W3C WoT Workshop

Program Committee 29 April 2019

Agenda

- Bids and review assignments
 - Late submissions
 - Conflict of Interest
- Submissions review and assignments
 - Review Guidelines
 - Presentation Guidelines (deferred)
- Basic workshop structure
- Q&A

Program Committee

- Goal: Select Papers + Presenters for the W3C Web of Things Workshop
 - Expression of Interest: just as ticket for participation in the workshop
 - Position Paper: as the basis of presentation during the workshop
 - Need to send a reminder to the submitters if there are submissions requesting presentations without attaching position papers
 - We should see how long each paper to be presented, e.g., 25mins or 5mins
- Selection Criteria:
 - Proposal matches to Workshop scope and topics defined at: https://www.w3.org/WoT/ws-2019/cfp.html#topics
 - Proposal is forward-looking
 - Proposal matches new WoT charter
 - Proposal has practical relevance
 - Proposal talks about other IoT standards
 - Proponent has credibility
 - Proposal has product relation
 - Demo available

Submission status

- 39 submissions
- 15 without a position paper
- 2 request for a late submission
- Some submissions promise submitting a paper later

Review asignments


- Paper bidding is open until Tuesday, April 30th 10am CEST
- Please indicate conflicts of interest ASAP
- After that the chairs will assign papers to reviewers

