Final Project: Deep Learning for Breast Cancer Detection

Takeshi Fujii, MD

20 April 2025

Table of contents

| Abstract | 2 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 Background & Motivation | 2 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 2 |
| 1.3 Scope | 3 |
| 2. Dataset | 3 |
| 2.1 Dataset Description | 3 |
| 2.2 Preprocessing | 3 |
| 2.3 Splitting Strategy | 4 |
| 3. Deep Learning Workflow | 4 |
| 3.1 Problem Definition | 4 |
| 3.2 Data Preparation | 5 |
| 3.3 Model Building | 5 |
| 3.4 Model Training | 5 |
| 3.5 Evaluation | 5 |
| 3.6 Model Improvement | 6 |
| 4. Results | 6 |
| 5. Discussion | 7 |
| 6. Conclusion | 7 |
| Appendix | 7 |
| A. Code Snippets | 7 |
| B. Hyperparameter Table | 7 |
| C. Full Model Architecture | 8 |

| D. Data Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| E. Report Writing Tools | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| References | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Abstract

- One-paragraph summary of the problem, dataset, methodology, and main findings.
- One-paragraph summary of the problem, dataset, approach, and key results.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background & Motivation

- Describe the clinical and societal significance of early breast cancer detection.
- Mention the NHS 2025 initiative and how AI fits into screening.



According to recent research¹, neural networks outperform ...

1.2 Objectives

- Apply deep learning (CNNs) to mammography image classification.
- Evaluate performance vs. traditional methods/radiologists.

1.3 Scope

Briefly note focus on classification (benign vs malignant), dataset used, and evaluation metrics.

Background & Motivation

- Significance of early breast cancer detection.
- NHS 2025 initiative on DL for screening.

Project Objectives

- Build and evaluate CNN models using the DDSM/CBIS-DDSM dataset.
- Assess whether CNNs can match or exceed radiologist performance.

Scope

- Focus on classification (benign vs. malignant), with optional segmentation.
- Use curated public data for transparency and reproducibility.

2. Dataset

2.1 Dataset Description

• Dataset: CBIS-DDSM

• Number of cases: 753 calcifications, 891 masses

• Modalities: Mammograms with labels and ROI masks

2.2 Preprocessing

- Resizing, normalization, augmentation
- ROI extraction (if applied)

2.3 Splitting Strategy

- Training, validation, and test set proportions
- Use of predefined splits if applicable

Dataset Description

- Use of CBIS-DDSM^{1,2} curated version of DDSM.
- Number of images, classes (benign/malignant), calcifications vs. masses.

Preprocessing Steps

- ROI extraction, resizing, normalization.
- Augmentation techniques (flipping, rotation, etc.).

Train/Validation/Test Split

• Based on BI-RADS or predefined splits from the dataset.

3. Deep Learning Workflow

3.1 Problem Definition

Define input/output: - Input: X-ray mammogram or ROI - Output: Binary label (benign or malignant)

Define the supervised classification task: - Input: X-ray mammogram image (ROI or full view)

- Output: Binary label (benign/malignant)

3.2 Data Preparation

- Preprocessing steps
 - Denoising, rescaling, grayscale conversion
 - Normalization [e.g., pixel range 0–1 or mean/std]
- · Label encoding
- Data augmentation techniques: flips, rotations, zooms

3.3 Model Building

- Baseline model: custom CNN
- Advanced models:
 - Transfer learning (e.g., VGG16, ResNet50)
 - Optional segmentation with U-Net

3.4 Model Training

- Loss function: Binary Crossentropy
- Optimizer: Adam
- Metrics: Accuracy, AUC, Sensitivity, Specificity, F1-score
- Epochs, batch size, learning rate, early stopping, callbacks (e.g., early stopping, LR scheduler)

3.5 Evaluation

- Report performance on test set
 - Confusion matrix

- ROC curve, AUC
- Precision, Recall, F1-score

3.6 Model Improvement

- Regularization techniques: dropout, L2
- Data augmentation experiments
- Architecture tuning: more layers, batch norm
- Transfer learning comparisons
- Add dropout / L2 regularization
- Increase network depth
- Apply transfer learning
- Tune hyperparameters

4. Results

- Performance Tables: Accuracy, AUC, Sensitivity, Specificity per model
- Visualizations: ROC curve, training/validation loss curves
- Error Analysis: Misclassified cases, confusion matrix
- Example visualizations of predictions (e.g., Grad-CAM)

5. Discussion

- Compare results with literature benchmarks
 - Comparison with Radiologists (Wang 2024)
- Strengths and limitations of the model/approach
- Interpretability & practical deployment considerations
 - Grad-CAM (optional)

6. Conclusion

- Summary of findings
- Implications for clinical use: Whether deep learning improves screening performance
- Suggestions for future work: Recommendations for future research (ensemble models, multi-task learning)

Appendix

A. Code Snippets

• Add code snippets here later

B. Hyperparameter Table

• Add hyperparameter tables

C. Full Model Architecture

Add full model architecture

D. Data Statistics

• Add any dataset distribution histograms or BI-RADS label breakdowns

E. Report Writing Tools

The writing process for this report was conducted using **Quarto**, a modern scientific and technical publishing system that integrates **Markdown**, **LaTeX**, and executable code within a single framework. The project uses the manuscript type configuration to generate both **PDF** (via XeLaTeX) and HTML outputs with consistent styling, numbered sections, and title-cased tables of contents. The directory follows a modular structure (_quarto.yml, report.qmd), with customizations for fonts, TOC titles, and citation formatting via .bib and .csl files. **Version control** was managed using **Git and GitHub**, enabling reproducible and collaborative manuscript development. Integrated with **VSCode** and **Zotero** (via Better **BibTeX**), this setup provides a complete academic writing workflow—featuring live previews, citation support, and source-controlled outputs—crucial for high-quality, reproducible scientific communication.

References

1. Lee, R. S. *et al.* A curated mammography data set for use in computer-aided detection and diagnosis research. *Scientific Data* **4**, 170177 (2017).

2. Lee, R. S., Gimenez, F., Hoogi, A. & Rubin, D. L. Curated Breast Imaging Subset of Digital Database for Screening Mammography (CBIS-DDSM) [Data set]. The Cancer Imaging Archive. (2016) doi:10.7937/K9/TCIA.2016.7002S9CY.