

No	Reviewed Material ID	Section	Page	Line/ No	Error Type	Importance	Explanation	Correction Status	Correction Explanation
1	A	2. Architectural goals and philosophy	1	9	8	Medium	No solid goals were defined nor a set of philosophies were formulated to address these goals.	Under Review	
2	A	3. Assumptions and dependencies	1	14	8	Little	Assumptions and dependencies are not properly listed.	Under Review	
3	A	4. Architecturally significant requirements	1	20	4	Medium	Links or references from the Vision, Use Cases or SWRS are not provided.	Under Review	
4	A	5. Decisions, constraints, and justifications	1	24	4	Important	Justifications and possible alternatives are not provided.	Under Review	
5	A	6. Architectural Mechanisms	1	36	4	Important	Architectural mechanisms are not properly provided.	Under Review	
6	A	7. Key abstractions	1	41	4	Important	Key abstractions are not properly provided neither by list or model.	Under Review	
7	A	8. Layers or architectural framework	2	46	4	Medium	Neither layers or architectural framework are properly described.	Under Review	
8	A	9. Architectural views	2	52	8	Medium	The provided diagram is vague and does not explain much.	Under Review	
9	A	9. Architectural views	2	52	6	Important	The provided diagram does not comply with the MVC model as it is missing the Model and View parts.	Under Review	
10	D	N/A	1	1	9	Medium	The document does not have a version history, headers and footers, ToC, cover page, etc.	Under Review	
11	D	Subsystems	2	14	8	Medium	Couldn't get the point why an extra entity as PriceSource is required.	Under Review	
12	D	Requirement Realization	2	25	6	Important	Use cases assigned in the Work Item List (UC-2, UC-6 and UC-8) are missing	Under Review	
13	D	Requirement Realization	2	25	2	Important	The sequence diagrams does not comply with the OAuth2.0 protocol which is defined as the security measure for user authentication in the SWRS document.	Under Review	
14	D	Requirement Realization	3	32	8	Medium	Couldn't get which UC the Confirmation Process refers to.	Under Review	
15	TC	N/A	1	1	6	Medium	Use cases assigned in the Work Item List (UC-6 and UC-8) are missing	Under Review	
16	TS	N/A	1	1	6	Medium	Use cases assigned in the Work Item List (UC-6 and UC-8) are missing	Under Review	
17	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	Little	Pushing each document with a version number makes it difficult to track documents. Instead, using version history in the documents is enough.	Under Review	
18	I	1.Key Milestones	3	17	6	Medium	Although UC-5, UC-3, UC-4, UC-9, UC-7 are provided in this table, their status are still "In Backlog" in Work Items List document	Under Review	
19	I	3. Work Item Assignments	3	26	4	Little	Although it is the plan or iteration 3, the statement is provided for iteration 2.	Under Review	
20	TS	Test steps	N/A	N/A	9	Little	It would be more accurate to write test steps in the form of orders.	Under Review	
21	TS	Test steps	N/A	N/A	3	Important	Test steps should be more clear. For example the data used while testing must be stated. Tests should be able to be run without interruption.	Under Review	
22	W	N/A	1	N/A	8	Important	There is no work item assigned for iteration 3. Hence, it is not understood that which of these are planned to be included for iteration 3.	Under Review	
23	U	UC-4 (Manage Payment Information)	5	1	3	Medium	Standart user is stated as one of the primary actors. It is not clear that why this user manages payment since this type of user is assumed to be free.	Under Review	
24	TC	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	Medium	It is stated in work items list, and iteration plan 2 that UC-1, UC-2, UC-6, UC-8 are implemented, however test cases for UC-8 and UC-9 are neither exist nor planned.	Under Review	