		·P	<u></u>	Ψ	0	
Authors	Title	informa	ded 💠	assignm	update	Time
lichael Lagally	WoT and IoT: What's the difference?	0	<u></u>		U	Mar 06, 06
ou Haibo	Hou Haibo, China Academy of Information and Communications Technology	0		~2	U	Mar 18, 08
erhard Zehethofer	Expressions of interest	0		~	U	Apr 05, 07
ascha Meckler	IoT-based supply chain monitoring	0		~	0	Apr 10, 14
ose Manuel Cantera Fonseca and Martin Bauer	2nd W3C Workshop WoT. Position Statement from ETSI ISG CIM	0	F	~	0	Apr 11, 07
ictor Charpenay	Standard Behavior Descriptions for the Web of Things	0	7		U	Apr 12, 12
omoaki Mizushima	The Research and Survey of Use Case for WoT	0	7		U	Apr 15, 04
oltan Kis and Daniel Peintner	Scripting API for the Web of Things	0	7	~	0	Apr 15, 08
athy Giori	It Works! An Open WoT Framework (by Mozilla) Powers My Smart Home	0	F	~		Apr 15, 23
avid Ezell	Expression of Interest on behalf of Conexxus and David Ezell	0		-22	U	Apr 16, 16
illes Privat, Thierry Coupaye, Sebastien Bolle and Philippe Raipin	WoT Graph as Multiscale Digital-Twin for Cyber-Physical Systems-of-Systems	0	F	~	U	Apr 17, 16
homas Bergwinkl	Developer of the open source RDF library for Arduino SmallRDF	0		~		Apr 17, 22
lichael Jacoby, Hylke van der Schaaf, Josh Lieberman and Kathi Schleidt	How W3C Web of Things and OGC SensorThings API can work together and benefit from each other	0	F	~	U	Apr 18, 14
larco Di Felice, Luca Sciullo, Lorenzo Gigli, Cristiano Aguzzi, Luca Roffia, Angelo Trotta and Tullio Salmon Cinotti	WoT Store: a Thing and Application Management Ecosystem for the W3C Web of Things	0	7	~	U	Apr 18, 16
ebastian Käbisch, Christian Glomb, Charif Mahmoudi and Daniel Peintner	Web of Things Simplifies Industry Applications	0	7		U	Apr 18, 18
hilippe Coval	Walking through a "privacy by design" chain from sensors Microcontroller to XR (using Web Tech)	0		~	U	Apr 20, 10
phannes Hund	Unleashing the masses: developing IoT applications should be easy for everybody	0		~	0	Apr 20, 11
yuichi Matsukura, Takehisa Suzuki and Takuki Kamiya	A WoT gateway with legacy devices virtualization	0			U	Apr 22, 21
ric Siow and Michael McCool	Next Steps for the Web of Things, Part 1: Identifying and Understanding the Focus Market	0	<u></u>		U	Apr 23, 00
lichael McCool and Eric Siow	Next Steps for the Web of Things, Part 2: Data Standards Development and Consolidation	0	<u></u>		U	Apr 23, 00
unihiko Toumura, Kazuhito Yokoi and Tetsuhiko Hirata	Rapid IoT application development using the Web of Things	0	<u></u>		U	Apr 23, 02
iaowei Jiang and Jay Zhou	Connecting People and Home - MIoT Platform introduction	0	<u></u>	~	U	Apr 23, 02
oru Kawaguchi and Takeshi Yamada	Realizing lifestyle update through Web of Things	0			•	Apr 23, 06
assib Belhaj Hassine, <u>Ege Korkan</u> and <u>Sebastian Steinhorst</u>	Virtual-Thing: Thing Description based Virtualization	0	<u></u>	~	U	Apr 23, 10
im Panton	Re-purposing existing web standards for secure, usable, distributed access to IoT devices	0		~	U	Apr 23, 11
onoka Jinushi	Expression of Interest	0		~	U	Apr 23, 11
ominique Guinard	The Web of small things	0		~	U	Apr 23, 12
enjamin Klotz, Daniel Wilms, <u>Raphaël Troncy</u> and Daniel Alvarez	Abstracting and Interacting with Vehicles in the Web of Things	0	<u></u>	~2	U	Apr 23, 12
enjamin Francis	Mozilla WebThings: An open source implementation of the Web of Things	0		~	U	Apr 23, 13
ndrea Cimmino, <u>María Poveda-Villalón</u> and <u>Raúl García-Castro</u>	Towards Semantic Interoperability in WoT Ecosystems	0	7	~	U	Apr 23, 13
erald Haesendonck, Anastasia Dimou, <u>Ruben Verborgh</u> and Ben De Meester	Linked Data in the Web of Things	0	7	~	U	Apr 23, 13
liver Pfaff	About the Bootstrapping of Security in IoT	0	-	~		Apr 23, 14
lexander Kotsev	Expression of interest. Envieonmental Sensing and the WoT	0		~		Apr 23, 15
en De Meester, Gerald Haesendonck, <u>Ruben Verborgh</u> and Anastasia Dimou	Increase WoT impact using transferable validation	0	P.	~2		Apr 23, 16
g <u>e Korkan,</u> Hassib Belhaj Hassine, Verena Eileen Schlott, Sebastian Käbisch and <u>Sebastian Steinhorst</u>	WoTify: A platform to bring Web of Things to your devices	0	7		U	Apr 23, 17
ndrei Ciortea, <u>Simon Mayer</u> , <u>Olivier Boissier</u> and <u>Fabien Gandon</u>	Exploiting Interaction Affordances: On Engineering Autonomous Systems for the Web of Things	0	7	~2		Apr 23, 23
akuki Kamiya and Ryuichi Matsukura	Description of Legacy Data Format using JSON Schema in Thing Description	0			U	Apr 24, 01
lahda Noura and Martin Gaedke	Mahda Noura, Technische Universität Chemnitz – Distributed and Self Organising Systems (VSR)	0		~2	U	Apr 24, 05
icholas Felker	Placing devices in the home graph for the Google Assistant	0		-2	U	Apr 24, 22

How to review

- We must have at least 3 reviews for each submission.
- The followings are example guidelines:
 - You may choose which submission you feel comfortable with (meeting your domain of expertise, for example).
 - But, please try to first review submissions that have a low level of reviews (instead of reviewing the ones that already have a large number). The sooner you review, the more choices you will have.
 - If there are submissions that have not been yet processed, the chairs will assign reviewers. But we hope not to have to do so.
 - You should not review a submission if you believe you have conflict of interest (submission paper from someone in your company, or obviously from you, for example).
 - You may review as many submission as you like.

