052, 1127. Because the specimen of record appears to be digitally created or altered, or is a mockup, further information is necessary to determine whether the specimen is in actual use in commerce.

Answer for each specimen/photograph/image previously provided. For any website source, applicant must provide (1) an image of the webpage, (2) the date it was accessed or printed, and (3) the complete URL address. *In re ADCO Indus.-Techs., L.P.*, 2020 USPQ2d 53786, at *2 (TTAB 2020) (citing *In re I-Coat Co.*, 126 USPQ2d 1730, 1733 (TTAB 2018)); TMEP §710.01(b). Providing only a website address or hyperlink to the webpage is not sufficient to make the materials of record. *In re ADCO Indus.-Techs., L.P.*, 2020 USPQ2d 53786, at *2 (citing *In re Olin Corp.*, 124 USPQ2d 1327, 1331 n.15 (TTAB 2017); *In re HSB Solomon Assocs., LLC*, 102 USPQ2d 1269, 1274 (TTAB 2012); TBMP §1208.03); TMEP §814.

(1) Identify the particular good(s) listed in the application for which the specimen(s) was submitted to show use of the mark.

(2) Explain whether the specimen was created for submission with this application. If so, specify the date each specimen was created. If applicant used the image(s) of the goods shown in the specimen(s) from a third-party website, provide the URL of the website and a digital copy of relevant webpage(s) for each image.

(3) Provide information about and examples of how applicant’s goods appear in the actual sales

environment.

(a) If sold in stores, provide a representative sample of the name(s) of the stores and of photographs showing the goods for sale in the named stores, such as photographs of the sales displays or goods on shelves with the mark.

(b) If sold online, provide a representative sample of the name(s) of the online retailers, the website URL(s) for each named retailer, and a digital copy of the webpages showing the goods for sale on the named website.

(c) If sold in another type of sales environment (e.g., catalogs, trade shows), identify the environment and provide photographs and/or documentation showing the goods for sale in that environment.

(4) If the information in question (3) about how the goods appear in the actual sales environment is not available to applicant, please describe how applicant's goods are sold or transported and provide photographs and other documentation showing how applicant's mark appears on the goods and/or its packaging when the goods are sold or transported to or within the United States.

(5) For each category of sales environment specified in response to questions (3) and (4), specify when the goods bearing the mark were first available for purchase within the United States, the date of the first sale of the goods to or within the United States, and whether the goods are still for sale to or within the United States in that environment.

(6) For the goods identified in response to question (1), provide documentation that shows payment or other consideration made for the goods, redacting personal or private information of buyers as necessary.

Applicant has a duty to respond directly and completely to this requirement for information. *See In re Ocean Tech., Inc.*, 2019 USPQ2d 450686, at *2 (TTAB 2019) (citing *In re AOP LLC*, 107 USPQ2d 1644, 1651 (TTAB 2013)); TMEP §814. Failure to comply with a requirement for information is an independent ground for refusing registration. *In re SICPA Holding SA*, 2021 USPQ2d 613, at *6 (TTAB 2021) (citing *In re Cheezwhse.com, Inc.*, 85 USPQ2d 1917, 1919 (TTAB 2008); *In re DTI P'ship LLP*, 67 USPQ2d 1699, 1701-02 (TTAB 2003); TMEP §814).

REQUIREMENT FOR ADDITIONAL SPECIMEN SUBMISSIONS

Given the wide variety and range of unrelated goods that applicant has listed in the application, applicant must submit additional specimens to allow for a complete and accurate examination of the application and assessment of the registrability of the subject mark, in accordance with Rule 2.61(b). 37 C.F.R. §2.61(b); *see* TMEP §904.01(a).

Applicant should submit specimens demonstrating use of the mark on all the following goods:

1. Bobsleds;
2. Trampolines;
3. Body-building apparatus;
4. Body-training apparatus;
5. Christmas tree ornaments and decorations;
6. Christmas trees of synthetic material;

7. Elbow guards for athletic use;
8. Electric action toys;
9. Fishing harnesses;
10. Game tables;
11. Infant toys;
12. Pet toys;
13. Play houses;
14. Roller skates;
15. Skateboard trucks;
16. Sport balls;
17. Surf boards;
18. Tabletop games;
19. Toy cameras;
20. Toy vehicles

If applicant is unable to provide specimens to support use of these items, applicant must delete these entries, or amend the filing basis for those goods that were not in proper use as of the application filing date to an [intent to use basis under Section 1\(b\)](#). This option will later necessitate additional fees and filing requirements such as providing a specimen for these goods at a subsequent date.

