REVIEW DOCUMENT										
Start Date: 2023-06-07	7									
Project Name: IMTSqu	uare - AnyChange Software	Number of Pages:								
Product Name: AnyCh	nange Software	Product Definition: AnyChange is a web scraping and analysis tool								
Roles:	Review Leader:	Code Busters								
	Reviewers:	1. Ezgi Özkan	2. Kadir Kılıçoğlu							
		3. Tuğçe Sözer	4							
Review Materials: Total Number of Error	 Vision (V) Glossary (G) Use Case Model (U) System-Wide Requirements (S) Risk List (R) Project Plan (P) Work Items List (W) Next Iteration's Plan (N) Iteration Plan (I) Architecture Notebook (A) Design Document (D) Test Cases (TC) Test Scripts (TS) 									
Assessment Status:	ACCEPTED As It Is With Rework	REJECTED Review Again								

ERROR TYPES						
Error Type Number	Error Type Definition	Number of Errors Found				
1	Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc.)	-				
2	Inconsistency with standards	1				
3	Uncertain	-				
4	Incorrect	3				
5	Inapplicable	-				
6	Internal Inconsistency	3				
7	External Inconsistency	-				
8	Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing	8				
9	Oher	-				
	15					
Importance Types	Importance Type	Number of Errors Found				
1	Little	1				
2	Medium	9				
3	Important	5				
	TOTAL	15				

L

No 🔻	Reviewed Material ID	▼ Section ▼	Page *	Line/ No	Error Type	Importance *	Explanation *	Correction Status	Correction Explanation
1	A	4. Decisions, constraints, and justifications	3	N/A	8	Medium	There aren't any constraints defined.	Joine Guori Grarus	Correction Explanation
2	A	Decisions, constraints, and justifications	3	N/A	8	Medium	Alternative approaches are given as extended info of justifications.		
3	Α	4. Decisions, constraints, and justifications	3	N/A	2	Medium	Microservices or Hecagonal Architecture are not alternatives of MVC.		
4	Α	4. Decisions, constraints, and justifications	3	N/A	6	Medium	HTTPS is an encryption strategy whereas 2FA, Token-Based Authentication are AuthN/Z strategies. Also, the system already adopts token-based authentication as described in the SWRS document.		
5	A	4. Decisions, constraints, and justifications	4	N/A	6	Medium	The decision already describes RBAC.		
6	А	4. Decisions, constraints, and justifications	4	N/A	8	Medium	The Redundancy and HA decision is just in a description format rather than a Decision-Justification format. It's unclear what the HA strategy is, how it is going to be approached, the uptime target, and etc.		
7	D	k. UC12-Manage Support Requests	12	N/A	4	Important	The sequence diagram seems incorrect. The request end-point is "removeRequest", then it continues like a creation. Also, the email is delegated to an external service but then the service sends the email again. Finally the service removes the request.		
8	D	j. UC10-Request Support	11	N/A	4	Important	The sequence diagram seems incorrect. First, the session already knows the authenticated user, no need to re-fetch it from the User Repository. Even so, it shouldn't be the User Service. The "request:Request" actor/component doesn't make any sense. Why is the support service sending an "Add(user)" request, and etc.		
9	I	Before section 1	3	N/A	4	Little	An "Iteration 3" header is left (last review's comment is not fixed)		
10	TS	Manage user test case	18	N/A	8	Medium	Data table of this test case is missing. Also you should test the roles for permissions.		
11	I	6. Assessment	4	N/A	8	Important	No assessment is provided for iteration 4		
12	Р	6.Lessons Learned	7	N/A	8	Important	Although it is the last iteration of the project, no new lessons learned has been added		
13	13	6. Assessment	4	N/A	8	Important	No assessment is provided for iteration 3 (last review's comment is not fixed)		
14	W	N/A	N/A	73-102	8	Medium	"Hours Worked" fields are not updated for the closed work items		
15	TS	All test cases	N/A	N/A	6	Medium	"Within the scope of this test, main success scenario and extension scenarios will be tested" statement is written in test descriptions but you did not cover extension scenarios for all use cases for example manage user use case test.		