Current bid status (29.4.)



Late Submissions / Conflict of Interest

- Late submissions
 - Deadline is Wednesday Midnight PDT
 - Thursday morning easychair submission will be closed

Conflict of Interest

- Everybody can review papers that were not submitted by themselves, their company or affiliated companies
- Should current members of WoT review submissions from other WoT members?
- Prioritize reviews from non-WoT submissions, however it is desired that WoT members also review WoT submissions. Consider marking them as "maybe"

Evaluation (1)

Once you have reviewed a paper, please submit your assessment in the EasyChair website using the Easychair online form

This will allow other reviewers to be aware of the latest advancement of the reviews.

To evaluate your review, use the following ratings:

- 3: strong accept: Must be presented as a long talk
- 2: accept Should be presented as a long talk
- 1: weak accept: Must be presented as a short talk
- 0: borderline paper Could be presented in a short talk, if there is enough available slots.
- -1: weak reject; No real interest regarding the Workshop.
- -2: reject; Paper is out of scope
- -3: strong reject; Paper is harmful for the WoT ecosystem

Evaluation (2)

You must mention your expertise regarding the position paper:

5: (expert)

4: (high)

3: (medium)

2: (low)

1: (none)

Please provide a detailed review, including justification for your scores (pro and cons), filling the two appropriate comment fields:

In the first field, provide comments that may be sent to the authors unless the PC chairs decide not to do so.

In the second field, provide confidential remarks for the PC only (not to be sent to authors).

Timeline

- Initial PC call on 15.4.
- Submission deadline: 23.4.
- 2nd PC call: April 23rd , 3pm CEST- determine review criteria
- 3rd PC call: Monday, April 29th, 3pm CEST
- Review period is between April 23th and May 6th.
- PC call on May 6th to consolidate review feedback, draft agenda structure for the workshop.
- Final PC call on May 13th to decide on which presentations are selected and decide on agenda.
- Notifications are sent out on May 13th 1 week to RSVP.
- Catering needs to be planned 2 weeks before the event.

Basic workshop structure

During sessions or at the side?

Additional demos:

25-30 min session length, perhaps reduce, if number of selected papers is too high
20 min presentation + 10 min discussions
5 min lightning talks?

Day 1:

4 slots with 1.5 hours length

-> 12 papers/day

Day 2:

2 slots, only ½ day for presentations

-> 6 papers

+ Breakout sessions, panel? (depending on content of the papers)?

+ Plenary (summary, wrap up + new charter planning)

Attendance + Organisation

- Everybody who expressed interest is accepted
- Usually max 2 participants per submissions
- Many Siemens observers are expected
- Workshop members are estimated 80
- Badge / On-site registration will be handled by Siemens

Logistics - Rooms

- 1 plenary (150 people)
- 2 demo rooms with tables, chairs, power (same rooms as the open day, we can leave the open day demos there)

22 Program Committee Members

- Sebastian Käbisch, Siemens
- Michael Lagally, Oracle
- Matthias Kovatsch, Huawei
- Kazuyuki Ashimura, W3C
- Dave Raggett, W3C
- Dan Brickley, Google
- Kathy Giori, Mozilla
- Eric Siow, Intel
- Michael Koster, SmartThings
- Ryuichi Matsukura, Fujitsu
- Kunihiko Toumura, Hitachi

- Tetsushi Matsuda, Mitsubishi
- Daniel Wilms, BMW
- Tomoaki Mizushima, IRI
- Wouter van der Beek, Cisco
- Joerg Heuer, EcoG
- Toru Kawaguchi, Panasonic
- Juejia Zhou, Xiaomi
- Qing An, Alibaba
- Dominique Guinard, EVRYTHNG
- Ari Keränen, Ericsson
- Jens Schimmelpfennig, Software AG