Failure to comply with a requirement to furnish additional specimens is grounds for refusing registration. *In re Harley*, 119 USPQ2d 1755, 1757-58 (TTAB 2016); TMEP §814. Merely stating that evidence is available on applicant's or a third party website or providing a hyperlink of such a website is an insufficient response and will not make the additional specimens of record. *See In re Planalytics, Inc.*, 70 USPQ2d 1453, 1457-58 (TTAB 2004).

RESPONDING TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

Response guidelines. For this application to proceed, applicant must explicitly address each refusal and/or requirement in this Office action. For a refusal, applicant may provide written arguments and evidence against the refusal, and may have other response options if specified above. For a requirement, applicant should set forth the changes or statements. Please see "[Responding to Office Actions](#)" and the informational [video "Response to Office Action"](#) for more information and tips on responding.

How to respond. [Click to file a response to this nonfinal Office action.](#)

/April Reeves/
April Reeves
(571) 272-3681
april.reeves@uspto.gov

RESPONSE GUIDANCE

- **Missing the response deadline to this letter will cause the application to [abandon](#).** The response must be received by the USPTO before midnight **Eastern Time** of the last day of the response period. TEAS maintenance or [unforeseen circumstances](#) could affect an applicant's ability to timely respond.
- **[Responses signed by an unauthorized party](#)** are not accepted and can **cause the application to [abandon](#)**. If applicant does not have an attorney, the response must be signed by the individual applicant, all joint applicants, or someone with [legal authority to bind a juristic applicant](#). If applicant has an attorney, the response must be signed by the attorney.
- If needed, **find [contact information for the supervisor](#)** of the office or unit listed in the signature block.

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)

USPTO OFFICIAL NOTICE

Office Action (Official Letter) has issued
on August 22, 2022 for
U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 97118773

A USPTO examining attorney has reviewed your trademark application and issued an Office action. You must respond to this Office action in order to avoid your application abandoning. Follow the steps below.

- (1) **[Read the Office action](#)**. This email is NOT the Office action.
- (2) **Respond to the Office action by the deadline** using the Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS). Your response must be received by the USPTO on or before 11:59 p.m. **Eastern Time** of the last day of the response period. Otherwise, your application will be **[abandoned](#)**. See the Office action itself regarding how to respond.
- (3) **Direct general questions** about using USPTO electronic forms, the USPTO **[website](#)**, the application process, the status of your application, and whether there are outstanding deadlines to the **[Trademark Assistance Center \(TAC\)](#)**.

After reading the Office action, address any question(s) regarding the specific content to the USPTO examining attorney identified in the Office action.

GENERAL GUIDANCE

- **[Check the status](#) of your application periodically** in the **[Trademark Status & Document Retrieval \(TSDR\)](#)** database to avoid missing critical deadlines.
- **[Update your correspondence email address](#)** to ensure you receive important USPTO notices about your application.
- **[Beware of trademark-related scams](#)**. Protect yourself from people and companies that may try to take financial advantage of you. Private companies may call you and pretend to be the USPTO or may send you communications that resemble official USPTO documents to trick you. We will never request your credit card number or social security number over the phone. And all official USPTO correspondence will only be emailed from the domain “@uspto.gov.” Verify the correspondence originated from us by using your Serial Number in our database, **[TSDR](#)**, to confirm that it appears under the “Documents” tab, or contact the **[Trademark Assistance Center](#)**.

- **Hiring a U.S.-licensed attorney.** If you do not have an attorney and are not required to have one under the trademark rules, we encourage you to hire a U.S.-licensed attorney specializing in trademark law to help guide you through the registration process. The USPTO examining attorney is not your attorney and cannot give you legal advice, but rather works for and represents the USPTO in trademark matters.