

# Final Project: Deep Learning for Breast Cancer Detection

Takeshi Fujii, MD

25 April 2025

## Table of contents

Abstract . . . . .	2
1. Introduction . . . . .	2
1.1 Background & Motivation . . . . .	2
1.2 Objectives . . . . .	2
1.3 Scope . . . . .	3
2. Dataset . . . . .	3
2.1 Dataset Description . . . . .	3
2.2 Preprocessing . . . . .	3
2.3 Splitting Strategy . . . . .	4
3. Deep Learning Workflow . . . . .	4
3.1 Problem Definition . . . . .	4
3.2 Data Preparation . . . . .	5
3.3 Model Building . . . . .	5
3.4 Model Training . . . . .	5
3.5 Evaluation . . . . .	5
3.6 Model Improvement . . . . .	6
4. Results . . . . .	6
5. Discussion . . . . .	7
6. Conclusion . . . . .	7
Appendix . . . . .	7
A. Code Snippets . . . . .	7
B. Hyperparameter Table . . . . .	7
C. Full Model Architecture . . . . .	8

D. Data Statistics . . . . .	8
E. Report Writing Tools . . . . .	8
References . . . . .	8

## Abstract

- One-paragraph summary of the problem, dataset, methodology, and main findings.
- One-paragraph summary of the problem, dataset, approach, and key results.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background & Motivation

- Describe the clinical and societal significance of early breast cancer detection.
- Mention the NHS 2025 initiative and how AI fits into screening.



According to recent research<sup>1</sup>, neural networks outperform ...

### 1.2 Objectives

- Apply deep learning (CNNs) to mammography image classification.
- Evaluate performance vs. traditional methods/radiologists.

## 1.3 Scope

Briefly note focus on classification (benign vs malignant), dataset used, and evaluation metrics.

### Background & Motivation

- Significance of early breast cancer detection.
- NHS 2025 initiative on DL for screening.

### Project Objectives

- Build and evaluate CNN models using the DDSM/CBIS-DDSM dataset.
- Assess whether CNNs can match or exceed radiologist performance.

### Scope

- Focus on classification (benign vs. malignant), with optional segmentation.
- Use curated public data for transparency and reproducibility.

## 2. Dataset

### 2.1 Dataset Description

- Dataset: CBIS-DDSM
- Number of cases: 753 calcifications, 891 masses
- Modalities: Mammograms with labels and ROI masks

### 2.2 Preprocessing

- Resizing, normalization, augmentation
- ROI extraction (if applied)

## 2.3 Splitting Strategy

- Training, validation, and test set proportions
- Use of predefined splits if applicable

### Dataset Description

- Use of CBIS-DDSM<sup>1,2</sup> — curated version of DDSM.
- Number of images, classes (benign/malignant), calcifications vs. masses.

### Preprocessing Steps

- ROI extraction, resizing, normalization.
- Augmentation techniques (flipping, rotation, etc.).

### Train/Validation/Test Split

- Based on BI-RADS or predefined splits from the dataset.

## 3. Deep Learning Workflow

### 3.1 Problem Definition

Define input/output: - Input: X-ray mammogram or ROI - Output: Binary label (benign or malignant)

Define the supervised classification task: - Input: X-ray mammogram image (ROI or full view)  
- Output: Binary label (benign/malignant)

### 3.2 Data Preparation

- Preprocessing steps
  - Denoising, rescaling, grayscale conversion
  - Normalization [e.g., pixel range 0–1 or mean/std]
- Label encoding
- Data augmentation techniques: flips, rotations, zooms

### 3.3 Model Building

- Baseline model: custom CNN
- Advanced models:
  - Transfer learning (e.g., VGG16, ResNet50)
  - Optional segmentation with U-Net

### 3.4 Model Training

- Loss function: Binary Crossentropy
- Optimizer: Adam
- Metrics: Accuracy, AUC, Sensitivity, Specificity, F1-score
- Epochs, batch size, learning rate, early stopping, callbacks (e.g., early stopping, LR scheduler)

### 3.5 Evaluation

- Report performance on test set
  - Confusion matrix

- ROC curve, AUC
- Precision, Recall, F1-score

### **3.6 Model Improvement**

- Regularization techniques: dropout, L2
- Data augmentation experiments
- Architecture tuning: more layers, batch norm
- Transfer learning comparisons
- Add dropout / L2 regularization
- Increase network depth
- Apply transfer learning
- Tune hyperparameters

## **4. Results**

- Performance Tables: Accuracy, AUC, Sensitivity, Specificity per model
- Visualizations: ROC curve, training/validation loss curves
- Error Analysis: Misclassified cases, confusion matrix
- Example visualizations of predictions (e.g., Grad-CAM)

## 5. Discussion

- Compare results with literature benchmarks
  - Comparison with Radiologists (Wang 2024)
- Strengths and limitations of the model/approach
- Interpretability & practical deployment considerations
  - Grad-CAM (optional)

## 6. Conclusion

- Summary of findings
- Implications for clinical use: Whether deep learning improves screening performance
- Suggestions for future work: Recommendations for future research (ensemble models, multi-task learning)

## Appendix

### A. Code Snippets

- Add code snippets here later

### B. Hyperparameter Table

- Add hyperparameter tables

### C. Full Model Architecture

- Add full model architecture

### D. Data Statistics

- Add any dataset distribution histograms or BI-RADS label breakdowns

### E. Report Writing Tools

The writing process for this report was conducted using **Quarto**, a modern scientific and technical publishing system that integrates **Markdown**, **LaTeX**, and executable code within a single framework. The project uses the `manuscript` type configuration to generate both **PDF (via XeLaTeX)** and **HTML outputs** with consistent styling, numbered sections, and title-cased tables of contents. The directory follows a modular structure (`_quarto.yml`, `report.qmd`), with customizations for fonts, TOC titles, and citation formatting via `.bib` and `.csl` files. **Version control** was managed using **Git and GitHub**, enabling reproducible and collaborative manuscript development. Integrated with **VSCode** and **Zotero (via Better BibTeX)**, this setup provides a complete academic writing workflow—featuring live previews, citation support, and source-controlled outputs—crucial for high-quality, reproducible scientific communication.

## References

1. Lee, R. S. *et al.* [A curated mammography data set for use in computer-aided detection and diagnosis research](#). *Scientific Data* **4**, 170177 (2017).



2. Lee, R. S., Gimenez, F., Hoogi, A. & Rubin, D. L. Curated Breast Imaging Subset of Digital Database for Screening Mammography (CBIS-DDSM) [Data set]. The Cancer Imaging Archive. (2016) doi:[10.7937/K9/TCIA.2016.7O02S9CY](https://doi.org/10.7937/K9/TCIA.2016.7O02S9CY).